The Governor's

# Economic and Demographic Report

2006-2007

**Kansas Division of the Budget** 

January 2007

### Acknowledgements

The Governor's Economic and Demographic Report 2006-2007 was prepared under the general direction of Duane A. Goossen, Director of the Budget.

This report was written by Dr. John D. Wong, Professor of Public Administration, Hugo Wall School of Urban and Public Affairs, Wichita State University and Ann H. Durkes, Principal Budget Analyst/Tax Policy and Demographics, Division of the Budget.

Publication coordination and editing were provided by Louis S. Chabira, Deputy Director; Ann H. Durkes, Principal Budget Analyst/Tax Policy and Demographics; Jeff Arpin, Principal Budget Analyst; and Shelly Dechand, Accountant.

Readers of *The Governor's Economic and Demographic Report* can access this information on the Kansas Division of the Budget's website at http://budget.ks.gov.

### **Table of Contents**

<u></u>		Table of Conter	112
Chapt	er 1		
The U.		conomy	
		06 U.S. Economic Review	
	200	07 U.S. Economic Outlook	(
Chapt	er 2		
Kansa	s En	nployment & Income	
		erview	12
	Ka	nsas Employment Review	13
		mparative Employment in the Plains Region	
		nsas Personal Income Review	
		nsas Personal Income Estimates	
	Co	mparative Personal Income	28
Chapt	er 3	<b>;</b>	
Local	& R	egional Employment & Income	
		ijor Labor Market Employment	
		nsas & Adjacent States Metro Areas' Employment Trends	
		gional Labor Market Employment	
		unty Personal Income	
	The	e Four Urban Counties	45
Chapt	er 4	l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
Kansa	s De	mographics	
		erview	
		S. Census Bureau's Methodology of Estimating State Populations	
		e Decennial Census	
		verty	
		nool District Populations	
		alth Insurance Coverage	
		mographics	
	Ka	nsas Population Projections	55
Apper	ıdic	es	
	A	Kansas Personal Income, 2004-2005	57
	В	State Personal Income & Growth Rates, 2002-2004	60
	C	State Disposable Income & Growth Rates, 2002-2004	62
	D	Kansas County Personal Income, 2004	64
	E	Kansas County Personal Income, 2005	
	F	Kansas Certified Population, Certified to the Secretary of State on July 1, 2006	
	G	Resident Population for U.S., Regions, States, & Kansas Counties, 2001-2005	116
	Η	Poverty Thresholds in 2005, by Size of Family &	
		Number of Related Children under 18 Years	
	I	Kansas School District Populations, 2003	122
	J	Health Insurance Coverage Status & Type of Coverage	10.
	Tr	for the U.S. & Kansas, 1988-2005	
	K	Kansas Resident Population, 2000 through 2005	
	L	Names rodulation projections, by County, by Age Conort for 2007-2018	1.5.

# Table of Tables\_\_\_\_\_

1-1	Major U.S. Economic Trends, 2006 & 2007	1
1-2	U.S. GDP Composition & Growth, 2005-2006	3
1-3	U.S. Personal Consumption Expenditure Growth	
	Percent Change, Seasonally Adjusted, 2005-2006	4
1-4	U.S. Personal Income Growth, 2005-2006	5
1-5	U.S. Consumer Prices	
	Percent Change, Seasonally Adjusted, 2005-2006	6
1-6	U.S. GDP Composition & Growth, 2006-2007	8
1-7	U.S. Personal Consumption Expenditure Growth	
	Percent Change, Seasonally Adjusted, 2006-2007	8
1-8	U.S. Personal Income Growth, 2006-2007	10
1-9	U.S. Consumer Prices	
	Percent Change, Seasonally Adjusted, 2006-2007	10
2-1	Major Kansas Economic Trends	12
2-2	Kansas Employment, by Place of Residence, October 2005-October 2006	13
2-3	Kansas Employment, by Place of Work, October 2005-October 2006	14
2-4	Plains Region Employment Growth Rates, 2004-2005	24
2-5	Plains Region Employment Growth Rates, October 2005-October 2006	25
2-6	Kansas Personal Income, 2005 Actual, 2006 Estimate, & 2007 Forecast	27
2-7	Per Capita Personal Income, 1994-2005	29
3-1	Wichita Metropolitan Area Employment	31
3-2	Topeka Metropolitan Area Employment	
3-3	Lawrence Metropolitan Area Employment	36
3-4	Kansas City, KS Metropolitan Area Employment	37
3-5	Metropolitan Area Employment Growth Rates, Oct. 2005-Oct. 2006	
3-6	County Employment, October 2005-October 2006	

## Table of Figures

1-1	U.S. Civilian Labor Force Growth	2
1-2	U.S. Employment Growth	2
1-3	U.S. Unemployment Rate	2
1-4	Consumer Price Index (CPI-U)	3
1-5	U.S. Gross Domestic Product Growth	3
1-6	U.S. Real GDP Growth	3
1-7	U.S. Personal Income Growth	5
1-8	U.S. Economic Indicators	6
2-1	Kansas Civilian Labor Force Growth	
2-2	Kansas Employment Growth by Place of Residence	14
2-3	Kansas Unemployment Rate	14
2-4	Employment by Place of Work	14
2-5	Natural Resources Employment	15
2-6	Construction Employment	16
2-7	Manufacturing Employment	16
2-8	Durable Goods Employment	16
2-9	Transportation Equipment Employment	16
2-10	Nondurable Goods Employment	17
2-11	Food Manufacturing Employment	
2-12	Trade, Transportation, & Utilities Employment	
2-13	Utilities Employment	
2-14	Transportation & Warehousing Employment	19
2-15	Wholesale Trade Employment	
2-16	Retail Trade Employment	19
2-17	Information Employment	19
2-18	Financial Activities Employment	20
2-19	Professional & Business Services Employment	
2-20	Education & Health Services Employment	
2-21	Leisure & Hospitality Services Employment	22
2-22	Other Services Employment	22
2-23	Government Employment	
2-24	Farm Employment	23
3-1	Percent Change in County Personal Income, 2004-2005	
3-2	Per Capita Personal Income, 2005	
3-3	Total Personal Income, by Region, 2004 & 2005	
3-4	Percent Change in Total Income, 2004 & 2005, & 2005 per Capita Income, by Region	48

### 2006 U.S. Economic Review

November marked the fifth anniversary of the beginning of the current economic expansion. The U.S. economy continued to expand at a moderate rate during 2006, even though it suffered a temporary setback resulting from energy price spikes in August and September. This sharp rise in energy prices had a negative effect on the purchasing power of households and raised business costs. The increases in energyrelated materials and shipping costs also significantly affected profit margins for manufacturers and retailers. On a positive note, the U.S. probably is less vulnerable to this year's oil price shock than it was to the shocks of the 1970s and early 1980s because energy represents a smaller share of household purchases now than it did in the 70s and 80s. In addition, energy's proportion of business input costs is lower now than it was in those earlier periods. Another positive point is that despite the substantial rise in oil prices, core consumer prices rose at only a moderate pace.

Nevertheless, with the U.S. economy expanding and unemployment declining, the Federal Reserve continued to increase the federal funds rate in an attempt to circumvent potential inflationary pressures. These rate increases were motivated in part by rising crude oil prices, which surged to nearly \$75 per barrel during mid-summer before retreating to the \$60 per barrel range toward the end of the year. Conditions contributing to higher energy prices include overseas production uncertainties in the Middle East and elsewhere, as well as strong demand from China and India.

Housing has played a significant role in the recent slowing of overall economic activity too. Developments in this sector are likely to have an important influence on economic growth going forward as well. The slowdown in the housing market follows a housing boom that persisted for most of the first half of this decade. Between 2000 and late 2005, the rate of construction of single-family homes rose more than 40.0 percent, and sales of both new and existing homes

increased by a similar amount. The recent slowing in the housing market was an unavoidable consequence of the robust growth during the first half of the decade. However, notwithstanding the sharp reduction in starts of new single-family houses, inventories of both new and existing homes for sale have increased markedly this year. Although residential construction continues to sag, some indications suggest that the rate of home purchases may be stabilizing. This steadying of the market may be in response to modest declines in mortgage interest rates over the past few months and lower prices in some markets.

In 2006, motor vehicle sales also experienced a slow down that was the result of weaker demand and an accompanying buildup in stocks of unsold cars and trucks. Energy prices contributed to these developments. In short, consumers responded to higher gasoline prices by reducing their demand for less fuel-efficient vehicles such as sport-utility vehicles (SUVs) and trucks. The decline in sales of these vehicles caused inventories to accumulate, resulting in automakers significantly reducing production.

Although there have been "drags" on the economy, overall the economic trends appear to be positive. As shown in Table 1-1, which presents major U.S. economic trends for 2006 and the forecast for 2007.

Table 1-1

Major U.S. Economic Trends 2006 & 2007		
	2006	2007
GDP Growth (\$ Constant)	3.4 %	2.9 %
Personal Income Growth (\$ Current)	6.8	5.4
Consumer Price Index Increase (CPI-U)	3.4	2.6
Unemployment Rate (Monthly Average)	4.7	4.9

real GDP continues a general upward trend, while personal income continues to show strong upward growth. The Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) shows stable yearly increases for the last several years. The only rather large increase occurred in 2005 when it jumped by 5.3 percent. This

was the highest rate of increase since 1992 when the CPI-U increased by 4.2 percent. The unemployment rate also is showing a definite downward trend, which indicates strength in the economy.

Employment data are important when analyzing the economy. The initial datum derived is the civilian labor force, which is based on a sample survey of households. Once the civilian labor force is determined, then employment, unemployment, and the unemployment rate are derived. The civilian labor force includes those who are at least 16 years old and either employed or looking for employment. Military personnel, retirees, children, and those not actively seeking work are not included. In 2006, the labor force grew 1.3 percent, the same growth rate as in 2005. This is the highest growth rate since 2000 when the labor force increased 2.3 percent. Figure 1-1 shows the U.S. civilian labor force growth rate from 1970 through 2006.

Figure 1-1:



The level of employment is the number of individuals in the civilian labor force who are employed. In 2006, employment in the U.S. increased by 1.4 percent. This employment level compares with a 1.8 percent increase in 2005 and a 1.1 percent decrease in 2004. Figure 1-2 presents the U.S. employment growth rate from 1970 through 2006.


The unemployment rate is expressed as a percentage of the number of people unemployed to the total number of people in the labor force. In 2006, the unemployment rate stood at 4.7 percent, the lowest rate since 2001. In 1992, the unemployment rate was 7.5 percent. Since that time, the unemployment rate in

Figure 1-2:



the U.S. steadily declined every year through 2000. At that time, the unemployment rate was 4.0 percent. Then in 2001, the unemployment rate increased to 4.7 percent. Subsequently, the unemployment rate soared to 5.8 percent in 2002, which was the largest increase since 1991. In 2003, it increased further to 6.0 percent, then dropped back to 5.1 percent in 2005. Figure 1-3 shows the U.S. unemployment rate from 1970 through 2006.


Figure 1-3:



Inflation is another important economic indicator. In general, inflation is the increase in the price of a given "market basket" of goods. Inflation can be measured in several ways. However, the most commonly used measurement is the Consumer Price Index. This index was first constructed during World War I as a basis for adjusting shipbuilders' wages, which were under government control during the war. In this report, the CPI-U is used because it is reflective of the typical

urban consumer's purchases and is the most commonly used measurement. In 2006, the rate of inflation, as measured by the CPI-U, increased by 3.4 percent compared to a 3.5 percent increase in 2005. Figure 1-4 shows the CPI-U from 1970 through 2006.


Figure 1-4:



### **Gross Domestic Product**


Gross domestic product, or GDP, is the value of final goods and services produced within the country during a given year. GDP is measured both in real terms and in nominal terms. Nominal GDP is the dollar value of the final goods and services, while real GDP is the value of the final goods and services as adjusted for price changes (inflation) that occurred over the course of that year. Figure 1-5 presents U.S. gross domestic product growth from 1970 through 2006.

Figure 1-5:



U.S. nominal GDP increased at a 6.5 percent rate in 2006 compared to a 6.3 percent rate in 2005 and a 6.9 percent rate in 2004. Real GDP grew by 3.4 percent in 2006, compared to a 3.2 percent increase in 2005 and a 3.9 percent increase in 2004. Figure 1-6 presents the

Figure 1-6:



annualized quarterly growth rate for the U.S. real GDP from the first quarter of 2000 through the fourth quarter of 2006. Real and nominal GDP in 2005 and 2006 are shown in Table 1-2.

Table 1-2

U.S. GDP Composition & Growth, 2005-2006 (Dollars in Billions)					
	Real	Percent Change			
_	2006	2004-2005	2005-2006		
Gross Domestic Prod.	11,408.7	3.2 %	3.3 %		
Consumption	8,085.4	3.5	3.1		
Durables	1,201.6	5.5	4.9		
Nondurables	2,356.4	4.5	3.5		
Services	4,551.5	2.6	2.6		
Investment	1,954.7	5.4	4.7		
Fixed	1,908.7	7.5	3.6		
Nonresidential	1,319.7	6.8	7.8		
Equipment	1,055.1	8.9	7.1		
Structures	275.7	1.1	9.6		
Residential	587.6	8.6	(3.4)		
Chng. in Invent.	46.0	(63.3)	134.5		
Exports	1,300.0	6.8	8.7		
Imports	1,934.0	6.1	6.5		
Government	1,997.0	0.9	2.0		
Federal	740.1	1.5	1.7		
Nat. Defense	489.6	1.7	1.2		
Nondefense	250.4	1.1	2.8		
State & Local	1,256.7	0.5	2.1		
*Estimated					

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, and Moody's Economy.com There are five major categories of GDP: consumption, investment, exports, imports (exports and imports constitute international trade), and government spending.

Consumption. The largest component of GDP is consumption, or consumer spending. Changes in consumer spending are affected by a variety of factors, including personal income, the savings rate, debt accumulation, discretionary income, and consumers' confidence in the economy. Consumer discretionary income was significantly affected by the spike in the cost for gasoline in 2006. Although prices have fallen recently, prices during the late summer and early fall were significantly higher than a year ago.

In 2006, real (adjusted for inflation) consumption increased by 3.1 percent, compared to a 3.5 percent increase last year. The overall increase in real consumer spending was led by a 4.9 percent increase in purchases of durable goods, or those with an expected useful life of more than one year. Nondurables are goods with an expected useful life of one year or less. Expenditures on nondurable goods increased by 3.5 percent in 2006 compared to a 4.5 percent increase in 2005. Table 1-3 presents U.S. real personal consumption expenditure growth for 2005 and 2006.

Table 1-3

U.S. Personal Consumption Expenditure Growth			
Percent Change, Seasonally Adjus	Expend	litures	
	2005	2006	
Personal Consumption	3.5 %	3.1 %	
Durables	5.5	4.9	
Nondurables	4.5	3.5	
Services	2.6	2.6	
Retail Sales & Food Services	7.2	6.6	
Ret. Sales & Food Less Autos	8.2	3.5	
Bldg. Mat., Equip., & Supplies	10.3	10.3	
General Merchandise	6.1	5.5	
Food & Beverage Stores	5.0	4.6	
Clothing & Accessories	6.1	6.7	
Food Serv. & Drinking Places	6.8	8.4	

Source: Moody's Economy.com

Overall, expenditures on services grew by 2.6 percent, the same rate as in 2005. Most noteworthy in the services category are housing expenditures. These

rose by 2.4 percent in 2006, which is slightly lower than the 2.9 percent increase in 2005. Within the housing category, expenditures for building materials, equipment, and supplies increased by 10.3 percent. Much of this increase was driven by rebuilding in the Gulf areas that were affected by last year's devastating hurricanes. In other categories, increased spending was realized in food and drink from restaurants, etc. (8.4 percent) and from stores (4.6 percent). Increases also occurred in expenditures for general merchandise (5.5 percent), as well as clothing and accessories (6.7 percent). Retail sales and food services expenditures also increased (6.6 percent), but retail sales, excluding automobile sales, did not realize as large an increase (3.5 percent).

Business Investment. Capital investment has continued to expand at a reasonable rate. Spending on nonresidential construction has been particularly strong, reflecting higher outlays for new office and commercial buildings, as well as a rapid increase in expenditures on drilling and mining structures. Outlays for equipment and software have slowed somewhat, though backlogs for "on order" capital goods, such as industrial machinery and other types of heavy equipment, remain high. Moreover, financial conditions continue to be favorable for investment spending because profitability is high, the cost of capital is relatively low, and significant cash reserves remain on firms' books.

In real terms, overall investment increased by 4.7 percent in 2006 following a 5.4 percent surge in 2005. Specifically, fixed investment increased 3.6 percent. Nonresidential investment rose dramatically (7.8 percent), while residential investment decreased 3.4 percent and inventories surged 134.5 percent.


**International Trade.** In 2006, real imports increased by 6.5 percent following a 6.1 percent increase in 2005. During that same period, real exports increased by 8.7 percent in 2006 following a 6.8 percent increase in 2005. The result was that real net exports recorded an increasing trade deficit of over \$600.0 billion in 2006.

Government Expenditures. Real government spending increased by 2.0 percent in 2006 compared to a 0.9 percent increase in 2005. Specifically, federal government expenditures increased 1.7 percent in 2006, which is slightly more than the 1.5 percent

increase experienced in 2005. Within the federal government category, national defense expenditures increased 1.2 percent in 2006 compared to a 1.7 percent increase in 2005, and non-defense expenditures increased 2.8 percent in 2006 compared to a 1.1 percent increase in 2005. At the state and local government levels, expenditures increased at a 2.1 percent rate in 2006 compared to a 0.5 percent increase in 2005.

**Personal Income.** Personal income is the dollar value of income available to households for consumption expenditures. Total personal income is derived by summing salaries and wages, other labor income, proprietors' income, rental income, personal dividend income, personal interest income, and transfer payments. From this sum, personal contributions for social insurance and personal taxes are deducted. In 2006, personal income increased by 4.0 percent, which is a healthy increase over the 2.3 percent growth rate experienced in 2005. Figure 1-7 presents U.S. personal income growth from 1970 through 2006.

Figure 1-7:



Rental income increased 0.2 percent in 2006, compared to a 42.7 percent decline in 2005, while dividend income surged 10.7 percent compared to a 7.0 percent surge in 2005. Interest income in 2006 increased 8.6 percent, following a 6.1 percent increase in 2005.

Disposable personal income is personal income less personal taxes. Disposable income increased 3.1 percent in 2006 compared to a 1.2 percent increase in 2005. Median household income increased 4.5 percent in both 2005 and 2006. Table 1-4 presents U.S.

personal income composition and growth from 2005 to 2006.

Table 1-4

U.S. Personal Income Growth, 2005-2006 (Dollars in Billions of Chain Weighted 2000 Dollars)				
		Percent Change		
	2006*	2004-2005	2005-2006	
Median Household Income	48,405	4.5 %	4.5 %	
Personal Income	9,500.0	2.3	4.0	
Disposable Income	8,400.0	1.2	3.1	
Dividends	636.0	7.0	10.7	
Interest	1,000.0	6.1	8.6	
Rent	72.9	(42.7)	0.2	
Personal Saving Rate (%)	(0.4)	(2.4)		
* Estimated	_			

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, and Moody's Economy.com

**Personal Savings.** Personal savings is derived by subtracting personal outlays from disposable personal income. The personal savings rate is personal savings expressed as a percentage of disposable personal income. In 2006, the personal savings rate was -0.4 percent, which is the same rate as in 2005.

Inflation & Prices. Overall inflation has slowed significantly since mid-year. This improvement is largely the result of the recent declines in energy prices. The price of crude oil has fallen nearly 25.0 percent since its recent peak. However, the core inflation rate, the rate of inflation excluding the energy and food components, remains relatively high. Several factors underlie the increase in core inflation over the past year. The sharp increases in energy and materials costs contributed to the rise in core inflation because some suppliers of non-energy goods and services may have been able to pass their higher input costs into final prices. Increases in housing costs also boosted core consumer inflation over the past year.

Inflation can be measured using several methods. Two specific methods are the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) and the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) Price Deflator. In general, inflation has been less than 4.0 percent since 1992. In 2006, inflation, as measured by the CPI-U, remained relatively modest. The inflation rate for 2006 was 3.4 percent, while inflation in 2005 was 3.5 percent. More specifically, the cost of food less energy increased 2.6

percent in 2006 compared to 2.2 percent in 2005. The cost of shelter increased 3.4 percent in 2006 compared to 2.6 percent in 2005, and the cost of food generally increased 2.4 percent in both 2005 and 2006. The cost of food away from home specifically increased 3.1 percent in 2006 compared to 3.2 percent in 2005. Finally, the cost of medical care increased 4.2 percent in both 2005 and 2006. During that same time frame, inflation, as measured by the GDP Price Index, stood at 3.0 percent in both 2005 and 2006. Table 1-5 presents the seasonally adjusted percent change for U.S. consumer prices for 2005 and 2006.

Table 1-5

U.S. Consumer Prices Percent Change, Seasonally Adjusted, 2005-2006				
	Prices			
	2005	2006		
GDP Chain Price Deflator	3.0 %	3.0 %		
Consumer Price Index	3.4	3.5		
Less Food & Energy	2.2	2.6		
Shelter	2.6	3.4		
Food	2.4	2.4		
Food Away from Home	3.2	3.1		
Medical Care	4.2	4.2		

Source: Moody's Economy.com

**Productivity.** One major factor contributing to holding inflation in check in recent years has been strong productivity growth. Productivity growth, as measured by output per hour, increased only 1.8 percent in 2006, compared to a 2.3 percent growth rate in 2005. This is not cause for alarm, but it does bear watching.


### 2007 U.S. Economic Outlook

By most measures, the economy has been performing satisfactorily. However, persistently high oil prices combined with several months of modest job growth have raised questions about the strength and the durability of the economic expansion. These concerns are tempered because consumer spending growth is likely to be sustained through 2007 even if holiday retail sales are mediocre. In addition, the economy now appears to be on course for a sustained period of expansion at a moderate pace. For 2007, the economy appears likely to expand at a moderate rate that is

close to or slightly below the economy's long-run sustainable rate. Core inflation is expected to slow gradually from its recent level, reflecting the reduced impetus from high prices for energy and other commodities, and contained inflation expectations. It is possible that further reductions may occur in the rate of increase of housing costs. Also, some easing in the pressures on capital and labor resources may happen. However, the slowing pace of residential construction is likely to be a drag on economic growth into 2007.

Four commonly cited predictors of future economic performance are the Composite Index of Leading Economic Indicators, the Consumer Confidence Index, the Index of Consumer Sentiment, and the Index of Consumer Expectations. Figure 1-8 presents these indices for 2006.

Figure 1-8



The Composite Index of Leading Economic Indicators and the Consumer Confidence Index are compiled by the Conference Board, which is a private, not-for-profit organization that conducts business and economic research and forecasting. The Composite Index of Leading Economic Indicators is a composite index of ten leading economic indicators that reach cyclical turning points before the actual turning point occurs in the economy as a whole. Each series included in the Composite Index of Leading Indicators is selected because of its performance on six important characteristics, including economic significance, statistical adequacy, timing consistency at business cycle peaks and troughs, conformity to business expansions and contractions, smoothness, and prompt availability.

The Index of Consumer Sentiment and the Index of Consumer Expectations are compiled by the Survey Research Center at the University of Michigan. These indices focus on how consumers view prospects for their own financial situation, how they view prospects for the general economy over the near term, and their view of prospects for the economy over the long term.

The underlying economy appears to be on reasonably stable ground. While the overall inflation rate during 2006 was higher than expected, the difference was almost entirely a result of higher energy prices. Excluding food and energy, the core CPI increased 2.6 percent during 2006. Inflation forecasts for the CPI and the GDP price index show overall inflation resuming a lower trend in 2007.

Through August, the Consumer Expectations and Consumer Sentiment Indices drifted downward. However, the indices rebounded in September and October, only to fall back slightly in November. The Consumer Confidence Index is based on the Consumer Confidence Survey, which is compiled from a representative sample of 5,000 U.S. households. This index fell to 102.9 in November, the lowest level since August, when the reading was 100.2. The November value was up from 105.1 in October. The drop in the Conference Board's Consumer Confidence Index raised concerns that consumer spending might not be as robust as previously anticipated during the holiday season, despite its upbeat start. Reports show that at the beginning of the holiday season, discounters and Their success was electronic retailers fared well. driven by the release of video gaming devices, such as Sony's PlayStation 3 and Nintendo's Wii. Finally, the Index of Leading Economic Indicators has remained relatively flat during the course of the year. Thus, no major changes, positive or negative, appear to be imminent on the economic horizon.

Real GDP is expected to grow at a 2.9 percent rate in 2007. Total nonfarm employment growth is expected to decrease from the current 1.4 percent rate to 1.0 percent in 2007. Productivity, as measured by output per hour, is also expected to slow from 2.4 percent to 2.2 percent. Growth in business investment spending by firms was 4.7 percent in 2006 and is expected to decrease to 2.9 percent in 2007.

The unemployment rate is expected to increase slightly from 4.7 percent in 2006 to 4.9 percent in 2007.

Nominal personal income also is forecasted to increase in 2007 (5.4 percent) compared to 2006 (6.7 percent). Even though inflation in 2006 was well above the level forecast at the start of the year, inflation is expected to remain modest for 2007. The CPI is expected to increase by 2.6 percent in 2007 as oil prices remain near \$60 per barrel through 2007.

Overall, the economy is likely to expand at a moderate rate in 2007. Economic growth is expected to be slightly above the long-run trend in the near term, but it is expected to return to a rate that is generally consistent with the growth rate of the economy's underlying productive capacity. This scenario assumes that consumer spending will continue to grow near its trend rate and that the drag on the economy from the motor vehicle and housing sectors will gradually dissipate over time. The effects of the housing correction on real economic activity are likely to persist into early 2007, but the rate of decline in home construction should slow as the inventory of unsold new homes is gradually absorbed.

### **Gross Domestic Product**

The robust growth in the U.S. economy prior to the recent downturn was driven by strong consumer spending that resulted from rapid growth in stock market wealth. Compared to the early 2000s, the stock market was markedly less volatile in 2006 with the Dow-Jones Industrial Average hitting record highs and staying above the 12,000 level for much of the year. However, high energy prices, rising interest rates, and continuing international uncertainty may keep the stock market somewhat subdued in 2007. Table 1-6, which is shown at the top of the next page, presents the nominal and the real U.S. GDP composition and growth estimates for 2006 and the forecasts for 2007.

Consumption. Consumer spending has continued to expand at a strong rate. During the 2001 recession and subsequent recovery, consumer spending continued to expand in spite of the economic slowdown. Immediately following the recession, consumer spending was motivated primarily by tax cuts and low interest rates. Now growth in consumer spending seems to be driven more by stronger business activity in the form of greater job growth and rising household incomes. Continuing this transition is the key to sustaining the economic expansion. However, interest

Table 1-6

U.S. GDP Composition & Growth, 2006-2007					
(Dollars in Billions)	Rea	al			
	(\$Chaine	d 1996)	Percent		
_	2006*	2007**	Change		
Gross Domestic Prod.	11,408.7	11,708.8	2.6 %		
Consumption	8,085.4	8,303.4	2.7		
Durables	1,201.6	1,211.9	0.9		
Nondurables	2,356.4	2,402.6	2.0		
Services	4,551.5	4,714.6	3.6		
Investment	1,954.7	2,010.4	2.9		
Fixed	1,908.7	1,968.3	3.1		
Nonresidential	1,319.7	1,417.4	7.4		
Equipment	1,055.1	1,114.9	5.7		
Structures	275.7	312.5	13.3		
Residential	587.6	553.5	(5.8)		
Chng. in Invent.	46.0	42.1	(8.4)		
Exports	1,300.0	1,389.0	6.8		
Imports	1,934.0	2,023.8	4.6		
Government	1,997.0	2,024.6	1.4		
Federal	740.1	737.5	(0.3)		
Nat. Defense	489.6	489.6	(0.0)		
Nondefense	250.4	247.9	(1.0)		
State & Local	1,256.7	1,287.1	2.4		
*Estimated **Forecasted					

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, and Moody's Economy.com

rate increases, employment trends, and high gasoline prices may cause consumer spending growth to slow significantly during 2007. Crude oil prices have more than doubled since 2002. Natural gas and home heating oil prices also rose dramatically. According to the Department of Energy, the average U.S. household spent \$928 for home heating in 2006, slightly less than in 2005 when the amount spent was \$947.

Overall, real consumption growth is projected to slow from 3.1 percent in 2006 to 2.7 percent in 2007. Since the last recession in 1991, consumer spending has consistently increased at a more rapid rate than disposable income. The result was that the savings rate fell. The decline implied that most of the spending growth over this period was driven by capital gains and/or consumer credit. In the latter half of the 1990s, the low savings rate did not appear to be a severe problem because stock market investments were not only sustaining, but enriching many households. However, the stock market correction in

2000 ended this trend. Currently, the low savings rate combined with a high debt level indicates that many consumer budgets are tight. The personal savings rate is expected to increase slightly from -0.4 percent in 2006 to 0.3 percent in 2007. Table 1-7 presents U.S. personal consumption expenditure growth estimates for 2006 and the forecasts for 2007.

Table 1-7

U.S. Personal Consumption Expenditure Growth			
Percent Change, Seasonally Adjusted, 2006-2007			
Expenditures			
	2006	2007	
Personal Consumption	3.1 %	2.7 %	
Durables	4.9	0.9	
Nondurables	3.5	2.0	
Services	2.6	3.6	
Retail Sales & Food Services	6.6	4.2	
Ret. Sales & Food Less Autos	3.5	3.6	
Bldg. Mat., Equip., & Supplies	10.3	0.5	
General Merchandise	5.5	4.9	
Food & Beverage Stores	4.6	2.3	
Clothing & Accessories	6.7	6.4	
Food Serv. & Drinking Places	8.4	8.6	

Source: Moody's Economy.com

Energy prices are a key component to continued slow growth in consumer spending. These prices will have a pronounced effect on big ticket and durable goods purchases because there will be less to spend on the bigger items. Moreover, higher interest rates will further reduce the cash infusion into the economy that has resulted from refinancing home mortgages in the past. Higher interest rates also will slow overall residential investment.

During the unprecedented economic expansion of the 1990s, a tight labor market and low inflation allowed consumers to realize significant gains in real purchasing power. Now, however, those particular circumstances are not present. The result is that real disposable income is expected to increase by 3.6 percent in 2007, compared to 3.1 percent in 2006. Real consumer spending growth is expected to drop back slightly to 2.7 percent in 2007 after a 3.1 percent increase in 2006. This trend will be supported largely by a 3.6 percent increase in services consumption.

Consumption of durables is expected to increase by 0.9 percent in 2007 compared to a 4.9 percent increase

in 2006. Automobile purchases are expected to decrease 1.1 percent in 2007, which is the same rate as in 2006. Within the durable goods category, the key growth sector in recent years has been electronics. Expenditures for nondurable goods are forecasted to increase 2.0 percent in 2007, which is lower than the 3.5 percent increase in 2006. Expenditures for services also are forecasted to increase by 3.6 percent in 2007 compared to a 2.6 percent increase in 2006.

More specifically, expenditures for food services and drinking places is expected to increase 8.6 percent in 2007, while clothing and accessories expenditures are expected to increase 6.4 percent. Increases are expected also in general merchandise expenditures (4.9 percent), as well as retail sales and food services (4.2 percent). Retail sales and food excluding automobiles are expected to increase 3.6 percent. Other expenditure categories forecasted to increase include food and beverage (2.3 percent) and building materials, equipment, and supplies (0.5 percent).

Business Investment. Business investment spending also has showed signs of renewed strength. This is in contrast to the sharp decline in business investment that was partially responsible for the 2001 recession and the subsequent slow recovery. Businesses now seem poised to reenter the market for items ranging from high-tech equipment and software to warehouses and equipment. These investments seem to be allowing businesses to position themselves for greater efficiency and greater productive capacity in the future.

The inventory surge in 2006 signals a production decline in 2007. In addition, rebuilding from the Gulf hurricanes is expected to continue during 2007. Thus, the residential "bubble" may not be as serious as originally feared. According to Freddie Mac, inventories of unsold new homes have begun to drift down from their July peak after having risen nearly 25.0 percent over the previous year. In addition, the National Association of Homebuilders' Survey of Consumer Traffic turned upward in October and November amid anecdotes that current conditions are beginning to draw potential buyers back into the market. Freddie Mac expects housing markets to stabilize some time during the first half of 2007.

For 2007, real business investment spending is expected to increase to a 2.9 percent growth rate

compared to a 4.7 percent growth rate in 2006. The composition of growth will change also as spending shifts from equipment to structure. Real fixed investment is expected to rise 3.1 percent; nonresidential investment is forecasted to increase 7.4 percent; and residential construction is expected to decrease 5.8 percent in 2007. Within nonresidential investment, spending on structures should rise 13.3 percent, while spending on equipment should rise 5.7 percent.

**International Trade.** In 2007, oil prices may continue to be a significant drag on many world economies. However, the global economy continues to be strong. This growth in international markets should support the continuing expansion of U.S. exports of goods and services.

Real trade exports are expected to increase by 6.8 percent in 2007 compared to an 8.7 percent increase in 2006. Meanwhile, real trade imports are expected to increase 4.6 percent in 2007 compared to a 6.5 percent increase in 2006. Given these increases, the real trade deficit is likely to remain over \$600.0 billion in 2007.

Government Expenditures. Although projected deficits are not as high, relative to GDP, as the 1983-record setter, there is concern that the deficits are large and are likely to persist indefinitely. The most likely consequences are accelerating inflation, rising interest rates, private capital formation being crowded out by government borrowing, and slower GDP growth.

In real terms, government expenditures are estimated to increase by 1.4 percent in 2007. However, total federal government expenditures are estimated to decrease by 0.3 percent. Specifically, national defense expenditures are expected to remain constant, and non-defense expenditures are expected to decrease by 1.0 percent. Real state and local government expenditures are forecasted to increase 2.4 percent.

**Personal Income.** In 2007, real personal income in the U.S. is forecasted to grow at a 3.2 percent rate. Increases also are anticipated in personal interest income (10.0 percent), personal dividend income (6.4 percent), and disposable personal income (3.6 percent). However, rental income is expected to decrease (4.9 percent). The median household income is expected to increase 3.1 percent to \$49,928 in 2007. Table 1-8 on the next page presents the categories of U.S. Personal Income Growth for 2006 and 2007.

Table 1-8

(Dollars in Billions)			Percent
	2006*	2007**	Change
Median Household Income	48,405	49,928.0	3.1 %
Personal Income	9,500.0	9,800.0	3.2
Disposable Income	8,400.0	8,700.0	3.6
Dividends	636.0	676.6	6.4
Interest	1,000.0	1,100.0	10.0
Rent	72.9	69.3	(4.9)
Personal Saving Rate (%)	(0.4)	0.3	0.7
Personal Saving Rate (%)  * Estimated	(0.4)	0.3	0.7

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis and Moody's Economy.com

**Personal Savings.** The rate of personal savings is expected to increase to a rate of 0.3 percent in 2007. This increase will move the personal savings rate into "the black" from its -0.4 percent rate in 2006.

**Inflation & Prices.** As productivity growth returns to more modest levels, unit labor costs are likely to rise, which will put upward pressure on prices. Moreover, continuing high prices for oil and other commodities will exacerbate inflationary pressures, as producers try to pass on their higher input costs to consumers.

In short, energy prices may continue to be a drag on the economy. The recent surge in oil prices is the result of a combination of fundamental changes in petroleum markets and fears about supply disruptions. Since mid-2003, growth in the demand for oil has far outstripped growth in supply. Compounding the fundamental oil market price pressures are fears over supply disruptions. High oil prices are likely to persist because there are virtually no immediately available incremental supply sources outside of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC). Capacity has not increased significantly in recent years because of a relatively limited level of exploration. companies have not been convinced that high oil prices will be sustained. Moreover, many places with potential exploration opportunities are plagued by political instability, terrorism, and/or stifling government bureaucracies. Saudi Arabia, Iran, Iraq, Nigeria, Venezuela, Russia, and Indonesia have not been reliable sources for increased production.

Sectors that will be particularly hard hit by high oil prices include airlines, other transportation services, utilities, the chemical industry, and heavy manufacturing. However, the run up in oil prices has had a surprisingly limited effect on overall inflation. During 2006, the core inflation rate, which includes all sectors except food and energy, rose at a modest 2.2 percent annual rate. The overall inflation rate was 3.4 percent. This is, in part, a result of the U.S. presently requiring approximately only one-half as much oil to generate \$1.00 of GDP as was required in the mid-1970s. This means that for any given increase in the price of oil, the effect on the U.S. economy is approximately one-half of what it was in the 1970s.

One important question about inflation is the extent to which businesses will pass through to consumers the recent energy costs increases. If they do, core inflation will rise. Unless energy costs continue to surge, such increases would result in a temporary spike in the core inflation rate, not a sustained higher rate. businesses adjust their prices to cover the higher costs, prices should not rise further and inflation should return to its earlier rate. Furthermore, although oil prices remain high, they have recently fallen. Futures markets are not expecting a rebound. However, if the rate of inflation begins increasing consistently, this may ultimately result in a self-fulfilling prophesy of a higher rate of inflation indefinitely. As such, inflation is expected to remain moderate in 2007. As measured by the CPI-U, inflation is forecasted to be 2.5 percent. As measured by GDP Chain Price Deflator, it is expected to be 2.8 percent. Table 1-9 presents price changes for 2006 and 2007 as measured by the GDP Price Index.

Table 1-9

U.S. Consumer Prices	U.S. Consumer Prices			
Percent Change, Seasonally Adjusted, 2006-2007				
Prices				
	2006	2007		
GDP Chain Price Deflator	3.0 %	2.8 %		
Consumer Price Index	3.4	2.5		
Less Food & Energy	2.2	2.7		
Shelter	2.6	3.1		
Food	2.4	2.3		
Medical Care	4.2	5.3		

Source: Moody's Economy.com

The core rate of inflation is expected to moderate gradually during 2007. Some of the factors that

pushed up core inflation in the recent past should dissipate. One factor that is looming on the horizon is labor costs, which depend on both the compensation received by workers and labor productivity. Labor costs, which account for roughly two-thirds of a firm's total costs, have been rising quickly recently. Some part of this acceleration reflects the tightening of the labor market.

More specifically, the core rate of inflation is expected to be 2.7 percent in 2007. Medical care costs are

expected to increase 5.3 percent, shelter costs are expected to increase 3.1 percent, food away from home is expected to increase 2.4 percent, and food itself is expected to increase 2.3 percent.

**Productivity.** Productivity, as measured by output per hour, is expected to grow during 2007, but at a slower pace than was experienced in 2006 when the growth rate reached 4.7 percent. Although productivity growth is expected to grow, the increase is expected to be only 2.7 percent.

### Chapter 2

### Kansas Employment & Income\_

### **Overview**

Overall, the Kansas economy is expected to continue experiencing modest growth in 2007. Gross state product (GSP) is forecasted to increase by 4.8 percent, and personal income is expected to increase by 5.3 percent. Table 2-1 presents major Kansas economic trends for 2006 and 2007.

Table 2-1

Major Kansas Economic Trends		
	2006	2007
GSP Growth (\$ Constant)	5.6 %	4.8 %
Personal Income Growth (\$ Current)	6.4	5.3
Employment Growth Rate		
Place of Residence	0.7	1.6
Place of Work	0.9	1.5
Unemployment Rate (Monthly Average)	5.1	4.7

Personal income growth in 2007 will be sustained by growth in nonfarm proprietors' income (8.1 percent) and other labor income (7.9 percent). Contributions for social insurance are expected to increase 6.5 percent, while transfers are expected to increase 5.4 percent. Salaries and wages, as well as dividends, interest, and rents, are expected to grow modestly at 4.6 percent each. Meanwhile, the residence adjustment is expected to increase by 3.0 percent. Employment by place of residence in expected to increase by 1.6 percent, while employment by place of work is expected to increase by 1.5 percent in 2007. The unemployment rate is expected to decrease from 5.1 percent in 2006 to 4.7 percent in 2007. This is slightly below the forecasted unemployment rate for the U.S. of 4.9 percent.

In 2006, annual employment increased in both the goods producing industries (1.6 percent) and the services producing industries (0.5 percent). Within the goods producing industries, construction employment increased 3.4 percent; natural resources and mining employment increased by 9.2 percent; and manufacturing employment increased by 0.8 percent. Within the services producing industries, increases were specific to professional and technical services

(also 2.4 percent); government (2.3 percent); other services (1.1 percent); finance (0.7 percent), and education and health services (0.5 percent). Decreases in employment occurred in information (-4.6 percent); trade, transportation, and utilities (-1.1 percent); and leisure and hospitality (0.8 percent). Farm employment increased 0.5 percent in 2006.

Over-the-year (October 2005 to October 2006) job growth in October reflected the improving Kansas economy. Non-farm salaries and wages employment reached 1,352,300 jobs, an increase of 9,400 jobs since October 2005. Eight of the eleven reporting industry sectors showed job increases in October compared to a year before. Primary contributors to the increase include government (5,900 jobs), professional and technical services (3,100 jobs); construction (2,200 jobs); and manufacturing (1,500 jobs). The state's unemployment rate fell from 4.8 percent in October 2005 to 4.2 percent in October 2006.

Although employment growth was experienced during 2006, the state's economic recovery proceeded at a slower rate than the nation as a whole. One factor that caused the slower recovery relative to the nation was that the growth in manufacturing employment was sluggish. Kansas' manufacturing industry accounts for more than 13.0 percent of total employment. Within the manufacturing industry, job growth in the transportation manufacturing sector is significant because of the Wichita area's focus on aircraft manufacturing and the Kansas City area's focus on car and truck manufacturing. Changes in employment in either sector are noteworthy. The Wichita area's aircraft related production industry accounts for approximately 20.0 percent of all of the state's manufacturing jobs, while the Kansas City area's car and truck manufacturing accounts for 1.9 percent. Although nationwide aircraft manufacturing has been affected severely since the September 11th terrorist attacks, aerospace products manufacturing rebounded 4.4 percent in 2006.

The Kansas agricultural sector produces a wide array of products, with wheat, corn, sorghum, and soybeans

as the main crops. Kansas is the nation's largest wheat producer, harvesting nearly 400.0 million bushels of wheat per year, which is approximately 20.0 percent of the total U.S. wheat output. Although prices were higher in 2006, yields for the primary fall crops in Kansas are expected to decline from 2005 levels. Overall crop production across the state also is down considerably. In fact, corn, soybeans, and sorghum are forecasted to be down significantly according to Kansas Agricultural Statistics Service. In addition to the significant contribution Kansas makes to the production of row crops, the state is a large producer of livestock. More than 60.0 percent of the state's agricultural receipts are derived from cattle These receipts are expected to remain production. steady even though they are below last year's levels. Overall, farm income in 2006 is expected to be lower than the previous year because of high yields for major crops across Kansas in 2005, this year's lower commodity prices, higher fuel prices, and limited export flows.

### **Kansas Employment Review**

Employment data are compiled in two ways: by place of residence and by place of work. The first compilation, employment by place of residence, is based on a sample survey of households. From the sample survey, the civilian labor force is determined. Once the civilian labor force is determined, then employment, unemployment, and the unemployment rate are derived. Children, retirees, military personnel, and those who are not actively seeking work, are not considered to be part of the civilian labor force. The second compilation is based on employment by place of work. For this compilation, data are compiled from information primarily obtained directly from firms as part of the unemployment insurance program. Place of work data are further categorized by industry.

### **Employment by Place of Residence**

From October 2005 to October 2006, the Kansas civilian labor force grew at a rate of 0.1 percent, with employment increasing 0.8 percent and unemployment decreasing 11.9 percent. These changes resulted in a 0.6 percent decrease in the state's unemployment rate.

Table 2-2 presents Kansas over-the-year employment details for 2005 and 2006, by place of residence.


Table 2-2

Kansas Employment, by Place of Residence October 2005-October 2006								
% 2005 2006 Chng.								
Place of Residence Data								
Civilian Labor Force	1,481,525	1,483,633	0.1 %					
Employment	1,410,044	1,420,675	0.8					
Unemployment	71,481	62,958	(11.9)					
Unemployment Rate	4.8	4.2	(0.6)					

Source: KS Dept. of Labor, Labor Market Info. Servs.

Using the civilian labor force as the base, other information can be derived. Specifically, employment in Kansas can be determined. Figure 2-1 presents trends in the Kansas civilian labor force from 1977 through 2006.


Figure 2-1:



Similar to the civilian labor force, Kansas employment, as measured by place of residence, grew more rapidly during 2006 (0.5 percent) than in 2005 (0.4 percent). In 2006, Kansas employment grew at a rate of 4.4 percent compared to a 1.0 percent increase in 2005. Figure 2-2, which is shown at the top of the next page, presents Kansas employment trends by place of residence from 1977 through 2006.


The unemployment rate is based on the civilian labor force also. The unemployment rate in Kansas decreased from 5.1 percent to 4.7 percent. The highest rate during the last several decades occurred in 1982 when the unemployment rate reached 6.5 percent and

Figure 2-2:



then fell to 6.0 percent in 1983. Rates have not reached those levels for quite some time; however, the low levels experienced in the late 1990s are not anticipated in the near future either. Figure 2-3 presents the Kansas unemployment rate from 1977 through 2006.

Figure 2-3:



### **Employment by Place of Work**

There are three broad classifications of employment by place of work: the goods producing industries, the services producing industries, and farming. This section presents an overview of employment in the goods producing industries by subcategory. The next section presents employment in the services producing industries in the same manner, followed by farming

Compared to October a year ago, overall employment in Kansas, as measured by place of work, increased at a 0.7 percent rate. Figure 2-4 presents Kansas employment by place of work for 2005 and 2006.

Figure 2-4




Table 2-3 presents Kansas employment details for 2005 and 2006, by place of work.

Table 2-3

Kansas Employment, by Place of Work October 2005-October 2006							
October 2005-Octob			%				
-	2005	2006	Chng.				
Place of Work Data							
All Industries	1,342,900	1,352,300	0.7 %				
Goods Producing Ind.	250,800	254,700	1.6				
Natural Resources	7,500	7,700	2.7				
Mining	7,500	7,700	2.7				
Construction	64,400	66,600	3.4				
Manufacturing	178,900	180,400	0.8				
Durable Goods	112,000	116,100	3.7				
Fabricated Metal	14,100	15,000	6.4				
Machinery	16,900	17,300	2.4				
Elect. Equipment	4,500	4,600	2.2				
Trans. Equip.	46,700	48,900	4.7				
Aerospace Prod.	36,300	37,900	4.4				
Non-Durable Goods	66,900	64,300	(3.9)				
Food Mfg.	31,600	31,500	(0.3)				
Animal Slaughter.	18,400	19,500	6.0				
Print. & Rltd. Prod.	11,000	11,000					
Plastics & Rubber	10,000	8,700	(13.0)				
Service Producing Ind.	1,092,100	1,097,600	0.5				
Trade & Transport.	261,100	258,300	(1.1)				
Wholesale Trade	60,600	60,500	(0.2)				
Retail Trade	148,300	147,600	(0.5)				
Motor Vehicles	18,400	18,600	1.1				

Table 2-3 (cont'd)

Kansas Employment, by Place of Work								
October 2005-Octo	ber 2006							
			%					
_	2005	2006	Chng.					
Place of Work Data								
Grocery Stores	19,300	19,800	2.6 %					
Gen. Merchandise	36,100	34,800	(3.6)					
Trans. Warehouse	52,200	50,200	(3.8)					
Utililties	7,400	7,000	(5.4)					
Elec. Power Trans.	5,300	5,400	1.9					
Trans. & Wrhse.	44,800	43,200	(3.6)					
Truck Trans.	16,500	15,700	(4.8)					
Information	39,100	37,300	(4.6)					
Telecomm.	23,300	23,100	(0.9)					
Finance	70,700	71,200	0.7					
Credit Intermed.	28,000	28,400	1.4					
Insurance Carriers	22,100	23,200	5.0					
Real Estate	15,500	15,200	(1.9)					
Prof. & Tech. Serv.	131,000	134,100	2.4					
Prof. & Science	53,900	54,200	0.6					
Mgmt., Commun.	9,800	9,800						
Administrative	67,300	70,100	4.2					
Edu. & Health Serv.	166,200	167,100	0.5					
Educational	16,400	16,200	(1.2)					
Health Care & Serv.	149,800	150,900	0.7					
Hospitals	40,500	42,300	4.4					
Leisure & Hosp.	112,400	111,500	(0.8)					
Arts & Entertain.	12,000	11,400	(5.0)					
Amuse./Gambling	8,800	9,000	2.3					
Accommodations	100,400	100,100	(0.3)					
Food Services	88,800	88,400	(0.5)					
Other Services	52,900	53,500	1.1					
Government	258,700	264,600	2.3					
Federal	26,000	25,900	(0.4)					
State	54,700	55,300	1.1					
Local	178,000	183,400	3.0					
Farm Employment	84,300	84,700	0.5					

Source: KS Dept. of Labor, Labor Market Info. Serv.


### **Goods Producing Industries**

As measured by place of work, employment in the goods producing industries increased 1.8 percent in 2006. There are three general categories within the goods producing industries: natural resources, construction, and manufacturing.

**Natural Resources & Mining.** The natural resources and mining super-sector is made up of two parts: the agriculture, forestry, fishing, and hunting sector, and

the mining sector. The agriculture, forestry, fishing, and hunting sector is establishments primarily engaged in growing crops, raising animals, harvesting timber, and harvesting fish or other animals from a farm, ranch, or their natural habitats. The mining sector is made up of establishments that extract naturally occurring mineral solids (coal and ores), liquid minerals (crude petroleum), and gases (natural gas). The term mining is used in the broad sense to include quarrying, well operations, beneficiating crushing, screening, washing, and flotation), and other preparation that is customarily performed at the mine site, or as a part of mining activity. During 2006, natural resources mining employment rose by 2.7 percent. Figure 2-5 compares monthly employment in natural resources for 2005 and 2006.

Figure 2-5




Construction. The construction sector includes establishments primarily engaged in the construction of buildings or engineering projects (e.g., highways and utility systems). Establishments primarily engaged in the preparation of sites for new construction and establishments primarily engaged in subdividing land for sale as building sites also are included in this sector. Construction work done may new work. additions, alterations, or maintenance and repairs. Activities of these establishments generally are managed at a fixed place of business, but they usually perform construction activities at multiple project sites.

During 2006, monthly construction employment has consistently remained above 2005 levels through October. Overall, construction employment grew at a 3.4 percent rate in 2006. This particular industry sector is affected greatly by the seasons and the


weather. For this reason, it is important to consider the inherent seasonal nature of the industry when analyzing construction employment changes. Figure 2-6 presents trends in construction employment in Kansas for 2005 and 2006.

Figure 2-6



Manufacturing. The manufacturing sector consists of establishments engaged in the mechanical, physical, or chemical transformation of materials, substances, or components into new products. In 2006, manufacturing employment increased 0.8 percent. Monthly manufacturing employment began 2006 below last year's levels, but surpassed 2005 levels in September and October. Figure 2-7 presents trends in manufacturing employment for 2005 and 2006.


Figure 2-7



During 2006, durable goods manufacturing employment increased by 3.7 percent. Durable goods are defined as goods with an expected useful life of more than one year. In 2006, employment in durable goods

manufacturing exceeded last year's levels for the entire year. Figure 2-8 presents the yearly trends in durable goods manufacturing employment, by month, for both 2005 and 2006.


Figure 2-8



Four important subcategories within the durable goods manufacturing are fabricated metal products, machinery, electrical equipment, and transportation equipment. Employment in fabricated metal products manufacturing increased 6.4 percent, employment in machinery manufacturing increased 2.4 percent, employment in electrical equipment manufacturing employment increased 2.2 percent, and employment in transportation equipment manufacturing increased by 4.7 percent.

The dynamics of aircraft and parts manufacturing employment in the Wichita metropolitan area are of particular interest when analyzing the Kansas economy. As shown in Figure 2-9, transportation

Figure 2-9




equipment manufacturing employment has been significantly above 2005 levels for the entire year through October.

Employment trends in transportation equipment, a subcomponent of durable goods manufacturing, are important for four reasons. First, transportation equipment manufacturing is a major exporting subsector within the Kansas economy. Second, aircraft and related parts manufacturing is a major subcategory of the transportation equipment manufacturing subsector. Third, the Wichita metropolitan area's economy is driven largely by aircraft-related manufacturing. Fourth, the Kansas manufacturing industry is driven to a considerable extent by the Wichita metropolitan area's manufacturing employ-For these reasons, employment changes in transportation equipment manufacturing have a potentially significant effect on the Kansas economy. Employment in aerospace products manufacturing increased by 4.4 percent in 2006.


Nondurable goods are defined as goods with an expected useful life of less than one year. During 2006, employment in nondurable goods decreased by 3.9 percent. Within the nondurable goods manufacturing category, there are three important subcategories: food manufacturing, printing and related products, and plastics and rubber. Employment in nondurable goods manufacturing has been below 2005 levels for the entire year through October. Figure 2-10 shows nondurable goods manufacturing employment for 2005 and 2006.

Figure 2-10



The largest of these subcategories, and the one with the most effect on the Kansas economy, is food manufacturing. Figure 2-11 presents trends in food and kindred products employment in Kansas for 2005 and 2006.

Figure 2-11



This sector accounts for more than 45.0 percent of all nondurable goods employment in Kansas. Although trending upward in 2006, employment in food manufacturing decreased 0.3 percent from a year ago. In fact, food manufacturing employment has been below 2005 levels for the entire year through October. However, within food manufacturing, animal slaughtering employment increased by 6.0 percent, while employment in plastics and rubber manufacturing declined 13.0 percent, primarily because of the labor stoppage at the Goodyear facility in Topeka. Employment in printing and related products manufacturing held steady.

### **Services Producing Industries**

The second broad classification of employment by place of work is the services producing industries. Employment in the services producing industries grew at a 0.5 percent rate in 2006. There are eight major categories within the services producing industries. They include trade, transportation, and utilities; information; finance; professional and technical services; educational and health services; leisure and hospitality services; other services; and government. In 2006, five of the major categories experienced increases in employment, while three of the major categories experienced decreases.

**Trade, Transportation, & Utilities.** The trade supersector is made up of two parts: the wholesale trade

sector and the retail trade sector. The wholesale trade sector is made up of establishments engaged in wholesaling merchandise, generally without transformation, and rendering services that are incidental to the sale of merchandise. The wholesaling process is an intermediate step in the distribution of merchandise. Wholesalers are organized to sell or arrange the purchase or sale of (1) goods for resale (i.e., goods sold to other wholesalers or retailers), (2) capital or durable nonconsumer goods, and (3) raw and intermediate materials and supplies used in production. Wholesalers sell merchandise to other businesses and normally operate from a warehouse or office.

The retail trade sector consists of establishments engaged in retailing merchandise, generally without transformation, and rendering services incidental to the sale of merchandise. The retailing process is the final step in the distribution of merchandise. Retailers are, therefore, organized to sell merchandise in small quantities to the general public. Two main types of retailers exist: store and nonstore retailers.


The transportation and warehousing sector includes industries that provide transportation of passengers and cargo, warehousing and storage for goods, scenic and sightseeing transportation, and support activities related to modes of transportation. Establishments in these industries use transportation equipment or transportation-related facilities as a productive asset. The type of equipment used depends on the mode of transportation. The modes of transportation are air, rail, water, road, and pipeline.

The utilities sector consists of establishments engaged in providing utility services, specifically electric power, natural gas, steam supply, water supply, and sewage removal. Within this sector, the specific activities associated with the utility services provided vary by utility. Electric power includes generation, transmission, and distribution; natural gas includes distribution; steam supply includes provision and/or distribution; water supply includes treatment and distribution; and sewage removal includes collection, treatment, and disposal of waste through sewer systems and sewage treatment facilities.

Employment in trade, transportation, and utilities decreased 1.1 percent in 2006. During 2006, employment remained below 2005 levels for most of the year through October. Trends in transportation and


public utilities employment for 2005 and 2006 are presented in Figure 2-12.

Figure 2-12




Within the trade, transportation, and utilities category, there are four subcategories. These categories include utilities; transportation and warehousing; wholesale trade; and retail trade. Although utilities employment began the year above 2005 levels, in March 2006, it declined significantly and remained below last year's levels through October. Compared to a year ago, utilities employment is down 5.4 percent. Figure 2-13 presents trends in utilities employment in 2005 and 2006.

Figure 2-13




Employment in transportation and warehousing has been below 2005 levels for the entire year through October and is down 3.6 percent compared to a year ago. Figure 2-14, which is shown at the top of the next page, presents trends in transportation and warehousing employment in 2005 and 2006.

Figure 2-14



Wholesale trade employment began the year below 2005 levels, rose above last year's level in March, then retreated back below last year's level in October. Compared to last year over all, wholesale trade employment is down 0.2 percent. Trends in wholesale trade employment for both 2005 and 2006 are shown in Figure 2-15.

Figure 2-15



During 2006, retail trade employment levels remained below 2005 levels for most of the year. Retail trade employment is down 0.5 percent compared to last year. Trends in retail trade employment during 2005 and 2006 are shown in Figure 2-16, which appears at the top of the next column.


**Information.** The information sector includes establishments that are engaged in the following processes: (1) producing and distributing information and cultural products, (2) providing the means to transmit or distribute these products, as well as data or communications, and (3) processing data. The first

Figure 2-16



major component of this sector is the publishing industry, which includes software publishing, traditional publishing, and publishing exclusively on the The second major component is motion Internet. picture and sound recording. The third is broadcasting, which includes traditional broadcasting, as well as broadcasting exclusively over the Inter-net. Telecommunications also is a component of this sector, as are Internet service providers and web search portals, data processing industries, and the information services industries. Information employment levels in 2006 have been running below 2005 levels all year and continue to trend downward. Overall, employment in information decreased 4.6 percent in 2006. Specifically within that category, telecommunications employment decreased by 0.9 percent. Figure 2-17 shows the 2005 and 2006 trends for information employment.

Figure 2-17




Financial Activities. The financial activities supersector is made up of two parts: the finance and

insurance sector, and the real estate and rental and leasing sector. The finance and insurance sector consists of establishments primarily engaged in financial transactions (transactions involving the creation, liquidation, or change in ownership of financial assets) and/or in facilitating financial transactions. Three principal types of activities are identified: (1) raising funds by taking deposits and/or issuing securities and, in the process, incurring liabilities; (2) pooling of risk by underwriting insurance and annuities; and (3) providing specialized services that facilitate or support financial intermediation, insurance, and employee benefit programs. The real estate and rental and leasing sector consists of establishments primarily engaged in renting, leasing, or otherwise allowing the use of tangible or intangible assets, and those that provide related services.

The major portion of this sector is made up of establishments that rent, lease, or otherwise allow the use of their own assets by others. This sector also includes establishments primarily engaged in managing real estate for others, selling, renting and/or buying real estate for others, and appraising real estate. The main components of this sector are the real estate lessors industries; equipment lessors industries, including motor vehicles, computers, and consumer goods; and lessors of non-financial intangible assets, except copyrighted works.

In 2006, employment in financial activities increased by 0.7 percent. Within this category insurance employment increased 5.0 percent, credit employment increased 1.4 percent, while real estate employment decreased by 1.9 percent. As shown in Figure 2-18, financial activities employment levels began the year

Figure 2-18



below 2005 levels, dropped below last year's levels during the middle of the year, and rebounded in August.


Professional & Business Services. The professional and technical services super-sector is made up of three the professional, scientific, and technical services sector; the management of companies and enterprises sector; and the administrative/support and waste management/remediation services sector. The professional, scientific, and technical services sector consists of establishments that specialize in performing professional, scientific, and technical activities for others. These establishments perform activities that include, legal advice and representation; veterinarian services; accounting, bookkeeping, and payroll services: as well as architectural, engineering, and specialized design services. Also included in the professional, scientific, and technical services sector are enterprises that provide services related to computers, consulting, research, advertising, photography, translation, and interpretation. The sector that is entitled management of enterprises includes establishments that hold the securities of, or other equity interests in, enterprises for the purpose of owning a controlling interest or influencing management decisions, as well as establishments that administer sections of the enterprise that normally undertake the strategic or organizational planning and decision making role. Government is not included in this sector.

The administrative/support and waste management/ remediation services sector is made up of establishments that perform routine support activities for the day-to-day operations of other organizations. These essential activities are often undertaken in-house in many sectors of the economy. Activities performed include office administration, hiring and placing personnel, as well as document preparation and similar clerical services. It also includes solicitation, collection, security and surveillance, cleaning, and waste disposal.

Professional and business services employment began 2006 above 2005 levels, but dropped in March and remained below 2005 levels for much of the year. However, in October, employment rebounded. The result was a year-over-year increase of 2.4 percent. Within this category, employment in administrative and support services increased 6.4 percent, while

employment in professional, scientific, and technical services increased 0.6 percent. Employment in the management of companies and enterprises remained steady throughout 2006. Figure 2-19 presents trends in professional and business services employment for 2005 and 2006.

Figure 2-19




Educational & Health Services. The education and health services super-sector is made up of two parts: the educational services sector and the health care and social assistance sector. Only privately-owned establishments are included here because publiclyowned establishments that provide education or health services are included in government. The educational services sector is made up of establishments that provide instruction and training in a variety of subjects. This instruction and training is provided by specialized institutions, such as schools, colleges, universities, and training centers. The health care and social assistance sector is made up of establishments that provide health care and social assistance for individuals. The industries in this sector are arranged on a continuum starting with those that provide medical care exclusively, continuing with those that provide health care and social assistance, and finally those that provide only social assistance.

In 2006, education and health services employment began the year above 2005 levels, dipped below last year's levels at midyear, and rebounded above 2005 levels in July. The result was an overall 0.5 percent gain compared to a year ago. Within this category, employment in hospitals increased by 4.4 percent and employment in health care and social assistance increased by 0.7 percent. A 1.2 percent decrease was

realized in employment in educational services. Figure 2-20 presents trends in educational and health services employment for 2005 and 2006.

Figure 2-20




Leisure & Hospitality Services. The leisure and hospitality super-sector is made up of two parts: the arts, entertainment, and recreation sector, and the accommodation and food services sector. The arts, entertainment, and recreation sector includes a range of establishments that operate facilities or provide services to meet varied cultural, entertainment, and recreational interests. This sector includes establishments that are involved in producing, promoting, or participating in live performances, events, or exhibits intended for public viewing; establishments that preserve and exhibit objects and sites of historical, cultural, or educational interest; and establishments that operate facilities or provide services that enable patrons to participate in recreational activities or pursue amusement, hobby, and leisure-time interests. The accommodation and food services sector consists of establishments that provide customers with lodging and/or prepare meals, snacks, and beverages for immediate consumption. The sector includes both accommodation and food services establishments because the two activities are often combined at the same establishment.

In 2006, employment in leisure and hospitality services remained above 2005 levels for much of the year; however, industry employment was actually down 0.8 percent compared to a year ago. Within that sector, employment in amusement and gambling increased by 2.3 percent. However, decreases in employment were realized in accommodations (-0.3)


percent), food services (-0.5 percent), and arts and entertainment (-5.0 percent). Figure 2-21 below presents trends in leisure and hospitality employment for 2005 and 2006.

Figure 2-21




Other Services. The other services sector consists of establishments engaged in providing services not specifically provided for elsewhere in the North American Industry Classification System. Establishments in this sector are primarily engaged in activities, such as equipment and machinery repair; promoting or administering religious activities; grant making; advocacy; and providing dry cleaning and laundry services, personal care services, death care services, pet care services, photofinishing services, temporary parking services, and dating services. In 2006, employment in other services increased by 1.1 percent. Other services employment remained above 2005 levels for most of the year. Figure 2-22 presents other services employment trends for 2005 and 2006.

Figure 2-22



Government. The government sector is made up of publicly-owned establishments. This sector includes federal, state, and local government agencies that administer, oversee, and manage public programs and have executive, legislative, or judicial authority over other institutions. These agencies also set policy, create laws, adjudicate civil and criminal legal cases, and provide for public safety and national defense. Public schools and public hospitals are included in government. Figure 2-23 presents trends in government employment for 2005 and 2006.

Figure 2-23




Total government employment (civilian only) in Kansas increased by 2.3 percent in 2006. Local government employment increased 3.0 percent, while state government employment increased 1.1 percent, and federal government employment in Kansas decreased 0.4 percent. Overall government employment in 2006 was above 2005 levels for the entire year through October. A downturn in government employment typically occurs each July and August and is attributable largely to the fact that faculty at public schools and universities are on nine-month appointments.

### **Farming**

Farm employment was above 2005 levels for most of 2006 through October. Thus, compared to October of 2005, farm employment was up 0.5 percent in 2006. Much of the variation in farm employment during the year is due to the inherently seasonal nature of the industry. Figure 2-24 is shown on the next page and presents farm employment trends for 2005 and 2006.

Figure 2-24



# Comparative Employment in the Plains Region

The employment data presented in this section may not be in exact conformity with data presented earlier because the data are compiled from different sources. The information in earlier sections is based on data from the Kansas Department of Labor, Labor Market Information Services, while the information in this section is based on data from the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. In all cases, this report presents the most recent data available. Table 2-4, which is shown on the next page, presents employment growth rates for Kansas and the Plains region from 2004 to 2005. The Plains region encompasses seven states, including Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota. Table 2-5, which appears at the top of page 25, shows employment growth rates for Kansas and the Plains region from October 2005 to October 2006.

### **Employment by Industry Type**

Total nonfarm employment in Kansas increased at a rate of 0.8 percent in 2005 compared to a 1.3 percent growth rate realized in the Plains region as a whole. North Dakota experienced the highest rate of increase at 2.1 percent, followed by South Dakota at 1.7 percent, and Iowa at 1.6 percent. Kansas had the lowest growth rate in the seven-state region.

From October 2005 to October 2006, total nonfarm employment in Kansas increased at a 0.7 percent rate compared to a 1.3 percent growth rate realized by the Plains region as a whole. Minnesota and South Dakota had the highest growth rates at 2.0 percent each, followed by Iowa (1.7 percent). Kansas ranked sixth out of the seven states in the Plains region. Only Missouri had a lower growth rate. Increases in Kansas employment that were greater than the regional average include construction and mining (3.3 percent); manufacturing (0.8 percent); other services (1.1 percent); and government (2.3 percent). Industries in Kansas that realized employment increases below the regional average include financial activities (2.1 percent); professional and business services (2.4 percent); and educational and health services (0.5 percent). During 2006, Kansas realized employment decreases in trade, transportation, and utilities (-1.1 percent), information (-4.6 percent), and leisure and hospitality services (-0.8 percent).

**Natural Resources & Mining.** Mining and natural resources employment in the Plains region decreased 6.2 percent in 2005. However, Kansas realized growth in this sector's employment, leading the region with a growth rate of 5.6 percent.

Construction. Construction employment in the Plains region increased 1.4 percent in 2005. However, in Kansas, construction employment decreased by 0.2 percent, ranking the state sixth out of seven states in the region. South Dakota led the region with a 5.0 percent increase, followed by Iowa with a 3.8 percent increase, and Missouri with a 2.5 percent increase. During 2006, construction and mining employment in the Plains region, on average, increased by 2.7 percent. Kansas ranked third with a 3.3 percent increase. Iowa led the region with a 7.5 percent increase, followed by South Dakota with a 4.3 percent increase.

Manufacturing. Manufacturing employment in the Plains region increased by 1.0 percent in 2005. North Dakota led the region with a 5.3 percent increase, followed by Iowa and South Dakota with 3.2 percent increases each. Kansas ranked fourth with a 1.6 percent increase in manufacturing employment. In 2006, manufacturing employment in the region remained steady. South Dakota experienced the largest increase at 4.4 percent, followed by Iowa with a 1.9 percent increase, and Kansas with a 0.8 percent increase.

Table 2-4

Plains Region Employment G				Percent	_			
	KS	IA	MN	MO	NE	ND	SD	Plains
Total Nonfarm	0.8 %	1.6 %	1.0 %	1.3 %	1.5 %	2.1 %	1.7 %	1.3
Natural Resources & Mining	5.6		(52.5)	8.3	7.7	17.1	12.5	(6.2)
Mining	5.6	NA	(1.9)	NA	NA	NA	NA	2.4
Construction	(0.2)	3.8	0.6	2.5	(2.1)	1.2	5.0	1.4
Manufacturing	1.6	2.8	0.8	(1.0)	0.5	5.3	2.8	1.0
Durable Goods	3.7	3.7	1.7	(1.0)	2.8	7.4	3.4	1.9
Fabricated Metal Products	7.8	3.6	3.1	(1.2)	2.5	NA	NA	2.4
Machinery	2.4	8.2	1.8	3.9	4.3	13.0	10.4	4.9
Elec. Equip., App., & Components	4.7	NA	(1.3)	(4.1)	NA	NA	NA	(1.9)
Transportation Equipment	4.5	2.0	4.0	(2.3)	3.8	NA	NA	1.4
Aerospace Products & Parts	5.6	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	5.6
Non-Durable Goods	(1.7)	1.4	(0.6)	(0.9)	(1.5)	1.2	1.6	(0.5)
Food	(1.3)	1.6	(0.2)	(2.9)	(2.3)		(1.3)	(0.8)
Animal Slaughtering & Processing	(1.1)	1.8	0.6	NA	(1.6)	NA	NA	
Printing & Related Support Activ.	(0.9)	NA	1.6	(0.6)	(1.0)	NA	NA	0.5
Plastics & Rubber Products	(0.5)	NA NA	(3.6)	NA	(6.8)	NA	NA	(3.1)
Trade, Transportation, & Utilities	(0.3)	0.8	0.7	1.4	1.9	2.2	1.8	1.0
Wholesale Trade	2.2	2.3	1.0	1.4	(0.5)	2.7	2.3	1.6
Retail Trade		0.1		1.8	0.6	1.9	2.3 1.6	0.5
Motor Vehicles & Parts	(1.3)			0.5	0.0	1.9	1.0	
	(1.1)	(0.5)	(2.0)					(0.6)
Grocery Stores	(3.4)	NA	(0.2)	NA	(0.6)	NA	NA	(1.1)
General Merchandise Stores Transportation & Utilities	(0.3) (0.4)	2.0 1.0	2.2 2.6	3.4 (0.8)	3.4 7.0	2.7 2.3	7.8 3.5	2.5 1.6
Utilities Utilities	1.4	(12.3)	2.0	(0.8)	(10.5)	3.0	4.8	(1.9)
Elec. Power Gen., Trans., & Dist.	1.9	NA	NA	1.3	NA	NA	NA	1.5
Transportation & Warehousing	(0.9)	3.4	2.9	(1.0)	7.4	2.0	2.1	2.0
Truck Transportation	(4.5)	4.6	2.2	0.8	5.2	4.2	NA	2.0
Information	(4.8)	(1.2)	(1.7)	(2.2)	(3.3)		1.5	(2.3)
Telecommunications	(8.6)	NA	(2.7)	(7.1)	NA	NA	NA	(6.7)
Financial Activities	0.6	1.5	1.0	1.4	2.1	1.6	2.2	1.3
Finance & Insurance	0.5	1.8	1.2	1.1	2.4	1.3	1.7	1.4
Credit Intermediation & Rel. Activ.	(0.7)	1.0	2.2	0.5	1.4	NA	1.7	1.1
Insurance Carriers & Rel. Activities	0.9	1.5	0.9	3.1	3.2	1.5	NA	1.9
Real Estate & Rental & Leasing	2.0	NA		2.1	(1.0)	3.1	5.6	1.2
Professional & Business Services	3.0	4.0	0.4	4.6	3.0	7.8	0.8	2.9
Professional, Scientific, & Technical	0.7	1.3	1.3	4.6	4.2	8.1	5.7	2.8
Mgmt. of Companies & Enterprises	(4.0)	NA	(1.4)	2.9	2.1	10.0	(7.4)	0.6
Adm. & Sup. & Waste Mgmt & Rem.	5.7	4.0	0.5	5.5	2.3	6.9	(0.8)	3.5
Educational & Health Services	2.4	2.0	2.6	2.3	2.0	0.8	1.6	2.3
Education	5.4	1.5	1.6	2.6	(1.0)	(12.1)	3.4	1.7
Health Care & Social Assistance	2.1	2.1	2.8	2.2	2.6	1.8	1.4	2.3
Hospitals	(1.9)	NA	1.2	1.5		1.9	0.6	0.7
Leisure & Hospitality	0.9	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.3	2.0	2.2	1.9
Arts, Entertainment, & Recreation	(4.0)	NA	(0.3)	2.3	3.7		3.2	0.9
Amusement, Gambling, & Recreation	(7.4)	NA	(0.4)	2.1	2.6		NA	0.1
Accommodation & Food Services	1.5	2.9	2.4	2.0	1.9	2.2	1.7	2.1
Food Services & Drinking Places	0.9	NA	2.5	2.1	2.4	2.7	1.5	2.0
Other Services	(0.2)	(0.4)	(0.4)	(0.7)	4.0		(1.9)	(0.1)
Government	0.3	0.4	1.1	(0.7)	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.5
Federal	(0.8)	(0.5)	(1.5)	(0.7)	(1.2)	(1.0)	0. <i>7</i>	(0.9)
State	(0.6)	(0.5) $(0.5)$	3.2	(0.7)	0.3	1.8	1.7	0.9)
Local	0.7	0.9	0.7	1.3	1.2	0.5	0.4	0.0

Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics

Table 2-5

Plains Region Employment Growth Rates, October 2005-October 2006								
	Percent Change							
	KS	IA	MN	MO	NE	ND	SD	Plains
Total Nonfarm	0.7 %	1.7 %	2.0 %	0.5 %	1.4 %	1.6 %	2.0 %	1.3 %
Construction & Mining	3.3	7.5	1.9	2.2	(2.7)	2.1	4.3	2.7
Manufacturing	0.8	1.9	0.3	(2.6)	0.2	(2.3)	4.4	0.0
Trade, Transportation, & Utilities	(1.1)	0.7	0.9	0.9	0.2	1.9	1.9	0.6
Information	(4.6)	(3.0)	2.6	(3.1)	(5.9)	(1.3)	4.3	(1.8)
Financial Activities	0.7	2.1	2.6	0.3	5.1	4.2	3.8	2.1
Professional & Business Services	2.4	3.7	5.2	0.9	3.3	1.1	6.2	3.0
Educational & Health Services	0.5	2.1	3.3	1.4	3.3	1.4	1.4	2.1
Leisure & Hospitality Services	(0.8)	2.4	3.8	0.0	1.2	3.8	2.4	1.6
Other Services	1.1	(2.0)	1.5	0.8		2.7	1.9	0.7
Government	2.3	0.7	(0.1)	1.5	2.2	1.3	(1.6)	1.0

Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics

Trade, Transportation, & Utilities. In 2005, trade, transportation, and utilities employment increased by 1.0 percent in the region. North Dakota recorded the largest employment increase (2.2 percent), followed by Nebraska (1.9 percent), and South Dakota (1.8 percent). Kansas was the only state reporting a loss of employment in this category (-0.3 percent). In 2006, trade, transportation, and utilities employment growth in the region increased by 0.6 percent. North Dakota and South Dakota led the seven-state region with 1.9 percent growth rates each, followed by Minnesota and Missouri with 0.9 percent growth rates each. Again, Kansas was the only state in the region reporting a loss of employment (-1.1 percent).

**Information.** In 2005, information employment in the Plains region decreased by 2.3 percent. Employment in information in South Dakota increased by 1.5 percent, followed North Dakota with no change in employment, and Iowa with a 1.2 percent decrease. Kansas reported the largest decrease (-4.8 percent). In 2006, information employment in the Plains region decreased by 1.8 percent. South Dakota had the highest growth rate (4.3 percent), followed by Minnesota (2.6 percent), and North Dakota (-1.3 percent). Kansas ranked sixth (-4.6 percent).

**Financial Activities.** In 2005, financial activities employment grew at a 1.3 percent rate across the region as a whole. South Dakota led the region with a 2.2 percent growth rate, followed by Nebraska with a 2.1 percent growth rate, and North Dakota with a 1.6 percent growth rate. In 2005, Kansas had the lowest

growth rate in the region at 0.6 percent. In 2006, financial activities employment in the Plains region grew at a 2.1 percent rate. Nebraska led the region with a 5.1 percent growth rate, followed by North Dakota with a 4.2 percent growth rate, and South Dakota with a 3.8 percent growth rate. Kansas ranked sixth in the region. Only Missouri had a lower growth rate than Kansas (0.7 percent).

Professional & Business Services. Professional and business services employment increased by 2.9 percent in the region as a whole in 2005. North Dakota led the region with 7.8 percent growth, followed by Missouri with 4.6 percent growth, and Iowa with 4.0 percent growth. Kansas and Nebraska tied for fourth place with 3.0 percent growth each. In 2006, the region as a whole experienced a 3.0 percent increase in employment in this category. South Dakota led the region with 6.2 percent growth, followed by Minnesota with 5.2 percent growth, and Iowa with 3.7 percent growth. Kansas ranked fifth in the region with 2.4 percent growth.

Educational & Health Services. Educational and health services employment in the Plains region as a whole increased 2.3 percent in 2005. Minnesota led the region with a 2.6 percent growth rate, followed by Kansas with a 2.4 percent growth rate, and Missouri with a 2.3 percent growth rate. In 2006, the region as a whole experienced a 2.1 percent growth rate in educational and health services employment. Minnesota and Nebraska led the region with 3.3 percent growth each, followed by Iowa with 2.1

percent growth. Kansas ranked last with 0.5 percent growth.

Leisure & Hospitality Services. In 2005, leisure and hospitality services employment in the Plains region as a whole increased at a 1.9 percent rate. Nebraska experienced the highest growth at 2.3 percent, followed by South Dakota with 2.2 percent growth, and Iowa with 2.1 percent growth. Kansas ranked last with 0.9 percent growth. In 2006, leisure and hospitality services employment increased by 1.6 percent in the region. Minnesota and North Dakota recorded the highest growth at 3.8 percent each, followed by Iowa and South Dakota with a 2.4 percent growth rates each. Kansas ranked last with a 0.8 percent decrease.

Other Services. Other services employment increased at a 0.5 percent rate in the Plains region in 2005. Nebraska led the region in other services employment growth with a 4.0 percent increase, followed by North Dakota with no change, and Kansas with a 0.2 percent decrease. In 2006, the region as a whole experienced 0.7 percent growth in other services employment. North Dakota led the region with 2.7 percent growth, followed by South Dakota with 1.9 percent growth, and Minnesota with 1.5 percent growth. Kansas ranked fourth with 1.1 percent growth in other services employment.

Government. Government employment in the Plains region decreased 0.5 percent in 2005. Minnesota led the region with a 1.1 percent growth rate, followed by Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota with 0.7 percent growth rates each. Kansas ranked sixth with a 0.3 percent growth rate. In 2006, government employment in the Plains region increased by 1.0 percent. Kansas led the region with a 2.3 percent increase, followed by Nebraska with a 2.2 percent increase, and Missouri with a 1.5 percent increase.

### **Kansas Personal Income Review**

Personal income is defined as the income received by, or on behalf of, all residents. It consists of income from all sources received by persons, which includes participation in production, both government and business transfer payments, and government interest,

which is treated as a transfer payment. "Persons" is defined as individuals, nonprofit institutions primarily serving individuals, private noninsured welfare funds, and private trust funds.

Personal income is calculated by summing its components, which include salaries and wages, other labor income, proprietors' income, personal rental income, personal dividend income, personal interest income, and personal transfer payments, less personal contributions for social insurance.

A lag of eight months occurs before final estimates of state personal income for the previous calendar year are released. Accordingly, 2005 estimates of Kansas personal income were not available until August 2006. Kansas personal income totaled over \$90.0 billion in 2005, which is a 5.7 percent increase over 2004.

### **Personal Income by Source**

Salaries and wages accounted for over half of Kansas personal income and increased at a 4.0 percent rate in 2005. The second largest source of personal income in Kansas, is dividends, interest, and rent. Dividends, interest, and rent increased by 6.4 percent. Other labor income increased 8.2 percent in 2005. This category consists largely of employer payments for health insurance and other benefits. Other labor income reached a level of approximately \$13.4 billion in 2005, indicating the importance of fringe benefits in personal income growth. Proprietors' income increased 11.0 Specifically, farm proprietors' percent in 2005. income rose by 51.2 percent in 2005, while non-farm proprietors' income increased 8.2 percent. Transfers increased at a 6.5 percent rate in 2005, while personal contributions for social insurance increased 9.1 percent. A significant portion of Kansas personal income is paid to Kansans from out-of-state sources. This portion is particularly significant for residents who live in Johnson and Wyandotte Counties and work in Missouri. This significance is reflected in the residence adjustment of \$840.3 million, which increased by 10.0 percent in 2005.

### **Nonfarm Earnings by Industry**

Increases in earnings were realized in a number of industries in 2005. Specifically, forestry, fishing, and

related activities earnings increased 6.8 percent in 2005, while mining earnings increased 19.1 percent and utilities earnings increased 5.1 percent. Earnings from construction increased 8.4 percent and manufacturing earnings increased 5.5 percent in 2005. Specifically, durable goods manufacturing earnings increased 6.5 percent, while nondurable goods manufacturing earnings increased 4.0 percent. Wholesale trade earnings increased 6.2 percent, while retail trade earnings increased 1.8 percent. Transportation and warehousing earnings increased 2.7 percent.

In 2005, the only decreases in earnings in the services industries were realized in information services earnings (-3.5 percent) and earnings from the arts, entertainment, and recreation services (-2.2 percent). Increases were realized in earnings from finance and insurance (4.2 percent), real estate and rental and leasing (7.8 percent), professional and technical services (9.1 percent), management of companies and enterprises (12.0 percent), administrative and waste services (20.1 percent), and educational services (5.1 percent). Increases also were realized in earnings from health care and social assistance services (5.3 percent), accommodation and food services (2.4 percent), and other services (2.7 percent) in 2005. government sector, earnings grew at a 4.3 percent rate in 2005. Within total government, civilian federal government earnings increased 0.1 percent, military earnings grew by 9.1 percent, and state and local government earnings increased 4.3 percent. Appendix A presents a breakdown of Kansas personal income and growth rates by industry for 2004 and 2005.

### **Kansas Personal Income Estimates**

Personal income in Kansas grew by 6.4 percent in 2006. Table 2-6, which is shown at the bottom of the page, presents Kansas personal income in 2005. In addition, the table shows the estimates for 2006 and the forecasts for 2007.

In 2006, salaries and wages, which are the largest component of Kansas personal income, increased 6.2 percent in 2006, while other labor income increased 10.1 percent, and overall proprietors' income increased 2.7 percent. Specifically, farm proprietors' income decreased by 73.7 percent; however, this significant decrease was offset by a 10.2 percent increase in non-farm proprietors' income. The fact that a 10.2 percent increase in non-farm proprietor's income can offset completely a 73.7 percent decrease in farm proprietors' income indicates how much more income is attributable to non-farm activities. During the same time frame, dividends, interest, and rent increased by 6.2 percent, and transfer payments increased by 7.6 percent. Finally, the residence adjustment for income earned by Kansas residents from sources outside the state increased 5.3 percent.

In 2007, Kansas personal income is forecasted to grow at a 5.3 percent rate. Growth in salaries and wages is expected to slow to 4.6 percent. However, other labor income growth is expected to remain high at 7.9 percent. Proprietors' income growth is expected to increase 7.2 percent, while growth in dividends, interest, and rent is expected to increase 4.6 percent.

Tal	ble	2-6

	2005	2006	2007	Percent	Change
	Actual	Estimate	Forecast	2005-2006	2006-2007
Salaries & Wages Disbursements	\$ 47,666	\$ 50,618	\$ 52,959	6.2 %	4.6 %
Other Labor Income	13,411	14,771	15,940	10.1	7.9
Proprietors' Income:	9,062	9,307	9,977	2.7	7.2
Farm	811	213	145	(73.7)	(31.8)
Nonfarm	8,251	9,095	9,832	10.2	8.1
Dividends, Interest, & Rent	14,278	15,158	15,848	6.2	4.6
Transfers	12,989	13,979	14,735	7.6	5.4
Residence Adjustment	840	885	911	5.3	3.0
Less: Social Insurance	7,814	8,497	9,049	8.7	6.5
Total Personal Income	90,433	96,221	101,320	6.4	5.3

Transfer payments are expected to grow at a 5.4 percent rate in 2007.

### **Comparative Personal Income**

In 2005, personal income growth in Kansas (5.7 percent) was higher than the growth that occurred in the Plains region (4.3 percent) and the U.S. (5.2 percent). Within the Plains region, North Dakota was the fastest growing state with 7.7 percent growth. It was followed by Kansas and South Dakota (4.9 percent). Appendix B presents a listing of comparative state personal income and per capita personal income data for all states for 2003 through 2005.

In 2005, Kansas per capita personal income growth (5.2 percent) exceeded both the Plains region (3.7 percent) and the U.S. (4.2 percent). North Dakota (7.6 percent) had the largest increase in per capita personal income in both the Plains region and the U.S., followed by Kansas, and South Dakota (4.2 percent).

**Personal Income.** Nationally, Kansas ranked 31st in total personal income, while Minnesota ranked 17th, Missouri ranked 20th, Iowa ranked 30th, Nebraska ranked 36th, South Dakota ranked 47th, and North Dakota ranked 50th in 2005. In terms of personal income growth, the Plains region, which averaged 4.3 percent growth, was ranked seventh out of the eight statistical regions. The Southwest region was the fastest growing at a rate of 7.7 percent. Nationally, North Dakota ranked 4th (7.7 percent), while Kansas ranked 22nd (5.7 percent), South Dakota ranked 30th (4.9 percent), Missouri ranked 33rd (4.7 percent), Nebraska ranked 43rd (3.9 percent), Minnesota ranked 47th (3.1 percent), and Iowa ranked 49th (2.7 percent).

**Per Capita Personal Income.** In 2005, the Plains region's average per capita personal income at \$33,362 lagged behind the national average, and was ranked fourth out of the eight regions. The New England region had the highest per capita personal income of \$41,785. It was followed by the Mideast region at \$39,755.

In 2005, Kansas ranked 24th (\$32,948) in the U.S. in per capita personal income. The Plains region state that ranked highest nationally was Minnesota's 10th place with a dollar value of \$37,322. Nebraska was

ranked 23rd (\$32,988); South Dakota ranked 27th (\$32,642); Iowa ranked 31st (\$31,795); Missouri ranked 32nd (\$31,299); and North Dakota ranked 33rd (\$31,230).

In terms of per capita personal income growth, the Plains region, which averaged 3.7 percent, lagged behind the national average of 4.2 percent, and ranked seventh out of the eight regions in 2005. The Southwest was the fastest growing region (5.7 percent) followed by the Rocky Mountain region (4.7 percent). In 2005 Kansas ranked 10th (5.2 percent) in per capita personal income, while and North Dakota ranked 1st in the nation (7.3 percent), South Dakota ranked 34th (4.2 percent), Missouri ranked 38th (3.9 percent), Nebraska ranked 46th (3.2 percent), Minnesota ranked 47th (3.1 percent), and Iowa ranked 49th (2.7 percent).

**Disposable Personal Income.** Disposable personal income is defined as the amount equal to personal income minus personal taxes. In other words, disposable income is the income available for personal use. Nationally, Kansas ranked 31st in disposable personal income. Other states in the region ranked higher with Minnesota at 18th, Missouri at 20th, and Iowa at 30th. States in the region that ranked below Kansas include Nebraska (36th), South Dakota (47th), and North Dakota (50th) in 2005.

In terms of disposable income growth, the Plains region, which averaged 3.4 percent growth, lagged the national average of 4.1 percent and was ranked fifth out of the eight statistical regions. The Southeast region was the fastest growing at a rate of 6.8 percent followed by the Rocky Mountain region with a growth rate of 5.3 percent. Within the Plains region, North Dakota ranked 1st in the nation (6.9 percent), Kansas ranked 23rd (4.8 percent), South Dakota ranked 27th (3.4 percent), Missouri ranked 35th (3.0 percent), Nebraska ranked 46th (2.2 percent), Minnesota ranked 48th (2.1 percent), and Iowa ranked 49th (2.3 percent). Appendix C presents comparative state total and per capita disposable personal income data for the U.S., the eight regions, and each state for 2003 through 2005.

**Per Capita Disposable Personal Income.** The Plains region's average per capita disposable personal income of \$29,772 lagged behind the national average of \$30,441 in 2005. The Plains ranked fourth regionally. New England had the highest regional per

capita personal income (\$35,891). The Mideast followed (\$34,259). Of the Plains region states, Minnesota ranked 10th (\$32,637) in per capita personal income, while Kansas ranked 25th (\$29,560), South Dakota ranked 22nd (\$30,148), Nebraska was 24th (\$29,635), Iowa was 29th (\$28,722), North Dakota ranked 30th (\$28,542), and Missouri was 34th (\$28,001).

In terms of per capita disposable personal income growth, the Plains region averaged 2.8 percent, which was lower than the national average of 3.1 percent. It ranked seventh out of the eight regions nationally. The Southwest region was the fastest growing at 4.9 percent followed by the Rocky Mountains with a 3.7 percent growth rate. Of the Plains region states, North Dakota had the highest national ranking at 1st (6.9 percent). It was followed by Kansas at 9th (4.4 percent), South Dakota at 23rd (3.4 percent), Missouri at 35th (3.0 percent), Nebraska at 46th (2.2 percent),

Minnesota at 48th (2.1 percent), and Iowa at 49th (1.8 percent).

Per Capita Personal Income Trends. Kansas per capita personal income in 2005 was \$32,948, which is a 5.2 percent increase from the 2004 level. This increase is above both the 4.2 percent growth rate for the U.S. as a whole and the 3.7 percent growth rate for the Plains region. Kansas' per capita personal income has lagged behind the Plains region's average since 1994 and continued that trend in 2005. Kansas per capita income in 2005 was 1.2 percent below the Plains region's average and 4.5 percent below the national average. Over the past ten years Kansas per capita income, as a percentage of the national average, has ranged from a high of 95.5 percent in 2005, to a low of 92.8 percent in 2000. Table 2-7 presents historical per capita personal income data for Kansas, the Plains region, and the U.S. from 1994 through 2005.

Table 2-7

					centage Char om Prior Yea	0	Kansas Percenta	
Year_	Kansas	Plains Region	U.S	Kansas	Plains Region	U.S	Plains Region	U.S.
1994	20,990	21,188	22,172	%	%	%	99.1 %	94.7 %
1995	21,558	21,934	23,076	2.7	3.5	4.1	98.3	93.4
1996	22,845	23,378	24,175	6.0	6.6	4.8	97.7	94.5
1997	24,041	24,422	25,334	5.2	4.5	4.8	98.4	94.9
1998	25,483	25,928	26,883	6.0	6.2	6.1	98.3	94.8
1999	26,195	26,737	27,939	2.8	3.1	3.9	98.0	93.8
2000	27,694	28,326	29,845	5.7	5.9	6.8	97.8	92.8
2001	28,718	29,047	30,574	3.7	2.5	2.4	98.9	93.9
2002	28,980	29,622	30,810	0.9	2.0	0.8	97.8	94.1
2003	29,780	30,607	31,463	2.8	3.3	2.1	97.3	94.7
2004	31,312	32,164	33,090	5.1	5.1	5.2	97.4	94.6
2005	32,948	33,362	34,495	5.2	3.7	4.2	98.8	95.5

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis

### **Chapter 3**

### Local & Regional Employment & Income\_

### **Major Labor Market Employment**

The economy of Kansas generally is concentrated in urbanized areas, each with a similar economic base. For statistical purposes, these geographic areas include 4 metropolitan areas and 11 selected counties. The metropolitan areas include the Wichita metropolitan area (Butler, Harvey, and Sedgwick Counties), the Topeka metropolitan area (Shawnee County), the Lawrence metropolitan area (Douglas County) and the Kansas City, Kansas metropolitan area (Franklin, Johnson, Leavenworth, Linn, Miami, and Wyandotte Counties). The selected counties each contain a city that is the major economic base for the region. In addition, the city has a population that is in excess of 12.000 people and constitutes at least 50.0 percent of the total county population. The 11 selected counties and associated cities are Barton Co./Great Bend, Crawford Co./Pittsburg, Ellis Co./Hays, Finney Co./ Garden City, Ford Co./Dodge City, Lyon Co./ Emporia, McPherson Co./McPherson, Montgomery Co./Coffeyville-Independence, Reno Co./Hutchinson, Riley Co./Manhattan, and Saline Co./Salina. It should be kept in mind that employment estimates reported in this section are based on data through October 2006, which were the most recent information available when this report was prepared.

### **Employment by Place of Residence**

Employment by place of residence is based on a sample survey of households. From the sample survey, the civilian labor force is determined. This number is then used as the basis from which employment, unemployment, and the unemployment rates are derived.

From October 2005 to October 2006, the civilian labor force increased in three of the state's four major labor markets—Lawrence (1.7 percent), Wichita (0.7 percent), and Kansas City (0.3 percent). However, the civilian labor force in Topeka decreased by 1.1 percent.

Employment reflected the same pattern as the civilian labor force with increases in three of the four metropolitan areas. Employment increased by 2.0 percent in the Lawrence metropolitan area, 1.8 percent in the Wichita metropolitan area, and 0.8 percent in the Kansas City metropolitan area, while employment decreased in the Topeka metropolitan area by 0.5 percent.

Although the labor force and employment followed the same pattern, the unemployment rate followed a different one. In fact, it declined in all four of the state's metropolitan labor markets from October 2005 to October 2006. The unemployment rate fell from 5.5 percent to 4.4 percent in the Wichita metropolitan area; from 5.3 percent to 4.8 percent in the Topeka metropolitan area; from 3.7 percent to 3.5 percent in the Lawrence metropolitan area; and from 5.1 percent to 4.7 percent in the Kansas City metropolitan area.

### **Employment by Place of Work**

Employment by place of work is determined by compiling data primarily from information obtained from businesses covered by the unemployment insurance program. Employment by place of work data are then categorized by industry type. The two major categories are the goods producing industries and the services producing industries. The following section first presents employment by place of work for each of the 4 major metropolitan areas. The data for each of the 11 counties are presented in the following section.

Wichita Metropolitan Area. Based on place of work data, employment in all industries in the Wichita metropolitan area increased 1.8 percent in 2006. Employment in the goods producing industries increased by 3.2 percent, while employment in the service producing industries increased by 1.3 percent. Notably, 2.9 percent increases were realized in both overall manufacturing employment and aerospace products manufacturing. Table 3-1 presents employ-

ment in the Wichita metropolitan area for 2005 and 2006.

Table 3-1

### Wichita Metropolitian Area Employment Butler, Harvey & Sedgwick Counties October 2005-October 2006

	2005	2006	% Chg.
Place of Residence Data			
Civilian Labor Force	307,390	309,515	0.7
Employment	290,486	295,794	1.8
Unemployment	16,904	13,721	(18.8)
Unemployment Rate	5.5	4.4	(1.1)
Place of Work Data			
All Industries	288,000	293,200	1.8
Goods Producing Indus.	75,500	77,900	3.2
Nat. Res. & Const.	15,900	16,600	4.4
Manufacturing	59,600	61,300	2.9
Durable Goods	51,000	52,800	3.5
Machinery	5,000	5,200	4.0
Trans. Equipment	34,900	35,900	2.9
Aerospace Prod.	34,000	35,000	2.9
Service Producing Indus.	212,500	215,300	1.3
Trade, Trans. & Util.	49,800	49,700	(0.2)
Wholesale Trade	10,900	11,100	1.8
Retail Trade	30,100	30,000	(0.3)
General Mdse.	7,100	7,200	1.4
Trans., Ware., & Util.	8,800	8,600	(2.3)
Information	5,900	5,400	(8.5)
Finance	11,700	11,800	0.9
Credit Intermed.	5,100	1,000	(80.4)
Prof & Bus. Services	26,700	27,500	3.0
Prof. & Tech. Services	8,800	8,500	(3.4)
Prof. & Science	15,900	16,400	3.1
Administrative	5,000	5,400	8.0
Employment Serv.	40,100	41,400	3.2
Educ. & Health Serv.	35,800	37,000	3.4
Hospitals	9,500	9,600	1.1
Leisure & Hospitality	25,300	25,700	1.6
Accom. & Food	22,800	22,900	0.4
Food Services	20,800	21,200	1.9
Other Services	12,200	12,700	4.1
Government	40,800	41,100	0.7
Farm Employment	6,500	6,500	

Source: Ks Dept. of Labor, Labor Mkt. Info. Service

Within the services producing industries, employment increased in six of the categories, including other services (4.1 percent), education and health services (3.4 percent), professional and business services (3.0 percent), leisure and hospitality (1.6 percent), finance (0.9 percent), and government (0.7 percent). Decreases

were realized in only two categories. Employment in the information category decreased 8.5 percent, while employment in the trade, transportation, and utilities category decreased 0.2 percent. Farm employment in the Wichita metropolitan area remained steady in 2006.

According to Moody's Economy.com, the economy in the Wichita metropolitan area is improving. Employment in the metro area is growing at an above average rate that is driven by strong manufacturing, especially aircraft manufacturing. Personal income growth also has demonstrated signs of improvement. Housing trends remain modest and are expected to stay that way. The Wichita metropolitan area is expected to continue to mirror national and state economic expansion trends. However, the rate of employment growth is expected to lag slightly behind the national rate in the long term.

According to the Center for Economic Development and Business Research (CEDBR) at Wichita State University (WSU), the labor market continues to improve. CEDBR expects employment to increase by 1.6 percent this year. Despite these growth signals, there are several indications of economic weakness. Chief among these are anemic personal income growth, weak retail sales growth, and languishing consumer expectations. In spite of these indications, the economy next year should continue to exhibit growth, but at a modest rate. In 2007, Wichita's overall employment is projected to increase 1.7 percent with the addition of 5,000 jobs. The service sector is expected to increase employment by 2.1 percent with the addition of 2,600 jobs, while the manufacturing sector is forecasted to increase 1.6 percent through the increase of 1,200 jobs.

Despite a projected fourth year of overall employment gains, 2007 employment in Wichita is forecasted to stand 2,900 jobs short of its most recent peak that occurred in 2001. Employment levels for 2007 are not expected to return to pre-recession levels, but some industry sectors are expected to decline significantly. When comparing employment in 2001 to the forecast for 2007, the sectors are a mixture. The production sectors are anticipated to have 10,900 fewer jobs, which is a 12.2 percent decline. Along a similar, but not so drastic line, the trade and transportation sectors are anticipated to have 200 fewer jobs, which is a 0.3 percent decline. Alternatively, the service sectors are

anticipated to surpass 2001 employment levels with a net gain of 6,200 jobs and an increase of 5.1 percent. The government sectors also are anticipated to surpass 2001 employment levels with a net gain of 2,100 jobs and an increase of 5.5 percent.

Wichita's aircraft manufacturing jobs account for more than one-half of the local economy's manufacturing sector. The industry can provide a tremendous boost to the local economy when times are good, but can be potentially disastrous when market conditions are poor. The aviation industry is important not only to Wichita, but Kansas as a whole because manufacturing accounts for nearly one-quarter of all jobs in the Wichita Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA).

According to CEDBR, aerospace employment is expected to increase at an average rate of 2.4 percent per year from 2006 through 2016 and is forecasted to account for 2.6 percent of all jobs in Kansas. During that same time frame, aerospace payroll is forecast to increase at an average rate of 8.9 percent per year and will account for 6.2 percent of the entire state's payroll. Each aerospace job generates approximately 3.9 total jobs throughout the state based on both the firm's and the employee's spending. Each aerospace wage dollar generates approximately \$2.60 in the state based on both the firm's and the employee's spending. Aerospace employment alone is forecast to generate approximately \$208.0 million in tax revenues in 2006 as a result of direct employment and \$900.0 million in tax revenues in 2006 as a result of total employment. The forecast for 2007 is \$223.0 million and \$1.0 billion, respectively.

According to the Boeing Company's 2006 Current Market Outlook, the global market for new airplanes will be \$2.6 trillion by 2025. In addition, 27,210 new airplanes will be added, and the fleet will grow from 17,330 to 35,970. Of that fleet, single-aisle airplanes will account for 61.0 percent of all deliveries.

In 2006, Boeing ceased being Wichita's largest employer. With the completion of Onex Corporation's acquisition of Boeing's commercial manufacturing operations in Wichita, Spirit Aero Systems, Inc. was born. As part of the collective bargaining agreement by the Machinists Union, the International Brother-hood of Electrical Workers, and the United Auto Workers with Spirit AeroSystems, approximately 4,000 union members will receive a bonus of up to \$61,440 in cash and stock. The payout is the result of

the Union Equity Program, which is an initial public offering (IPO) of Spirit's stock to union members. The total value of all bonuses is estimated to be nearly \$250.0 million in cash and stock. The bonus plan was in return for union members agreeing to cuts in wages and benefits. Before the end of December, each union member working under the Spirit contract will receive \$34,556 in cash, which is 56.0 percent of the total payout. According to the CEDBR, the cash payouts total approximately \$136.0 million, or 17.0 percent, of December retail sales. In March 2007, qualifying union members will receive the balance of the payout—\$26,884, or 44.0 percent—in stock. They will receive shares of Spirit's Class A common stock at \$26 a share, which was the price of Spirit stock at the opening of its IPO.

According to the General Aviation Manufacturers Association (GAMA) there are over 320,000 general aviation airplanes worldwide, with 219,000 of those airplanes based in the United States. General aviation directly contributes more than \$41.0 billion (\$102.0 billion indirectly) to the U.S. economy annually. In the U.S., general aviation aircraft fly over 28 million hours and carry 166 million passengers annually. The general aviation industry set a new record for billings in 2005, when it realized a 27.2 percent increase over 2004 billings. All segments in the industry—pistons, turboprops, and jets—experienced healthy growth.

In the general aviation sector, deliveries of business jets are expected to be strong over the next decade, according to Honeywell's 2007 Business Aviation Outlook. Honeywell projects record deliveries for 2006 and 2007, with customers expecting to purchase more than 12,000 aircraft valued at over \$195.0 billion between 2006 and 2016. According to Honeywell, strong new model backlogs, continuing expansion in fractional ownership, and growing international demand are key factors supporting a longer-term outlook for growth. Beyond 2007, the outlook remains strong, with deliveries expected to exceed 1,000 aircraft per year for the balance of the decade with only modest cyclical perturbations.

According to Bombardier Aerospace's *Commercial Aircraft Market Forecast*, demand is anticipated for 11,000 aircraft in the 20 to 149-seat category, representing a total value of \$370.0 billion. The 20 to 149-seat market comprises three segments, including the 20 to 59-seat segment, the 60 to 99-seat segment,

and the 100 to 149-seat segment. The 20 to 59-seat segment powered the development of the regional airline industry and represents a strong base for continued growth. The 60 to 99-seat segment represents the current growth phase for regional airlines as the industry moves toward a more cost-driven focus that is characterized by specialization. Rapid growth in this category supports the drive of regional airlines into larger capacity aircraft.

Economic forces will continue to feed regional airline growth in both network expansion and cost optimization. Much of the cost focus is a result of shrinking yields brought about by the low fare carriers, a relatively new market segment that is growing worldwide. With increasing competition from low fare carriers, mainline airlines are forced toward further specialization in order to reduce costs while maintaining their networks. As a result, mainline carriers will continue to outsource short-haul and low-density routes to lower cost operators, currently represented by their regional airline partners. With this partnership approach, mainline airlines can maintain frequency and schedule on routes that are not cost-effective with their own narrow body equipment.

Significantly, the Machinists Union and Bombardier Aerospace reached an agreement on a new labor contract nearly three weeks after hourly workers in Wichita went on strike in October 2006. This was the first work stoppage in the Learjet plant's history. The new agreement includes a lump-sum bonus of \$1,500; general wage increases of 4.0 percent in the first year of the contract and 3.5 percent in the second and third years; an increase in pension payments; and guaranteed maximum caps on insurance premiums in 2008 and 2009. The agreement also addressed issues surrounding seniority, overtime, and voluntarily working on Sundays.

According to *Kansas Occupational Outlook 2010*, manufacturing jobs in southcentral Kansas are expected to increase by 7,000 by 2010. These jobs are expected to have an annual salary of at least \$40,000. Of those 7,000 jobs, at least 4,000 will need to be filled over the next year in Wichita according to the head of the Wichita aircraft industry's workforce training effort. In an effort to fulfill that need, the Kansas Technical Training Initiative is attempting to convince various governments to provide \$40.0 million to build an aviation technical training center in Wichita. To date, \$7.0 million has been raised for the

project from the state and federal governments and the private sector. The proposed 107,000-square-foot training center will consolidate programs from the area's four community and technical colleges. The proposed center will enroll approximately 500 students in a manufacturing program and approximately 100 students in an avionics program. Plans call for an assessment center, aviation center, and manufacturing center. Sedgwick County plans to issue bonds to cover the cost of construction and use the federal and state grant money to pay off the debt. Almost 21.0 percent of Wichita's jobs—double the national average—is in manufacturing. The five local aviation companies have said that the number of trained workers they will need in 2006 could exceed 4,150 and that 1,000 new jobs will be created every year for the next decade.

On the non-aviation horizon, the city and developers plan to proceed with the next phase of the \$130.0 million WaterWalk development in downtown Wichita. The next phase of the development includes a 60,000-square-foot office building and a multiplestory, multi-use building in the heart of the project. The city is the major source of an already-announced \$30.0 million public investment for WaterWalk infrastructure, the majority of which is being funded by tax increment financing. WaterWalk developers have guaranteed a minimum \$30.0 million investment in the development and future property taxes from that investment will pay off the majority of that financing. Developers say they actually expect to invest closer to \$80.0 to \$100.0 million over the next decade as the project grows.

In addition, Sedgwick County is in the process of finalizing plans for the construction of a new \$184.5 million downtown arena. The new arena will be owned and operated by Sedgwick County. Construction on the arena is expected to begin next year, and it should be available for occupancy in late 2008 or early 2009.

According to the Center for Real Estate (CRE) at Wichita State University, the housing market in Wichita is expected to grow through 2007. CRE expects the existing home market in Wichita to grow 5.4 percent in 2006 and 3.1 percent in 2007. However, the CRE expects the new home market to decrease by 3.2 percent in 2006 and then increase 4.6 percent in 2007.

**Topeka Metropolitan Area.** Based on place of work data, all industries employment in the Topeka metropolitan area decreased 0.9 percent in 2006. Table 3-2 presents employment in the Topeka metropolitan area for 2005 and 2006.

Table 3-2

Topeka Metropolitian Area Employment										
Shawnee County		- *								
October 2005-October 2	006									
	2005 2006 9									
Place of Residence Data										
Civilian Labor Force	123,530	122,164	(1.1)							
Employment	116,954	116,323	(0.5)							
Unemployment	6,576	5,841	(11.2)							
Unemployment Rate	5.3	4.8	(0.5)							
Place of Work Data										
All Industries	110,700	109,700	(0.9)							
Goods Producing Indus.	14,800	13,700	(7.4)							
Nat. Res. & Const.	6,200	6,300	1.6							
Manufacturing	8,600	7,400	(14.0)							
Non Durable Goods	6,500	5,100	(21.5)							
Service Producing Indus.	95,900	96,000	0.1							
Trade, Trans. & Util.	20,100	20,200	0.5							
Wholesale Trade	3,600	3,700	2.8							
Retail Trade	11,500	11,700	1.7							
Trans. & Ware.	5,000	4,800	(4.0)							
Information	2,400	2,500	4.2							
Finance	7,400	7,500	1.4							
Fin. & Insurance	6,000	6,200	3.3							
Prof. & Bus. Services	8,200	8,100	(1.2)							
Educ. & Health Serv.	16,800	17,000	1.2							
Health & Soc. Serv.	16,100	16,400	1.9							
Hospitals	5,200	5,300	1.9							
Leisure & Hospitality	7,500	7,500								
Accom. & Food Svcs.	6,600	6,700	1.5							
Food Services	5,900	6,100	3.4							
Other Services	5,200	5,400	3.8							
Government	28,300	27,800	(1.8)							
Farm Employment	5,900	5,900								

Source: Ks Dept. of Labor, Labor Mkt. Info. Service

Employment in the goods producing industries decreased 7.4 percent, while employment in the services producing industries increased by 0.1 percent. Within the goods producing industries, natural resources, mining, and construction employment increased by 1.6 percent, while manufacturing employment decreased by 14.0 percent.

In Topeka's service producing industries during 2006, employment increases were reported in information

(4.2 percent), other services (3.8 percent), finance (1.4 percent), education and health services (1.2 percent), and trade, transportation, and utilities (0.5 percent). Decreases in employment were realized in government (-1.8 percent), as well as professional and business services (-1.2 percent). Farm employment in the Topeka metropolitan area held steady in 2006.

Moody's Economy.com's performance indicators show that Topeka's economic recovery is very sluggish. Much like the local economy lagged the national economy going into the recession, the Topeka economy also has lagged the national economy in recovering. Industrial production remains subdued in Topeka, growing slower than both the state and the nation.

Significantly, United Steelworkers at 16 Goodyear plants in North America went on strike in October 2006, after the union and the company failed to reach a new labor agreement. The Topeka plant has 1,600 employees; 1,300 to 1,400 are members of United Steelworkers. The Topeka plant manufactures radial truck tires; over-the-road tires, including big earth mover tires; and a special two-piece tire for the mining industry. The strike removes approximately \$1.5 million in payroll per week from the Topeka economy. Based on an average pay of \$20 per hour, a Goodyear worker earns \$800 for 40 hours of work. With 1,350 workers on strike in Topeka, that equates to a loss of \$1,080,000 in payroll per week. However, the weekly payroll is higher because many employees were working 48-hour weeks and earning higher overtime wages in order for the company to meet demand for its products.

The Topeka Goodyear facility is reportedly the sole source vendor for High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicles (Humvee) tires. The Humvee is a light, flexible, tactical vehicle that is used by all branches of the military. The four-wheel-drive vehicles are in use by the U.S. military in Iraq and Afghanistan. Goodyear announced that it was hiring temporary workers to continue production of certain tire lines, including the Humvee tires.

In addition to payroll, the company spends money with local vendors by buying products and services to support the plant's operations. In 2004, the company reports that it spent \$21.9 million with local vendors, the majority of which was in Shawnee County. According to Goodyear, its total annual salaries and

wages for the Topeka plant are approximately \$175.0 million. This figure includes salaries and wages for management, nonunion, and union members. A strike by Goodyear workers in 1976 lasted four months.

In 2002, the Legislature approved a \$10.0 million incentive for Goodyear to retool the Topeka facility. The money for the state incentive comes from payroll withholding tax from the local Goodyear plant. The incentive money is paid to Goodyear only if it maintains the agreed upon employment at the facility. Another \$750,000 for Goodyear comes from a local option quarter-cent sales tax designated for economic development. If Goodyear closes the local Topeka plant before a ten-year period is up, the company has to repay the sales tax incentive. In conjunction with these incentives, the company has committed to invest more than \$100.0 million in its Topeka plant to upgrade its manufacturing processes over the next five Goodyear's new Fuel Max Technology is focused on fuel and tire costs. The Goodyear plant in Topeka is scheduled to produce 7,000 to 8,000 Fuel Max Fuel Efficient Tires per month. In addition to Goodyear Topeka commercial tires, earthmover tires, including the Two-Piece Assembly, which won the NorTech Innovation Award in 2004. Two-Piece Assembly production began in 2002.

The Topeka Joint Economic Development Organization (JEDO), which partners with city and county elected officials to administer money from a half-cent, county-wide sales tax, approved an estimated \$125,000 to \$175,000 in incentives for Frito-Lay, Inc. to carry out a planned multimillion-dollar expansion project at its plant in Topeka. The expansion is targeted at creating 50 to 70 new jobs. The money is part of a proposed ten-year incentive package being put together by local and state agencies for Frito-Lay.

Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) Corp. is constructing another building to handle additional locomotive repairs in Topeka. The new building, which is 225 feet long and 70 feet wide, will increase capacity for service, repair, and routine maintenance of locomotives. Although the new building will not add any new jobs, it is expected to help maintain the jobs that already exist in Topeka. BNSF has 1,200 employees in the offices and shops in Topeka.

In October 2006, Topeka hosted the Sports Car Club of America (SCCA) National Championship Runoffs.

According to the SCCA, more than 700 drivers compete annually in the runoffs, known as the "Super Bowl" of amateur road racing. The event, which is in its 43rd year, took place near Lexington, Ohio, before moving this year to Heartland Park Topeka. The Topeka track has a three-year contract to host the event through 2008. Racers qualified to compete in the runoffs by earning a specific number of points at SCCA-sanctioned events. Past SCCA racers have included actors Paul Newman and Tom Cruise, as well as members of the Andretti, Unser, and Rahal racing families. A Washburn University study conducted in 2004 estimated that if the runoffs were brought to Topeka, the annual economic effect on Shawnee County would be more than \$11.2 million.

Stormont-Vail Health Care completed its new cancer center in the fall of 2006. The 30,000-square-foot, \$13.0 million facility consolidates the hospital's cancer treatment facilities into one place.

Even though the Topeka housing market is slowing, house-price appreciation will only slow slightly because housing prices in the area were never driven up to speculative highs. Therefore, no adjustment is expected because of earlier overpricing. According to the Coldwell Banker Home Price Comparison Index, Topeka has the fifth lowest housing cost out of 342 "moderate to large" metropolitan areas in the country. The index compares the selling price of a 2,200-square-foot home in a middle-class neighborhood with four bedrooms, 2 1/2 bathrooms, and a two-car garage. Topeka was seventh on the list last year, but moved up after the average price fell slightly from \$151,562 to \$148,050. This compares with \$200,000 in Kansas City, Missouri and \$247,000 in Lawrence.

According to Moody's Economy.com, Topeka's long-term growth potential is limited by several constraints. Most notably, the area lacks a dynamic growth driver. Topeka is a service economy that thrives on the spending patterns of its residents, which makes it unattractive for migrating businesses to relocate there. Furthermore, the area exhibits middling demographic trends that show no sign of improvement. Lacking both expected population growth and dynamic industrial growth, Topeka will be hard-pressed to find meaningful economic growth in the long term.

Moody's Economy.com also suggests that the nearterm outlook for the Topeka economy looks austere. The strike at Goodyear has already produced a measurable effect on the local economy. A prolonged strike threatens to destabilize support industries further, such as retail trade and health care.

Lawrence Metropolitan Area. Based on place of work data, all industries employment in the Lawrence metropolitan area increased 2.5 percent in 2006. Employment in the goods producing industries increased 2.9 percent during that same time frame, and employment in the services producing industries increased by 2.4 percent. Within the service producing industries, employment in professional and technical services increased by 12.5 percent, while trade, transportation, and utilities increased by 6.1 percent. Alternatively, leisure and hospitality decreased by 3.0 percent, education and health service employment decreased by 1.6 percent, and government decreased by 1.4 percent. Farm employment remained constant in the Lawrence metropolitan area in 2006. Table 3-3 presents employment in the Lawrence metropolitan area for 2005 and 2006.

Table 3-3

Lawrence Metropolitian Area Employment Douglas County October 2005-October 2006										
2005 2006 % Chg.										
Place of Residence Data										
Civilian Labor Force	63,409	64,510	1.7							
Employment	61,042	62,252	2.0							
Unemployment	2,367	2,258	(4.6)							
Unemployment Rate	3.7	3.5	(0.2)							
Place of Work Data										
All Industries	52,300	53,600	2.5							
Goods Producing Indus.	6,900	7,100	2.9							
Service Producing Indus.	45,400	46,500	2.4							
Trade, Trans., & Util.	8,200	8,700	6.1							
Retail Trade	5,800	6,100	5.2							
Prof. & Tech. Serv.	4,000	4,500	12.5							
Educ. & Health Serv.	6,400	6,300	(1.6)							
Leisure & Hospitality	6,600	6,400	(3.0)							
Government	14,600	14,400	(1.4)							
Farm Employment	1,000	1,000								

Source: Ks Dept. of Labor, Labor Mkt. Info. Service

According to Moody's Economy.com, Lawrence's economy is exhibiting considerable volatility, which is evidenced by the inability of the area to produce sustained employment growth. Retail trade is rebounding from its slowdown over the past two years

and is the only industry to experience steady growth in recent months. Furthermore, there has been a sharp contraction in leisure/hospitality recently.

Although the housing market in the Lawrence area has experienced tremendous growth over the past several years and pushed average home prices up to nearly \$250,000, the housing market is deteriorating because of oversupply and the weakening national market. Moody's Economy.com suggests that the excess supply of single-family homes has been building up in the area over the past four years and the build up is finally being reflected in house prices. Housing price growth has dropped below a 3.5 percent year-over-year rate, which is the lowest rate in more than 10 years. Accordingly, single-family building permits have fallen by approximately 30.0 percent from a peak earlier in the year.

Moody's Economy.com concludes that the Lawrence economy is expected to track the nation over the near term. Business/professional and leisure/hospitality services will drive employment growth. Because the Lawrence economy is not highly diversified, this is further contributing to its weakening demographic trends.

Kansas City, Kansas Metropolitan Area. Because of changes in statistical reporting areas, Kansas City, Kansas is now regarded as a separate statistical area. The area includes Franklin, Johnson, Leavenworth, Linn, Miami, and Wyandotte Counties. Employment by place of work in the Kansas City, Kansas metropolitan area increased 0.5 percent in 2006. However, employment in goods producing industries decreased 0.2 percent, while employment in service producing industries increased 0.6 percent.

Within the goods producing industries, durable goods manufacturing employment increased 1.0 percent, while non-durable goods manufacturing employment remained unchanged. Within the service producing industries, other services employment increased 2.6 percent, while education and health services increased 2.5 percent. Both professional and technical services and government increased 2.1 percent, while leisure and hospitality services increased 1.1 percent, and financial activities increased 0.7 percent. Decreases were realized in trade and transportation employment (-1.8 percent). Farm employment in the area increased 1.4

percent in 2006. Table 3-4 presents employment by place of residence for the Kansas City, Kansas metropolitan area.

Table 3-4

Kansas City, KS Me		Employ	ment
October 2005-October 20	006		
	2005	2006	% Chg.
Place of Residence Data			
Civilian Labor Force	431,508	432,722	0.3
Employment	409,367	412,545	0.8
Unemployment	22,141	20,177	(8.9)
Unemployment Rate	5.1	4.7	(0.4)
Place of Work Data			
All Industries	428,800	430,900	0.5
Goods Producing Indust.	59,700	59,600	(0.2)
Nat. Resources & Const.	23,400	23,100	(1.3)
Manufacturing	36,300	36,500	0.6
Durable Goods	20,500	20,700	1.0
Computer & Elect.	3,500	3,800	8.6
Transporation Equip.	4,000	4,000	
Non-Durable Goods	15,800	15,800	
Service Providing Indust.	369,100	371,300	0.6
Trade & Transportation	93,900	91,700	(2.3)
Wholesale Trade	24,400	24,500	0.4
Durable Goods	12,000	12,000	
Nondurable Goods	7,200	7,200	
Retail Trade	49,100	47,400	(3.5)
General Merchandise	12,900	12,100	(6.2)
Trans, Warehouse & Util.	20,400	19,800	(2.9)
Information	22,300	21,900	(1.8)
Telecommunications	16,500	1,000	(93.9)
Financal Activities	30,200	30,400	0.7
Finance & Insurance	24,200	24,700	2.1
Insurance Carriers	11,200	11,600	3.6
Prof. & Technical Services	66,600	68,000	2.1
Prof. & Science	28,200	28,700	1.8
Administrative	34,800	35,400	1.7
Edu. & Health Svcs.	48,300	49,500	2.5
Heath Care & So.	43,400	43,900	1.2
Hospitals	12,800	13,700	7.0
Leisure & Hospitality	36,500	36,900	1.1
Accomodations	30,900	31,400	1.6
Food Services	28,200	28,900	2.5
Other Services	15,100	15,500	2.6
Government	56,200	57,400	2.1
Farm Employment	7,000	7,100	1.4

Source: Ks Dept. of Labor, Labor Mkt. Info. Service

According to Moody's Economy.com growth in the Kansas City economy has weakened recently because payrolls in most service industries have plateaued. Service payrolls remain above last year's levels, but

they have been almost flat recently. The professional/business services industry has been one of the strongest performers in this metro area of late. The manufacturing sector continues to lose jobs, thus weighing on the metro area's performance. Moreover, Kansas City's slowing housing market is also a drag on the local economy because of the area's above-average concentration of housing-related employment.

Ongoing restructuring among U.S. auto manufacturers will continue to be an impediment on the Kansas City economy. The auto industry's high wages have been an important support for incomes and spending in the metro area. Therefore, there is risk that further job cuts at Ford and GM will further weaken Kansas City's ailing manufacturing sector.

Ford shut down its Claycomo, F-150 truck production for one week in September and two weeks in October. The facility also is scheduled to be closed for one week in January 2007, and one week in February 2007. Approximately 2,400 local Ford employees are expected to be temporarily furloughed during these periods. However, under the United Auto Workers bargaining agreement, members laid off because of slow sales receive benefits from a company-union fund, as well as state unemployment compensation. These combined sources are expected to cover approximately 90.0 percent of the average worker's take-home pay. Production of the Ford Escape and Mercury Mariner at Claycomo will continue because consumer demand is good. However, periodic Saturday shifts that the SUV line had already scheduled will be eliminated immediately. Approximately 2,400 people work on the SUV line, but the overall employment at the Claycomo plant is 5,100 employees.

Ford recently announced that by 2012, it plans to eliminate more than 30,000 hourly jobs, close 14 North American plants, and reduce its salaried work force by the equivalent of 4,000 jobs. Ford has not named all the plants to be closed in its downsizing. The Claycomo plant avoided the first round of plant closings originally announced in January 2006.

In addition, the Ford Motor Co. is expanding its early retirement and buyout offers to all hourly workers and making the offers more lucrative to entice employees to leave. This means that approximately 4,800 hourly employees at Ford's Claycomo plant will again have a

chance to accept an early retirement or cash-buyout offer. Nearly 1,500 production workers at Ford Motor Co.'s Claycomo plant already have accepted the latest offer to leave the troubled automaker, although the positions are expected to be refilled. The departures are expected to begin in January 2007 and will be spread through September 2007. If all the local employees who accepted the latest offer leave, nearly 2,100 of Claycomo's 4,900 hourly employees will have left Ford from mid-2006 through September 2007. That equates to 43.0 percent of the workforce. The employees who accepted the latest buyout or early retirement could change their minds anytime before their departure date. Although a large portion of the current workforce is leaving, Kansas City's workforce is expected to remain static because those leaving are being replaced by transferring workers.

Ford originally offered five different separation packages, including three early-retirement packages. The most popular early-retirement option was a \$35,000 lump-sum payment for anyone with 30 years of service who are retiring with full benefits. The cash buyout option offered a \$100,000 lump-sum payment for any hourly Ford employee, along with six months of basic medical benefits. Retirement-eligible Ford employees who select this option must delay receiving retirement benefits for at least 23 months after leaving. The remaining package would pay departing workers' tuition up to \$15,000 annually and one-half of their hourly pay for up to four years if they return to school or a vocational training program.

Subsequently, Ford added three more offers in its expanded program, including an education benefit that goes up to two years. The tuition payment is the same, but workers taking this package will receive 70.0 percent of their pay while attending school. Another offer is an enhanced cash buyout of \$140,000 with benefits, the same as the \$100,000 plan. In this offer, employees must have 30 years of service or be age 55 with 10 years of employment to be eligible. The final package with the newest twist is called the "Family Scholarship Program." This option is available to departing, retirement-eligible employees. If chosen, this option will allow them to receive a \$100,000 account to be used for approved educational expenses for spouses and children.

A survey conducted by the engineering trade publication, *Engineering News-Record* (ENR) identi-

fied the Kansas City area as a national hub for engineering. Four local firms were ranked in the top 50, including Black & Veatch (15), HNTB Corp. (25), Burns & McDonnell (35), and Terracon (50). Seven others were included on the overall list. The survey underscored the importance of Kansas City as a national center for engineering and emphasized the major contribution that concentration of professionals makes to the local economy. In 2005, the metropolitan area employed 7,800 engineers of all stripes and 2,700 engineering technicians, according to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. With the average salary for a local engineer ranging from \$60,000 to \$75,000, the combined earnings of those professionals are more than \$665.0 million.

Burlington Northern-Santa Fe Railroad (BNSF) has announced plans to build the BNSF Intermodal and Logistics Park in Gardner, Kansas (southwest Johnson County). The Park will be a \$1.0 billion freight hub and warehouse complex. Under the railroad's plan, trains would be unloaded at the site, with the goods stored on-site or trucked to other destinations. BNSF has acquired 800 of the 1.000 acres needed for the Park and will invest \$200.0 million for the intermodel facility. An economic study shows the distribution center would represent a \$650.0 million investment when fully built over a 20-year period. The entire facility will create 13,000 jobs in the state over a 20year period. Of the 13,000 total, approximately 7,500 jobs will be in Gardner, 4,500 will be in other areas of Johnson County, and 1,000 will be in the Kansas City metro area. Construction could start in 2007 after land acquisition and permitting. The facility is expected to be operational in 2008.

The growth and development of Kansas City, Missouri's downtown area earned the city top honors as the recipient of the National Civic League's All-America City award for 2006. Awarded since 1949, the program is designed to recognize communities of all sizes in which residents, businesses, not-for-profit organizations, and government officials work together to address critical local issues. A major factor in the rebound of the Kansas City core area is more than \$2.4 billion of current and planned investment in the downtown area. Kansas City's downtown revival is anchored by the Sprint Center, the Power & Light District (nightlife), the Kauffman Center for the Performing Arts, and a new ballroom at Bartle Hall. The new \$280.0 million Kansas City Live entertain-

ment district is anchored by the \$120.0 million H&R Block 14-story downtown corporate headquarters, which opened in August 2006. Across the street, construction is beginning on the mammoth Power & Light District project being developed by The Cordish Co., based in Baltimore, Maryland. Two blocks to the east, huge support girders rise from the Sprint Center Arena, scheduled to open in October 2007. In October 2006, groundbreaking was held for the \$326.0 million Kauffman Metropolitan Kansas City Performing Arts Center. Plans call for two 1,600-seat halls, one for symphonic music, the other for opera and ballet, along with a multipurpose facility called Celebration Hall. In addition, the city has pledged \$47.0 million to build two parking garages for the complex. Construction of the new arena will be financed with a combination of \$276.0 million in private and public financing. Major contributors include the Anschutz Entertainment Group (AEG) (\$54.0 million). the National Association of Basketball Coaches (\$10.0 million), and Sprint, which has purchased naming rights for up to \$2.5 million per year. Public contributions will come from a new hotel and rental car fee.

Kansas City also has a future National Hockey League franchise for the Sprint Center. Now all it needs is a team when the downtown arena is scheduled to open in October 2007. William "Boots" Del Biaggio III, the founder of a Menlo Park, California, venture-finance company, has entered into a long-term lease with the Sprint Center to own and operate an NHL team if one becomes available through expansion or relocation. As part of his lease with the Sprint Center, Del Biaggio will have an option to buy in as a 50-50 partner in the management of the building. The lease calls for a rental payment to the building. In return, Del Biaggio will receive a share of revenue streams from the building.

All 72 private suites have been sold in the Sprint Center, which will seat 17,297 for hockey and 18,630 for basketball. AEG also has identified 3 of the first 12 founding partners, who will have exclusive advertising rights in the arena. The Big XII men's NCAA basketball tournament is scheduled to be played at the Sprint Center in 2008. First-round and second-round games of the NCAA men's basketball tournament will be played at the Sprint Center in 2009, and the NCAA women's regional semifinals and finals will be played there in 2010. AEG's lease with Del Biaggio does not rule out the Sprint Center from

looking for a team from the National Basketball Association, which has several franchises dealing with arena issues, including the teams in Sacramento, Milwaukee, Portland, and Seattle.

In April, Jackson County voters approved a Truman Sports Complex overhaul, but rejected a rolling roof. The \$575.0 million stadium renovations were the first priority, locking the Chiefs and Royals into Kansas City for years to come. The renovations passed with 53.0 percent of the overall vote. The roof garnered only 49.0 percent of the vote. Jackson County officials held the election because the government had run out of money to fulfill the 1990 leases that mandate "state-of-the-art" stadiums. Without passage of the vote, the county would have defaulted on the leases at the end of the year, which would have allowed the teams to look for new locations. Now the teams are committed at the stadiums until 2031.

The decision will impose a 3/8-cent sales tax to raise \$425.0 million that will be used to overhaul Arrowhead and Kauffman Stadiums. In addition, the Chiefs are contributing \$75.0 million and the Royals will contribute \$25.0 million. State officials have agreed to provide \$50.0 million in tax credits. The teams' contributions will cover all costs associated with suites, and the teams will pay cost overruns. With the renovations, concourses will more than double in width, and hundreds of restrooms and concession stands will be added. Food options will expand, including more specialty offerings at Arrowhead and a food court and restaurant at Kauffman. Arrowhead will receive a new Chiefs store and a museum. Kauffman will add a 9,500-seat, openair pavilion.

The Big XII football championship was held in Arrowhead Stadium in November 2006. The economic effect of this championship is estimated to be as much as \$25.0 million. For a sporting event in Kansas City, that makes it second only to the \$150.0 million produced by the two racing weekends at Kansas Speedway. Most of the 26,500 rooms in the five-county area were filled for portions of the weekend, especially on Saturday night.

The Kansas City area also is seeing the continued development of specialty and entertainment centers, some of which include Zona Rosa in the Northland area, the Bass Pro Shop in Independence and Olathe, and the Legends, which is located in Village West adjacent to the Kansas Speedway in Wyandotte County. In five years, Wyandotte County has more than doubled its hotel rooms, retail outlets, and other venues. Beyond bring entertainment to the area, these developments have generated nearly 3,500 jobs in Wyandotte County.

According to a story reported in the *New York Times*, Olathe, Kansas showed the biggest jump in the percentage of people paying at least 30.0 percent of their income on rent, as well as in those paying at least 50.0 percent on rent. In the last five years, the average price for a new home has doubled to approximately \$350,000. The city has added more than 30,000 jobs in the last five years. Since 2000, the portion of the city's population paying more than 35.0 percent of its income to rent grew to 42.0 percent from 19.0 percent.

## Kansas & Adjacent States Metro Areas' Employment Trends

This section presents employment trends through October 2006 by major industry types for the region that includes Colorado, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, and Oklahoma. In 2005, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) updated Census 2000-based statistical areas using population estimates for 2003. Relative to the 1990s, the updated lists added 49 new Metropolitan Statistical Areas while revising definitions of existing Metropolitan Statistical Areas. The list also identified for the first time 578 Micropolitan Statistical Areas. Additionally, the OMB designated and defined two new sets of statistical areas that include 125 Combined Statistical Areas and 25 Metropolitan Divisions in the most populous Metropolitan Statistical Areas. New England City and Town Areas also are defined as an alternative to the county-based metropolitan and micropolitan areas in the six New England states.

The OMB is responsible for maintaining and updating statistical area classifications, a task it has accomplished every decade since the 1950 census. The OMB establishes and maintains the definitions of these areas solely for statistical purposes. The classification is intended to provide nationally consistent definitions for collecting, tabulating, and

publishing federal statistics for a set of geographic areas. Table 3-5, which is shown on the next page, presents employment growth rates for the 23 metropolitan areas in the five-state region from October 2005 through October 2006. The additional metropolitan areas listed in this table are the result of the new OMB definitions.

#### **Employment by Industry Type**

Total nonfarm employment in the major labor markets in the five-state area increased 1.2 percent from October 2005 to October 2006. Grand Junction, Colorado led the five-state area in employment growth with a growth rate of 5.7 percent. It was followed by Pueblo, Colorado with a growth rate of 3.2 percent, and Greeley, Colorado with a growth rate of 2.7 percent. Out of the 23 major markets in the five-state area, Lawrence ranked fourth with a growth rate of 2.5 percent and Wichita ranked 9th with a growth rate of Although Kansas City, Kansas 1.8 percent. experienced a 0.5 percent employment decrease, it still ranked 18th in the five-state area. Topeka was ranked last in the entire region with a 0.9 percent employment decrease.

Construction & Mining. Total construction and mining employment in the major labor markets in the five-state area increased 3.7 percent in 2006. Grand Junction, Colorado led the five-state area in employment growth with a growth rate of 20.0 percent. It was followed by Greeley, Colorado with a growth rate of 10.9 percent, and Kansas City, Missouri with a growth rate of 8.5 percent. Out of the 23 major markets in the five-state area, Wichita ranked eighth with a growth rate of 4.4 percent, and Topeka ranked 14th with a 1.6 percent growth rate. Although Kansas City, Kansas realized a 1.3 percent decrease in employment, it still ranked 16th.

Manufacturing. Manufacturing employment in the major metropolitan areas in the five-state region decreased at an average rate of 1.3 percent in 2006. However, Wichita led the five-state area with 2.9 percent growth in manufacturing employment, followed by Pueblo, Colorado with 2.5 percent growth, and Tulsa, Oklahoma with 2.3 percent growth. Kansas City, Kansas ranked sixth in the five-state area with manufacturing employment growth of 0.6

Table 3-5

Metropolitan Area Employment Growth Rates, October 2005-October 2006
Kansas & Adjacent States

	Total	Const.	Manu-	Trade, Trans, &	Infor-	Finan.	Prof/Bus	Educ./ Health	Leisure/ Hosp.	Other	Govern-
Kansas	Nonfarm	Mining	facturing	Utilities	mation	Activ.	Services	Services	Services	Services	ment
Lawrence	2.5 %	NA '	% NA %	6.1 %	NA %	6 NA	% 12.5 %	(1.6) %	(3.0) %	NA <sup>(</sup>	% (1.4) %
Topeka	(0.9)	1.6	$^{70}$ NA $^{70}$ (14.0)	0.1 %	4.2	1.4	(1.2)	1.2		3.8	(1.4) %
Wichita	1.8	4.4	2.9	(0.2)	(8.5)	0.9	3.0	3.2	1.6	3.8 4.1	0.7
Kan. City, KS	0.5	(1.3)	0.6	(2.3)	(1.8)	0.7	2.1	2.5	1.0	2.6	2.1
Colorado	0.5	(1.3)	0.0	(2.3)	(1.6)	0.7	2.1	2.3	1.1	2.0	2.1
Boulder/											
Longmont	1.0	(1.5)	(0.5)			2.7	4.2	1.1	(1.2)	2.0	1.3
Colorado	1.0	(1.5)	(0.5)			2.7	7.2	1.1	(1.2)	2.0	1.5
Springs	1.8	5.1	(2.7)		(6.5)	(1.6)	5.0	1.6	4.3	1.4	2.9
Denver/	1.0	3.1	(2.7)		(0.5)	(1.0)	3.0	1.0	7.3	1.7	2.7
Aurora	1.7	2.9	0.4	1.1	(1.3)	0.6	2.9	1.7	3.9	0.4	1.4
Fort Collins/	1.7	2.7	0.1	1.1	(1.5)	0.0	2.9	1.,	3.7	0.1	1
Loveland	1.0	1.8		0.4	(4.2)		0.6	3.6	1.3	2.3	1.1
Grand Junction	5.7	20.0	(2.9)	3.2		3.0	13.7	2.5	2.9	4.5	4.5
Greeley	2.7	10.9	1.0	0.7			4.2	2.7	7.6		(1.3)
Pueblo	3.2	5.0	2.5	3.7			25.6	1.1	1.6		(1.7)
Missouri									-14		()
Columbia	1.8	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1.0
Jefferson City	(0.6)	NA	NA	2.1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	(2.1)
Joplin	0.4	NA	(2.5)	(1.5)	NA	NA	NA	2.8	NA	NA	4.1
Kan. City, MO	0.6	8.5	(7.3)	1.5	(4.6)	1.2	1.1	(0.3)	0.7	(1.2)	2.1
St. Joseph			` '		. ,			` ,		` ,	
MO-KS	1.8	NA	NA	2.6	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
St. Louis											
MO-IL	0.2	4.2	(3.2)	(0.6)	(2.4)	0.4	0.1	0.4	1.6	0.3	1.2
Springfield	2.2	2.0	(2.3)	1.9	(2.3)	2.5	1.2	4.1	4.2		3.6
Nebraska											
Lincoln	1.6	4.7	(2.0)	1.0	(6.5)	6.3	3.3	1.6	3.9	1.3	0.3
Omaha/ Counci	1										
Bluffs, NE-IA	1.3	(5.6)	0.6	(1.4)	(9.0)	3.0	1.3	4.4	6.0	0.6	3.9
Oklahoma											
Lawton	0.2		(7.7)		25.0	4.0	3.1	2.6	2.6	(10.5)	0.8
Oklahoma City	1.7	7.4	(0.8)	1.5		1.7	0.8	1.7	3.5	(1.1)	1.6
Tulsa	1.8	2.5	2.3	1.5	(6.7)		2.8	2.6		(0.9)	4.7
All Area Average	1.2	3.7	(1.3)	0.4	(3.0)	1.1	2.1	1.7	2.5	0.4	1.6

Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics

percent. Although Topeka ranked 19th, it realized a 14.0 percent decrease in manufacturing employment.

**Trade, Transportation, & Public Utilities.** Trade, transportation, and public utilities employment in the major metropolitan areas in the five-state region increased 0.4 percent in 2006. Lawrence led the five-state area with a 6.1 percent increase in trade, trans-

portation, and public utilities employment growth. It was followed by Pueblo, Colorado with a 3.7 percent growth rate, and Grand Junction, Colorado with a 3.2 percent growth rate. Topeka ranked 13th with a growth rate of 0.5 percent. Decreases were realized by Wichita with -0.2 percent growth and Kansas City, Kansas with -2.3 percent growth. Even with these declines in trade, transportation, and public utilities

employment, these two metropolitan statistical areas still managed to rank 19th and 23rd, respectively.

**Information.** Information employment in the major metropolitan areas in the five-state region decreased 3.0 percent in 2006. Lawton, Oklahoma had the highest growth rate in the five-stare area at 25.0 percent, followed by Topeka with a 4.2 percent growth rate, and Pueblo, Colorado with information employment remaining steady. Although they realized decreases in information employment, Kansas City, Kansas still ranked ninth (-1.8 percent) and Wichita ranked 17th (-8.5 percent).

**Financial Activities.** Financial activities employment in the major metropolitan areas in the five-state region increased 1.1 percent in 2006. Within the five-state region Lincoln, Nebraska experienced the highest growth in financial activities employment at 6.3 percent, followed by Lawton, Oklahoma with 4.0 percent growth, and Grand Junction, Colorado with 3.0 percent growth. Topeka ranked eighth (1.4 percent), Wichita ranked 10th (0.9 percent), and Kansas City, Kansas ranked 11th (0.7 percent).

**Professional & Business Services.** Professional and business services employment in the major metropolitan areas in the region increased 2.1 percent in 2006. Pueblo, Colorado had the highest growth rate in professional and business services employment in the five-state area at 25.6 percent, followed by Grand Junction, Colorado at 13.7 percent and Lawrence, Kansas at 12.5 percent growth. Wichita ranked ninth in the five-state area (3.0 percent), while Kansas City, Kansas ranked 12th (2.1 percent), and Topeka ranked 19th (-1.2 percent).

Education & Health Services. Education and health services employment in the major metropolitan areas in the region increased 1.7 percent in 2006. Omaha, Nebraska/Council Bluffs, Iowa had the highest growth rate in education and health services employment at 4.4 percent, followed by Springfield, Missouri at 4.1 percent and Fort Collins/Loveland, Colorado at 3.6 percent. Wichita ranked fourth in the five-state area (3.2 percent), while Kansas City, Kansas ranked ninth (2.5 percent), Topeka ranked 15th (1.2 percent), and Lawrence ranked 20th (-1.6 percent).

**Leisure & Hospitality Services.** Leisure and hospitality services employment in the major metropolitan areas in the region increased 2.5 percent

in 2006. Greeley, Colorado had the highest growth rate in leisure and hospitality services employment at 7.6 percent, followed by Omaha, Nebraska/Council Bluffs, Iowa with 6.0 percent growth. Colorado Springs, Colorado followed with 4.3 percent growth. Wichita ranked 12th (1.6 percent), while Kansas City, Kansas was ranked 14th (1.1 percent), Topeka ranked 17th (no change), and Lawrence was ranked 19th (-3.0 percent).

Other Services. Other services employment in the major metropolitan areas in the five-state region increased 0.4 percent in 2006. Grand Junction, Colorado had the highest growth rate in other services employment at 4.5 percent, followed by Wichita at 4.1 percent, Topeka with a 3.8 percent growth rate, and Kansas City, Kansas with a 2.6 percent increase in other services employment.

Government. Government employment in the major metropolitan areas in the five-state region increased 1.6 percent in 2006. Tulsa, Oklahoma experienced the highest growth in government employment with a 4.7 percent growth rate. It was followed by Grand Junction, Colorado with a 4.5 percent growth rate and Joplin, Missouri with a 4.1 percent growth rate. Kansas City, Kansas ranked eighth (-2.1 percent), Wichita ranked 16th (-0.7 percent), Lawrence ranked 20th (-1.4 percent), and Topeka ranked 22nd (-1.8 percent).

## **Regional Labor Market Employment**

The civilian labor force increased in seven of the state's eleven secondary labor markets during 2006. Reno County experienced the highest rate of increase at 4.1 percent. It was followed by Barton County with a 3.3 percent growth rate. Montgomery County came in next with a 2.7 percent increase. During that same time period, decreases in the civilian labor force were experienced in Ellis County (-5.1 percent), Finney County (-2.7 percent), and Saline County (-0.2 percent) County. In keeping with the growth in the civilian labor force, employment increases were experienced in nine of the state's secondary labor markets in 2006. Again, Reno County experienced the highest rate of growth at 5.1 percent, followed by Barton County at 3.7 percent, and Montgomery County at 3.0 percent. Most significantly, all of the state's 11 secondary labor markets experienced a

Table 3-6

#### County Employment October 2005-October 2006

Percent Change from October 2005 to October 2006

	Barton	Craw.	Ellis	Finney	Ford	Lyon	McPher.	Mont.	Reno	Riley	Saline
										<u>`</u>	
Place of Residence Data	a										
Civilian Labor Force	3.3	0.4	(5.1)	(2.7)	0.0	0.3	0.6	2.7	4.1	0.5	(0.2)
Employment	3.7	0.8	(5.0)	(2.1)	0.4	1.2	1.0	3.0	5.1	0.8	0.5
Unemployment	(5.3)	(5.8)	(9.8)	(15.3)	(9.4)	(18.7)	(10.7)	(3.0)	(14.0)	(6.8)	(16.2)
Unemployment Rate	(0.4)	(0.3)	(0.2)	(0.6)	(0.4)	(0.8)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.9)	(0.3)	(0.7)
Place of Work Data											
All Industries	3.2	6.2	0.3	(0.5)	4.4	10.4	4.2	(0.4)	1.7	21.0	3.3
Ag., For., Fish., & Hunt.	50.0	NA	NA					NA	100.0	NA	NA
Mining	1.6		2.1	3.1	NA			20.0	(5.9)	NA	
Utilities			NA				NA	20.0			(16.7)
Construction	54.1	7.7	(1.8)	(3.9)	3.2	4.3	24.4	12.2	18.0	(13.5)	22.9
Manufacturing	3.1	1.3		(0.3)	6.7	14.8	3.1	(1.3)	2.6	(5.3)	5.4
Wholesale Trade	2.8	1.5		1.7			7.6		1.0	(3.6)	(1.7)
Retail Trade	5.3	1.1	0.8	2.1	4.1	(1.1)	8.4	5.2	(0.9)	8.9	0.5
Trans. & Warehousing	2.8	(3.6)		2.2	(6.3)	(4.8)	8.0	(6.1)	5.3		(9.7)
Information	33.3				4.8	42.4	(11.8)		(6.9)	(10.0)	
Finance & Insurance	(15.6)		6.0		10.0	2.8	(2.1)	(2.3)	3.8	(8.5)	0.8
R.E. & Rental & Leasing					(12.5)					5.9	
Prof. & Tech. Services		3.6	3.7	(6.5)	14.3		5.9		1.9	(4.3)	4.2
Mgmt. of Cos. & Ent.			(4.8)			100.0	3.0		12.5		2.9
Adm. & Waste Services		3.5	4.0			18.9		(6.5)	4.7	5.3	2.8
Educational Services			6.7			(20.0)	(13.0)	(16.7)		28.6	
Health & Social Assist.	1.0	1.6	(0.7)	(0.6)	3.1	2.0	3.0	(0.4)	(0.5)	0.3	2.2
Arts, Ent., & Recreation	9.1				16.7	14.3	28.6		10.3	(13.8)	(7.7)
Accom. & Food Serv.	(3.8)		(0.6)	1.7	14.9	4.7	1.9	(5.8)	(2.8)	(1.3)	2.7
Other Services	2.4	7.9			5.1	1.7	1.3		2.9	(1.9)	7.2
Government	(0.8)	20.7	(0.4)	(3.2)	(1.4)	18.6	8.7	0.4	(0.4)	73.1	5.1
Farm Employment		11.1		2.5				2.2			2.9

Source: Ks Dept. of Labor, Labor Mkt. Info. Service

decrease in their respective unemployment rates in 2006. Reno County experienced the largest decrease of 0.9 percentage point, followed by Lyon County with a 0.8 percentage point decrease, and Saline County with a 0.7 percentage point decrease. Table 3-6 on county employment, which is shown above, presents employment trends for the 11 selected counties from October 2005 to October 2006.

## **County Personal Income**

Both the levels and the components of personal income are important in understanding local

economies. Because of this importance, county personal income is presented in this section.

#### **Total Personal Income**

Total personal income in Kansas grew at a 5.7 percent rate in 2005. Johnson County generated the highest level of personal income with \$24.2 billion, followed by Sedgwick County with \$16.7 billion. Shawnee, Wyandotte, Douglas, Leavenworth, Reno, Butler, Riley, Saline, and Harvey Counties each generated over \$1.0 billion of personal income in 2005. The lowest levels of income were recorded in Cheyenne, Comanche, Greeley, Wallace, Clark, Lane, and Logan

Counties, each with less than \$50.0 million in total personal income.

The highest growth rates of personal income in 2005 were experienced in Stanton (59.1 percent), Geary (30.7 percent), and Coffey (21.6 percent) Counties. At the other extreme, Cheyenne County experienced a 41.7 decline in personal income. It should be kept in mind that these rates of change may be distorted by erratic fluctuations in the farm economy.

Of the state's major urban counties, Wyandotte County had the highest personal income growth in 2005 at 15.3 percent, followed by Shawnee County (8.3 percent), Sedgwick County (7.7 percent), and Johnson County (4.9 percent). Appendix D presents county personal income by major components for 2004. Appendix E shows county personal income estimates by major components for 2005. Figure 3-1, which is shown on page 47, presents the percent change in county personal income from 2004 to 2005.

Salaries & Wages. Salaries and wages are distributed across the state in a pattern that generally corresponds to population. The areas with higher population density generally have higher total salaries and wages. Salaries and wages in Kansas grew at a 4.0 percent rate in 2005. Johnson County and Sedgwick County ranked first and second, with salaries and wages of \$13.5 billion and \$9.5 billion, respectively. Shawnee and Wyandotte Counties each generated over \$3.0 billion of salaries and wages in 2005. During that same year, Hodgeman and Wallace Counties had the lowest salaries and wages total with less than \$15.0 million each.

Other Labor Income. While the correlation between salaries and wages disbursements and other labor income is not exact, the two are closely related. County rankings are nearly the same for both components. Other labor income in Kansas grew at a rate of 8.2 percent in 2005. Johnson County ranked first with \$3.3 billion in other labor income, followed by Sedgwick County at \$2.9 billion. At the other end of the spectrum, Wallace, Hodgeman, Greeley, Comanche, and Woodson Counties realized other labor income of less than \$5.0 million.

**Farm Proprietors' Income.** The relative importance of farm proprietors' income, as a component of total personal income, varies among the 105 Kansas

counties. While there are many measured components of farm owners' income, the major determinants are farm production of crops and livestock, profitability of farm operations, and federal government payments to farmers. Farm proprietors' income is larger and out of proportion in agriculturally-oriented counties because of large-scale production, high profitability, and government support payments. In 2005, farm proprietors' income surged 51.2 percent. Haskell County had the highest farm proprietors' income in 2005 (\$65.5 million), followed by Marshall County (\$61.2 million), and Nemaha County (\$61.1 million).

Non-farm Proprietors' Income. The net earnings of unincorporated business owners constitute non-farm proprietors' income. County size also is a major determinant in the distribution of this income component. Non-farm proprietors' income grew at an 8.2 percent rate in 2005. Johnson County had the highest level of non-farm proprietors' income at \$2.9 billion followed by Sedgwick County with \$1.9 billion. Hodgeman, Greeley, Washington, Stanton, Lane, and Kearney Counties had the lowest with less than \$5.0 million of non-farm proprietors' income each.

**Dividends, Interest, & Rent.** Dividends, interest, and rent increased by 6.4 percent in 2005. This category represents a large component of personal income in Johnson County. In fact, the \$4.3 billion of dividends, interest, and rents in that county account for nearly 30.0 percent of the state total. Sedgwick County also had over \$2.4 billion of dividends, interest, and rent. Only Greeley and Wallace Counties had less than \$10.0 million in dividends, interest, and rents in 2005.

**Transfer Payments.** Transfer payments in Kansas grew at 6.5 percent rate in 2005 and are largely social security benefits. The distribution of transfer payments across the state generally reflects county population. Collectively, the state's four urban counties received over \$5.0 billion in transfer payments, while Greeley, Wallace, and Stanton Counties each received less than \$10.0 million.

**Residence Adjustment.** Because personal income is measured on a residence basis, an adjustment must be made for out-of-county earnings. A residence adjustment is made for salaries and wages, other labor income, and for both farm and nonfarm proprietors' income. The residence adjustment for Kansas increased 10.0 percent in 2005. For the state as a

whole, the 2005 residence adjustment was \$840.3 million. The positive value indicates that, in total, Kansans earn more income out-of-state than income earned by non-Kansans in Kansas.

Within Kansas, four situations are present that relate to residence adjustment. First, for most counties, this component is relatively small. Second, there are considerable earnings by Riley County residents in Geary County. These earnings are associated with Fort Riley. The third situation relates to out-of-county earnings provided in two of the large Kansas counties. For example, the Sedgwick County residence adjustment is negative (-\$638.2 million.) Most of this income appears in bordering counties. neighboring Butler County, the adjustment is positive (\$390.9 million). Thus, Sedgwick County provides earnings and jobs for Butler County residents. A similar situation exists for Shawnee County. The final situation exists in the Kansas City area. Wyandotte County residence adjustment is negative (-\$701.5 million), indicating that the county provides net earnings for residents of other counties and perhaps for Missouri residents. Johnson County is unique in that its residence adjustment is positive (\$672.4 million) and nearly equal to the state's overall residence adjustment of \$840.3 million. Besides providing jobs for residents of bordering counties, even larger earnings of Johnson County residents come from the Missouri side of the Kansas City area.

Personal Social Insurance Contributions. Personal contributions for social insurance in Kansas grew at a 9.1 percent rate in 2005. Because of the definition of the social security tax base, personal contributions for social insurance are closely related to the distribution of salaries and wages, as well as positive proprietors' income. Personal contributions for social insurance exceeded \$500.0 million in each of the state's four major urban counties during 2005. Johnson County's contributions totaled \$2,222.5 million and Sedgwick County's were \$1,567.2 million. Shawnee followed with contributions of \$566.8 million and Wyandotte had \$531.1 million.

#### Per Capita Personal Income

Per capita income for each county may be obtained by dividing the total personal income of the county by the total population of the county. The population data used for these calculations were estimates of county population as of July 1, 2005, based on the U.S. Bureau of the Census' *Current Population Reports*. Figure 3-2, which is shown on page 47, presents per capita personal income by county for 2005.

In 2005, Kansas per capita income was \$32,948. Stanton County had the highest per capita income (\$48,398), followed by Johnson County (\$47,747), and Geary County (\$46,768). The lowest per capita income was in Cheyenne County (\$9,807). It should be noted that county per capita income may fluctuate dramatically from year to year because of the inherent volatility of the farm economy, coupled with the relatively low population in many rural counties.

The relatively low-income counties in rural Kansas generally tend to have a high reliance on the farm economy. Specifically, these counties have a negative, or low, farm proprietors' income. In the eastern half of the state, particularly the southeastern portion of Kansas, low-income counties are primarily those that have relatively high population densities, but are not part of major urban areas.

The counties with high per capita incomes are associated with two conditions. First, most relatively high per capita income counties are rural and agricultural and, for the most part, are in the southwestern part of the state. Second, three of the state's major urban counties have high per capita incomes. This income influences not only the core county of the metropolitan area, but also many bordering counties that provide the place of residence for individuals who are employed, but may not live in the core counties.

#### **The Four Urban Counties**

In Kansas, it also is important to examine personal income levels and related components for the state's four urban counties. These counties are Sedgwick, Johnson, Wyandotte, and Shawnee.

#### **Total Personal Income**

In 2005, Johnson, Sedgwick, Shawnee, and Wyandotte accounted for 47.4 percent of the state's population and 56.6 percent of the state's total personal income.

This indicates that per capita income is higher in the four urban counties than in the state as a whole. Wyandotte County per capita income (\$28,139) is significantly below the state average (\$32,948), while Sedgwick County (\$35,848) and Shawnee County (\$34,206) are both slightly above the state average. Johnson County (\$47,747) is 44.9 percent above the state average. For the four urban counties, the average per capita income is \$39,342, or 19.4 percent, higher than the state average.

These four counties generate 63.2 percent of non-farm proprietors' income; 62.7 percent of Kansas' salaries and wages; 62.5 percent of personal contributions for social insurance; 60.1 percent of the other labor income; 56.1 percent of dividend, interest, and rent income; and 44.5 percent of Kansas' transfer payments. Only for farm proprietors' income and residence adjustment do these four counties sum to a small share of the Kansas total. Johnson or Sedgwick rank either first or second among the 105 counties in most income components, as well as total income. Shawnee and Wyandotte rank third and fourth.

#### **Regional Personal Income**

Because boundaries of economic activity do not necessarily respect political boundaries, comparisons of county income do not necessarily provide an accurate measure of the economic performance of a geographic area. Income that is concentrated in a small area is subject to greater variability than is income spread over a wide area. For example, personal income measures the income received by individuals on the basis of their residence location and is not directly concerned with the location of earnings. Thus, a residence adjustment is necessary for each county for two reasons. First, the residence adjustment is necessary to account for inter-county commuting to work. Second, it is necessary to account for some income components, such as farm proprietors' income, where the location of the residence may be far removed from the location of the income generation.

An additional problem exists for states such as Kansas where farm income is sizeable. Extreme fluctuations in small-area farm earnings occur particularly because

of variable weather conditions and the changing location of farm products marketing.

County data provide helpful insights because they may be aggregated over larger regions. For this report, Kansas has been divided into 11 planning regions, which may be considered the "official" aggregation. Figure 3-3, which is shown on page 48, presents total personal income by region for 2004 and 2005, while Figure 3-4, also shown on page 48, presents the percent change in total personal income by region from 2004 to 2005 and per capita income for 2005.

In terms of total personal income, the 2005 average for the 11 regions is \$7.8 billion. Region I, which surrounds Kansas City, has the highest level of personal income with \$40.1 billion. The only other region that is above the average total personal income per region is Region IV, which surrounds Wichita. That region had total personal income of \$22.2 billion in 2005. The lowest personal income was realized in Region VIII in northwest Kansas at \$721.6 million.

Generally, regional population rankings correspond to regional personal income rankings. High incomes are associated with large populations. Thus, population and personal income are highly concentrated in the state. Accordingly, 72.8 percent of the total personal income is located in Regions I and IV. Less than 1.0 percent of the state's total is located in Region VIII.

Recent income and population growth have been uneven across Kansas. In 2005, total personal income rose by 5.7 percent across the state. The most rapid growth was in Regions XI, III, and VII with 9.3 percent, 6.4 percent, and 6.2 percent growth, respectively. Region VIII experienced a decrease in personal income in 2005 (-3.5 percent).

In terms of per capita personal income, Region I ranked first (\$37,509), followed by Region IV (\$32,948). Region VIII, in northwest Kansas, had the lowest per capita income (\$23,556). The extent of the inequality in regional income is evident because a 59.2 percent difference exists in per capita income from the highest income region to the lowest income region. This is significant by any measure.

Figure 3-1. Percent Change in County Personal Income, 2004-2005




Figure 3-2. Per Capita Personal Income, 2005

(Dollars in Thousands)

27.5 CHEYENNE		2.2	22.2 DECATUR	24.7 NORTON	31.1	26.3 SMITH	27.7 JEWELL	24.9 REPUBLIC	27.8 WASHINGTON			25.0 23.8 DONIPHAN
24.4 SHERMAN		7.2 OMAS	35.2 SHERIDAN	26.9 GRAHAM	24.8 ROOKS	24.5 OSBORNE	30.8	25.0 CLOUD	29.2 27.6 RILEY		25.5 JACKSON	22.0 28.2 WYAND
25.1 WALLAC		7.5	21.3	18.5 TREGO	31.4 ELLIS	25.1	20.3	22.9 OTTAWA	25.1 46		34.2	15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15.
27.3 GREELEY	30.8	30.3	24.2	31.9 NESS	22.5 RUSH	30.2 BARTON	26.7 ELLSWORTH	31.5 SALNE	DICKINSON 22	2.4 ORRIS	21.1 OSAGE	246 246
30.8		23.3		25.8 HODGEMAN	27.1 PAWNE	Е	21.4 RICE	32.8 MCPHERSON	MARION (	30.5 24.6 LYON	39.0 COFFEY	[ 22.0   22.4
AMILTON	KEARNY	FINNEY	27.5	24.1	27.5 EDWARDS	26.8 STAFFORD	27.2 RENO	29.7		22.2	20.9	J = / 10   3 = 0
48.4	27.5 GRANT	39.6 HASKELL	GRAY	FORD	30.7 KIOWA	27.9 PRATT	23.7 KINGMAN	35.8 SEDGWICK		20.6	25.9 WILSON	
19.1	31.3 STEVENS	34.2 SEWARD	28.3 MEADE	19.5 CLARK	18.4 COMANCHE	25.0 BARBER	26.7 HARPER	23.4 SUMNER	27.8 COWLEY	23.8 CHAUTAUQIA	26.8 MONT- GOMERY	25.8 24.6  LABETTE CHEROKEE

Figure 3-3. Total Personal Income, by Region, 2004 & 2005

(Dollars in Millions)





Figure 3-4. Percent Change in Total Income, 2004 & 2005, & 2005 per Capita Income, by Region



## Kansas Demographics

## **Overview**

The U.S. Census Bureau reported that the nation's population reached the historic milestone of 300 million people on October 17, 2006, at approximately 8:46 a.m. (CDT). This milestone comes almost 39 years after the national population reached the 200 million mark on November 20, 1967.

According to the Census Bureau, this estimate is based on the expectation that the United States registers one birth every 7 seconds and one death every 13 seconds, while net international migration is expected to add one person every 31 seconds. The result is an increase in the total population of one person every 11 seconds.

When the national population reached the 300.0 million milestone, Kansas had a total population of 2,744,687, which represents only 1.1 percent of the total national population. This figure was certified on July 1, 2006. By state statute (KSA 11-201), the official population of Kansas is certified from the latest estimates released by the United States Bureau of the Census. As of April 1, 2000, the Kansas population was 2,688,418. On July 1, 2006, the population for calendar year 2005 was certified at 2,744,687. This certification serves as the official population of the state. The certified population for Kansas, all counties, and townships for 2006 is shown in Appendix F.

As the population in Kansas continues to increase, the cities with populations of more than 5,000 are realizing the greatest proportion of the increase. Historically, Kansas has been predominantly rural. However, that trend is changing. Of the 626 cities in Kansas, 58 have populations that exceed 5,000; 568 have populations of less than 5,000; and 429 have populations of less than 1,000 people. The total population of all cities in Kansas is 2,234,228, which represents 81.4 percent of the total population.

Of the 20 largest cities in Kansas, 5 have populations that exceed 100,000, including Wichita (354,865), Overland Park (164,811), Kansas City (144,210),

Topeka (121,946), and Olathe (111,334). These cities are all located in the eastern half of the state. The western half of Kansas encompasses 5 of the 20 largest cities in Kansas, including Salina (45,956), Garden City (27,098), Dodge City (26,104), Liberal (20,257), and Hays (19,632). Of these five cities, only Liberal's populations increased over the last year.

The population that resides in the unincorporated, or rural, areas in Kansas totals 510,459, which has increased 6,829 since 2004. Also, there are 429 cities with populations of less than 1,000 people. These 429 cities have a total combined population of 133,499, which is 763 less than last year. The unincorporated areas, when combined with cities with populations of less than 1,000 people, account for 23.5 percent of the total population. This is a slight increase from last year's level of 23.3 percent of the total population. In 2000, 24.3 percent of the total population resided in unincorporated areas and cities of less than 1,000 people.

There are 568 cities in Kansas that have a population of less than 5,000 people. These cities have a total population of 431,867, which is 1,599 fewer people residing in this category of cities than last year when the total population was 433,466. When the total population of these cities is combined with the rural areas, 34.3 percent of the total population is represented. Last year, 34.4 percent of the total population resided in rural areas and cities with populations of less than 5,000.

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, Kansas gained 10,990 people and ranked 38th nationally in terms of population gain. The greatest population growth in the U.S. occurred in Florida, which gained 404,434 people during that same period. Texas ranked second with a gain of 388,419, and California ranked third with an increase of 290,109 people. In terms of percentage growth, Nevada outpaced the rest of the nation with a growth rate of 3.39 percent. Arizona was second with a growth rate of 3.35 percent, and Idaho was third with a rate of 2.37 percent. The growth rate for Kansas was 0.40 percent.

The West North Central (WNC) Region in the Midwest, which includes Kansas, grew at a slower rate (0.6 percent) than the nation as a whole (0.9 percent). The WNC region also includes Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota. Among the WNC states, Minnesota and Missouri realized the greatest growth rate (0.7 percent). These states were followed by South Dakota (0.7 percent), Nebraska (0.6 percent), Iowa, (0.5 percent), Kansas (0.4 percent), and North Dakota (less than 0.1 percent). The resident population for the U.S., national regions, states, and Kansas counties for 2000 through 2005 is shown in Appendix G.

The data used in this report are based on both full count census information and on estimates. Because both methods are presented, a brief discussion of each methodology follows.

# U.S. Census Bureau's Methodology of Estimating State Populations

National population estimates are formulated by using the latest decennial census data as a benchmark and incorporating administrative data from federal agencies. Currently, the 2000 census serves as the benchmark. Each year following a decennial census, the benchmark is statistically adjusted using numerous variables, and a national estimate is reached. The next step is to formulate estimates for each state, county or parish, city, and township in the United States. All of these estimates sum to the national estimate, which is used as a control.

The annual estimates help identify population shifts, as well as trends and potential changes in some federal grants-in-aid formulas. The total state population and a state's proportion of the national total are factors in some formulas used to calculate federal grant-in-aid program allotments, including the Social Services Block Grant and the annual state private activity bond limitations. However, for most grant-in-aid programs, changes in population do not affect allocations directly.

Annual estimates in population change because of two factors: migration and natural growth. Migration refers to the net effect of migration into and out of each state. In 2005, there were 6,427 individuals who migrated into Kansas from another country. During

that same year, the internal, or state-to-state, migration for Kansas was -9,998. Natural growth refers to the additions to population from births and the subtractions from deaths. There were 39,140 births and 24,658 deaths in Kansas in 2005.

The variables used to produce population estimates are based on data series that capture both migration and natural growth. Variables include vital statistics, such as births and deaths, school statistics from state and parochial school systems, and data from federal income tax returns. Also incorporated into the estimates are data pertaining to housing permits issued, certificates of occupancy, and utility hookups. The latter three variables were included in the methodology beginning with the 1997 estimates. The inclusion of these variables is a significant change in the methodology because, prior to 1997, no housing variables were included. Analysis indicates the inclusion has improved the accuracy of the estimates.

The Census Bureau offers cautions about comparing decennial census numbers with intercensal model-based estimates. It is important to note that differences between decennial census estimates and model-based estimates are ambiguous estimates of changes in the levels of income or poverty at the county level. The ambiguity arises because these differences reflect both changes in the levels of income and poverty and differences in the methods by which the two cross-sectional estimates were made. For more information, see the Bureau's explanation at http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/saipe/techdoc/centa ble.html.

There is uncertainty associated with all estimates. For this reason, the Bureau quantifies the uncertainty through confidence intervals. A confidence interval is a range of values that describes the uncertainty surrounding an estimate. The Bureau indicates a confidence interval by its endpoints. For example, the 90.0 percent confidence interval for the number of people, of all ages, in poverty in the United States in 1995 based on the *March 1996 Current Population Survey* is "35,534,124 to 37,315,094."

A confidence interval is also itself an estimate. It is made using a model of how sampling, interviewing, measuring, and modeling contribute to uncertainty about the relation between the true value of the quantity that is being estimated and the estimate of that value. How a confidence interval is interpreted is important. The "90.0 percent" in the confidence interval listed above represents a level of certainty about the estimate. If new estimates were made repeatedly using the same procedure, the confidence intervals would contain the average of all the estimates 90.0 percent of the time. This unknown average is treated as valid because the modeling procedure used by the Census Bureau is defined as the official measure, for example, of poverty. In these cases, the Bureau has produced a single estimate in a way that, if repeated indefinitely, would result in 90.0 percent of the confidence intervals formed containing the true value. The Census Bureau routinely employs 90.0 percent confidence intervals.

Confidence intervals are one way to represent how "good" an estimate is; the larger a 90.0 percent confidence interval for a particular estimate, the more caution is required when using the estimate. Confidence intervals are an important reminder of the limitations of the estimates.

#### The Decennial Census

Each decennial census yields a wealth of data that are important to a diversified user-group. The actual count for 2000 was completed on April 1, 2000, and is now the benchmark from which all population estimates are derived through 2009. The decennial census assists in determining how much money states will receive in a number of federal grant programs because several of the programs include population count as a component of their distribution formulas.

The electoral effects of the census are understood fairly well because of the effect on congressional seats. Less understood is the interaction between the population count and federal grant programs. The U.S. Supreme Court ruled that for the purposes of reapportioning seats in the House of Representatives, sampling techniques are prohibited. However, the ruling does not prohibit sampling from being used to allocate funds for federal formula grant programs.

According to the General Accounting Office, there are 22 large formula grant programs that rely in part on data derived from the decennial census. Medicaid is the largest program. The three large formula grant

programs that do not use census data are special education; the administrative portion of the nutrition program for women, infants, and children; and low-income home energy assistance.

### **Poverty**

Important items that are determined from the decennial census are the poverty thresholds because they serve as a measure of need for a household. Poverty thresholds originated in 1964. The thresholds were derived using the U.S. Department of Agriculture's food budgets, which were designed for families under economic stress. The thresholds also used other data relating to what portion of those families' incomes was spent on food.

Although the methodology has evolved, poverty thresholds are still the dollar amounts used to determine poverty status. Currently, each person or family is assigned one out of 48 possible poverty thresholds. Thresholds vary according to the size of the family and the ages of the family members.

Although the thresholds in some sense reflect families' needs, they are intended for use as a statistical yardstick, not as a complete description of what people and families need to live. The official measure of poverty was established by the Office of Management and Budget in Statistical Policy Directive 14 and is to be used by federal agencies in their statistical work.

Government aid programs are not required to use the official poverty measure as eligibility criteria. In fact, many government aid programs use a different poverty measure, such as the Department of Health and Human Services Poverty Guidelines or its variants.

Each aid program may define eligibility differently. However, the official poverty data come from the *Current Population Survey Annual Social and Economic Supplement*, which formerly was called the *Annual Demographic Supplement* or simply the "March Supplement."

The same thresholds are used throughout the United States and do not vary geographically. In addition, the thresholds are updated annually for inflation using the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers. Appendix H presents the poverty thresholds for 2005.

The thresholds are used by comparing total family "money" income with the established thresholds. For individuals who do not live with family members, their own income is compared with the appropriate threshold. If total family income equals or exceeds the threshold, the family (or unrelated individual) is not in poverty. If total family money income is less than the threshold appropriate for that family, the family is in poverty. If a family is determined to be in poverty, all family members have the same poverty status.

Income is the primary component used to compute poverty status. The official measure of income that is used by the U.S. Census Bureau is "money income." It includes earnings; unemployment compensation; workers compensation; Social Security; Supplemental Security Income; public assistance; veterans payments; survivor benefits; pension or retirement income; interest; dividends; rents; royalties; income from estates; trusts; educational assistance; alimony; child support; assistance from outside the household; and other miscellaneous sources. Non-cash benefits, such as food stamps and housing subsidies, do not count. Income is the total of all these sources before taxes, and it excludes any capital gains or losses. income of all family members is aggregated to determine the household income. The income earned by non-relatives living in the household, such as housemates, is not included in a household's income.

For example, a family has five members, including two children, their mother, their father, and the children's great-aunt. The appropriate threshold is \$23,108 for a five-member household with two related children under the age of 18. Assume that the family members' income in 2004 was \$25,000, of which \$10,000 was earned by the mother, \$5,000 was earned by the father, and \$10,000 was earned by the great-aunt. Neither child earned any income. To determine this family's poverty status, the family's total income is compared with the family's threshold. Because its income was greater than the threshold, this family is not "in poverty" according to the official definition.

Two other measures that are derived from these data are the Ratio of Income to Poverty and the Income Surplus/Deficit. The ratio is calculated by dividing the family's total money income by the threshold. The example family's ratio of income to poverty is 1.08. The difference in dollars between total family income and the family's poverty threshold is called the Income Surplus/Deficit. For families in poverty, their income

is less than the threshold and it is called an "income deficit." An "income surplus" occurs for families above poverty when its income is greater than the threshold. The example family's income surplus was \$1,892 (\$25,000 minus \$23,108).

People whose poverty status cannot be determined are not included in any poverty statistics produced by the Census Bureau. Those not included are individuals living in institutional group quarters (such as prisons or nursing homes), college dormitories, military barracks, and living situations without conventional housing (and who are not in shelters). In addition, unrelated individuals under age 15, such as foster children, are not included. The reason for this exclusion is that income questions are asked of people only age 15 and older.

### **School District Populations**

The school district estimates provided here are derived from the U.S. Census Bureau's school district mapping project. The latest mapping project for which data are available was conducted at the same time as the 2000 Census. The school district boundary survey is conducted biennially. The survey used for this project asks each state's Department of Education for a list of all school districts and their boundaries.

The population and poverty estimates for each estimate-year are produced for all school districts identified in the most recent boundary update. The boundary year does not always match the year to which the estimates refer. For example, the 2005 poverty estimates were produced for school districts in existence for the 2006-2007 school year. The Bureau uses the most current list of school districts and associated geography because it allows for efficient allocation of funds under the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 for which the estimates are produced.

A listing of the population estimates for each school district in Kansas in 2003 is shown in Appendix I. This is the most recent year for which data are available. Also included in the appendix is the "head count," or actual enrollment numbers, for 2003, which was provided by the Kansas Department of Education. It should be noted that the count from the Kansas Department of Education may not match the Census Bureau's estimate. There are several reasons for the

difference, but the most significant is that the number of individuals who are in private or parochial schools and those who are home schooled are not included.

### **Health Insurance Coverage**

The U.S. Census Bureau also provides statistics on health insurance coverage. The Bureau collects health insurance data using two national surveys, which are the *Current Population Survey (CPS)* and the *Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP)*.

The surveys differ in the length because of the detail of the questionnaire, the number of households interviewed, the methodology used to collect and process the data, and, consequently, in the health insurance coverage estimates that are produced. As a result, it is important to understand that different surveys and methods produce different results, and when it is appropriate to use each survey or method.

The CPS is useful mainly for examining timely estimates of the insured and uninsured population at the national level. The CPS also is useful as a source of estimates of the insured and uninsured populations at the state level. The SIPP is useful mainly for examining the dynamics of health insurance coverage as it changes over time.

The CPS is a monthly survey of approximately 50,000 households conducted by the Census Bureau for the Bureau of Labor Statistics, and the data are used primarily to estimate the unemployment rate. The Annual Social & Economic Supplement (ASEC) to the CPS, a survey of approximately 78,000 households, includes detailed health insurance questions asked of the household respondent for every household resident. Respondents are asked about health insurance coverage in the previous calendar year. The ASEC provides a consistent historical time series at the national level and can be used to examine state-level trends and differences by using multi-year averages. However, the large sampling errors of state-level data limit its usefulness.

The ASEC is perhaps the most widely used source of data on health insurance coverage in the United States and is the official source of estimates used to allocate

federal funding to states for the State Children's Health Insurance Program.

The ASEC provides reliable estimates of the net change in the number of uninsured people from one year to the next. However, it does not show how long a person remains uninsured, what percentage of the uninsured population remains uninsured in the following year, or how many people obtain coverage. Neither does it show any changes in a person's coverage within a given year.

These more dynamic measures of health insurance coverage are available from the SIPP. Unlike the ASEC, which is not designed to follow the same respondents in consecutive years, the SIPP is a longitudinal survey. This means that the SIPP interviews the same respondents three times a year over the course of three to four years.

Nationally, the number of people with health insurance coverage increased by 1.4 million in 2005 from 245.9 million to 247.3 million. The total number insured represents 84.1 percent of the total population. In Kansas, the number of people with health insurance increased by 33,000, which represents a 0.3 percent increase in the coverage rate. Appendix J shows the health insurance coverage status and type of coverage in the U.S. and Kansas from 1988 to 2005.

## **Demographics**

Demographics are the components included in the population. The categories used by the U.S. Census Bureau include age, race, gender, and ethnicity. The demographic make up of Kansas is becoming more diverse. Historically, the population of Kansas has been predominantly of the White race and non-Hispanic in ethnicity. The same overall make up remains; however, the proportion of Hispanic ethnicity displays an upward trend.

Appendix K presents the dynamics between the decennial census in 2000 and the estimates for 2001 through 2005. Each category, including total population by age, race, gender, and ethnicity is shown. The table also shows each category as a percent of the relevant total population.

#### Age

This report identifies five age categories, including under 5 years of age, 5 to 19 years of age, 20 to 64 years of age, 65 years of age and older, and 85 years of age and older. It should be noted the category of 85 years of age and older is a subcategory of 65 years of age and older.

Analysis indicates the population of Kansas is aging, but at a slower pace than the rest of the United States. As of July 1, 2005, there were 2,744,687 people in Kansas with a median age of 36.1 years, which is only slightly younger than the national median age of 36.4 years. In addition, when the trends for age are examined, most notably, the population of school age children has seen the most significant decrease since 2000, while the older cohorts remain relatively constant.

Included in the 2005 total are 187,949 people who are less than 5 years of age. This category has remained virtually unchanged since 2000. Proportionally, this cohort represents 6.8 percent of the total state population and is down only 0.1 percent from last year. In 2000, this cohort represented 7.0 percent of the total state population.

The population of school age children 5 to 19 years of age totaled 569,356 in 2005. This is a 10,307-person decrease, or -1.8 percent, since 2004 and a 40,354-person decrease, or -7.1 percent, since 2000. Proportionally, this cohort represents 20.7 percent of the total state population. In 2004, it represented 21.2 percent of the total state population. In 2000, it represented 22.7 percent.

In 2005, the population from 20 to 64 years of age was 1,630,377 and represented 59.4 percent of the total state population. Last year it represented 58.9 percent and in 2000, it represented 57.1 percent. This cohort increased by 20,104, or 1.2 percent, since last year and by 96,606, or 5.9 percent, since 2000.

In 2000, the estimated population of Kansans who were 65 years of age and older was 356,229. In 2005, that number was 357,005, which is an increase of 0.2 percent from the 2000 level and a 0.5 percent increase over last year. This cohort represents 13.0 percent of Kansas' total population in 2005. During that same

year, there were 34.8 million people over the age of 65 in the nation.

The elderly are generally considered to be those who are 85 years of age or older. In 2000, there was an estimated 4.2 million individuals over the age of 85 in the U.S., representing 12.4 percent of the total U.S. population. During that same year in Kansas, 51,770 individuals were over the age of 85, which represented 1.9 percent of the total state population. In 2005, there were 57,665 individuals who were 85 years of age and older in Kansas. This age cohort realized an increase of 1,867, or 3.2 percent, since 2004 and 5,895, or 10.2 percent, since 2000.

#### Race

Race and Hispanic origin are distinctly different concepts. The concept of race as used by the Census Bureau is one of self-identification and is directly correlated to the race or races with which people most closely identify. These categories are socio-political constructs and should not be interpreted as being scientific or anthropological in nature. In addition, the Census Bureau's race categories include both racial and national-origin groups.

The racial classifications used by the Census Bureau are also used in this report and adhere to the October 30, 1997 *Federal Register* notice entitled "Revisions to the Standards for the Classification of Federal Data on Race and Ethnicity." This report was issued by the Office of Management and Budget. It is important to remember that the data gathered on race in Census 2000 are completely different from previous censuses. Direct comparisons cannot be made. The racial classifications used are:

White. A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, the Middle East, or North Africa. It includes people who indicate their race as "white" or report entries such as Irish, German, Italian, Lebanese, Near Easterner, Arab, or Polish.

**Black or African American.** A person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa. It includes people who indicate their race as "Black, African American, or Negro" or provide written entries such as African American, Afro-American, Kenyan, Nigerian, or Haitian.

American Indian & Alaska Native. This category includes those who have origins in any of the original peoples of North and South America (including Central America) and who maintain an attachment to their community or tribe.

Asian. A person would choose this category if his or her origins are in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, or the Indian subcontinent, including, for example, Cambodia, China, India, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Pakistan, the Philippine Islands, Thailand, and Vietnam. This category includes those who self-identify as Asian Indian, Chinese, Filipino, Korean, Japanese, Vietnamese, and Other Asian.

Native Hawaiian & Other Pacific Islander. This category includes those who have origins in any of the original peoples of Hawaii, Guam, Samoa, or other Pacific islands. It includes people who indicate their race as Native Hawaiian, Guamanian or Chamorro, Samoan, and Other Pacific Islander.

**Some Other Race.** This category includes all other responses that are not included in one of the categories listed above. Respondents providing write-in entries such as multiracial, mixed, interracial, Wesort, or a Hispanic/Latino group (for example, Mexican, Puerto Rican, or Cuban) also are included here.

**Two or More Races.** This category encompasses the responses where individuals chose to self-identify with two or more races by checking two or more race response check boxes, by providing multiple write-in responses, or by some combination of the two.

Between 2000 and 2004, the demographic makeup by race and by age has remained relatively stable with only minor shifts. In 2005, 89.4 percent of the population self-identified as white alone, while 5.9 percent self-identified as black alone, and 0.9 percent as American Indian or Alaska Native alone. Only 2.1 percent self-identified as Asian alone; only 0.1 percent self-identified as Native Hawaiian and other Pacific Islander alone; and 1.6 percent self-identified as two or more races. The only changes occurring in those categories since 2000 were a 0.4 percent decrease in those self-identifying as white alone; a 0.3 percent increase in those self-identifying as Asian alone; and a 0.1 percent increase in those self-identifying as two or more races.

#### Gender

This category is determined by self-classification based on gender as either male or female. In 2004, the total Kansas population consisted of 1,363,613 males and 1.381.074 females, which is a ratio of 0.98 male to 1.00 female. This ratio also was 0.98:1.00 in 2000. The chronology of data indicates there were more males than females from birth through middle age. By 65 years of age, the number of females is greater than the number of males, with the ratio increasing as the population ages. The percentage breakdown and ratio in each age cohort have remained relatively constant from 2000 through 2005. The 65 years of age and older category is the first to show more females than males. For the population that is 85 years of age and older, the difference between males and females is even more marked.

#### **Ethnicity**

The U.S. Bureau of the Census categorizes ethnicity as either Hispanic or non-Hispanic. People who identify with the terms "Hispanic" or "Latino" are those who classify themselves in one of the specific Hispanic or Latino categories—Mexican, Puerto Rican, or Cuban—as well as those who indicate that they are "other Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino." Interestingly, origin can be viewed as the heritage, nationality group, lineage, or country of birth of the person or the person's parents or ancestors before their arrival in the United States. Those individuals who self-identify their origin as Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino may be of any race.

In 2005, there were 228,250 Hispanic individuals in Kansas, which represented 8.3 percent of the total population. In 2000, there were 188,252 Hispanic individuals in Kansas, which was 7.0 percent of the total population. All age cohorts show the same relative increase from 2000 through 2005.

### **Kansas Population Projections**

In Kansas, population estimates and projections are widely used by state agencies, local governments, and individuals. The variety of uses includes a diversified user base that includes all levels of government, the media, businesses, special interest groups, and individuals.

By state statute, on July 1 of each year Kansas certifies as the official state population the U.S. Census Bureau's most recent population estimates for subcounty areas. Unlike the annual certification, the state's population projections are not required to be produced each year. As a general rule, the Kansas Division of the Budget publishes updated population projections every three to five years.

Since the 2000 Census, the projections have been updated twice. The first set was produced in 2002. The most recent set was produced in November 2006 when the Kansas Division of the Budget contracted with Moody's Economy.com to produce population projections, which allows Kansas to fulfill its obligation to provide timely, accurate, and useful data. An advantage of contracting out is that an independent method provides valuable feedback to the U.S. Census Bureau. Appendix L shows the population projections for Kansas and all counties, by age, for 2007 through 2018.

# Appendix A

## **Kansas Personal Income, 2004-2005**

(Dollars in Thousands)

			Percent Chang
	2004	2005	2004-2005
Personal Income	\$ 85,596,373	\$ 90,433,004	5.7 %
Nonfarm Earnings	65,385,196	68,885,838	5.4
Farm Earnings	992,031	1,254,005	26.4
Earnings by Place of Work	66,377,227	70,139,843	5.7
Less: Personal Contributions for Social Insurance	7,160,409	7,814,362	9.1
Plus: Adjustment for Residence	763,987	840,326	10.0
Equals: Net Earnings by Place of Residence	59,980,805	63,165,807	5.3
Plus: Dividends, Interest, & Rent	13,423,676	14,278,443	6.4
Plus: Transfer Payments	12,191,892	12,988,754	6.5
Equals: Personal Income	85,596,373	90,433,004	5.7
Salaries & Wages Disbursements	45,815,302	47,666,412	4.0
Other Labor Income	12,400,113	13,411,123	8.2
Proprietors' Income	8,161,812	9,062,308	11.0
Farm Proprietors' Income	536,231	810,841	51.2
Nonfarm Proprietors' Income	7,625,581	8,251,467	8.2
Farm Earnings	992,031	1,254,005	26.4
Varm Earnings Nonfarm Earnings	65,385,196	68,885,838	5.4
Private Earnings	52,118,158	55,051,842	5.6
Forestry, Fishing, Related Activities, & Other	210,448	224,715	6.8
Forestry & Logging	2,561	(D)	NA
Fishing, Hunting, & Trapping	3,931	(D)	NA
Agriculture & Forestry Support Activities	203,956	217,945	6.9
Other	1 11 6 601	1 220 452	NA
Mining	1,116,681	1,329,453	19.1
Oil & Gas Extraction	812,180	983,522	21.1
Mining (except Oil & Gas)	95,495	105,343	10.3
Support Activities for Mining	209,006	240,588	15.1
Utilities	656,721	690,061	5.1
Construction	3,484,392	3,776,568	8.4
Construction of Buildings	875,217	932,875	6.6
Heavy & Civil Engineering Construction	590,436	655,189	11.0
Specialty Trade Contractors	2,018,739	2,188,504	8.4
Manufacturing	11,046,894	11,657,585	5.5
Durable Goods Manufacturing	6,620,271	7,052,393	6.5
Wood Product Manufacturing	87,177	89,955	3.2
Nonmetallic Mineral Product Manufacturing	314,327	344,446	9.6
Primary Metal Manufacturing	145,792	155,856	6.9
Fabricated Metal Product Manufacturing	551,188	618,229	12.2
Machinery Manufacturing	870,884	902,362	3.6
Computer & Electronic Product Manufacturing	445,518	481,329	8.0
Electrical Equipment & Appliance Manufacturing	257,140	271,633	5.6
Motor Vehicle Manufacturing	(D)	(D)	NA
Trans. Equipment Mfg. Excl. Motor Vehicles	(D)	(D)	NA
Furniture & Related Product Manufacturing	223,289	229,584	2.8
	333,352	330,863	(0.7)

# Appendix A (cont'd)

## **Kansas Personal Income, 2004-2005**

(Dollars in Thousands)

			Percent Change
	2004	2005	2004-2005
Nondurable Goods Manufacturing	\$ 4,426,623	\$ 4,605,192	4.0 %
Food Manufacturing	1,385,982	1,436,859	3.7
Beverage & Tobacco Product Manufacturing	35,083	37,180	6.0
Textile Mills	4,142	6,525	57.5
Textile Product Mills	48,638	46,432	(4.5)
Apparel Manufacturing	65,523	67,408	2.9
Leather & Allied Product Manufacturing	15,782	13,240	(16.1)
Paper Manufacturing	182,918	172,275	(5.8)
Printing & Related Support Activities	545,613	563,519	3.3
Petroleum & Coal Products Manufacturing	884,933	952,426	7.6
Chemical Manufacturing	775,353	816,313	5.3
Plastics & Rubber Products Manufacturing	482,656	493,015	2.1
Wholesale Trade	3,555,126	3,775,630	6.2
Retail Trade	4,277,971	4,352,951	1.8
Motor Vehicle & Parts Dealers	870,991	893,238	2.6
Furniture & Home Furnishings Stores	214,728	209,486	(2.4)
Electronics & Appliance Stores	273,889	265,898	(2.9)
Building Material & Garden Supply Stores	387,168	407,724	5.3
Food & Beverage Stores	558,755	556,551	(0.4)
Health & Personal Care Stores	258,371	269,399	4.3
Gasoline Stations	239,504	230,212	(3.9)
Clothing & Clothing Accessories Stores	189,201	198,475	4.9
Sporting Goods, Hobby, Book, & Music Stores	135,272	137,989	2.0
General Merchandise Stores	783,148	811,713	3.6
Miscellaneous Store Retailers	245,974	243,181	(1.1)
Nonstore Retailers	120,970	129,085	6.7
Transportation & Warehousing	2,428,302	2,492,859	2.7
Air Transportation	44,280	35,598	(19.6)
Rail Transportation	(D)	(D)	NA
Water Transportation	716	769	7.4
Truck Transportation	(D)	962,897	NA
Transit & Ground Passenger Transportation	77,699	81,218	4.5
Pipeline Transportation	91,113	90,261	(0.9)
Scenic & Sightseeing Transportation	2,404	2,619	8.9
Support Activities for Transportation	243,726	280,281	15.0
Couriers & Messengers	280,602	(D)	NA
Warehousing & Storage	266,878	253,269	(5.1)
Information	3,467,382	3,344,634	(3.5)
Publishing Industries, except Internet	417,997	444,508	6.3
Motion Picture & Sound Recording Industries	25,387	25,724	1.3
Broadcasting, except Internet	665,274	638,603	(4.0)
Internet Publishing & Broadcasting	4,291	4,237	(1.3)
Telecommunications	2,180,008	2,044,869	(6.2)
ISPs, Search Portals, & Data Processing	150,714	164,100	8.9
Other Information Services	23,711	22,593	(4.7)
Finance & Insurance	3,538,371	3,685,225	4.2
Monetary Authorities—Central Bank	(D)	(D)	NA
Credit Intermediation & Related Activities	1,409,344	1,459,233	3.5

## Appendix A (cont'd)

#### Kansas Personal Income, 2004-2005

(Dollars in Thousands)

			Percent Chang
	2004	2005	2004-2005
Finance & Insurance (continued)			
Securities, Commodity Contracts, Investments	\$ 550,601	\$ 611,673	11.1 %
Insurance Carriers & Related Activities	1,548,835	1,585,729	2.4
Funds, Trusts, & Other Financial Vehicles	(D)	(D)	NA
Real Estate & Rental—Leasing	1,082,982	1,167,464	7.8
Real Estate	797,611	922,522	15.7
Rental & Leasing Services	261,206	225,386	(13.7)
Lessors of Nonfinancial Intangible Assets	24,165	19,556	(19.1)
Professional & Technical Services	4,119,237	4,496,016	9.1
Management of Companies & Enterprises	635,516	711,571	12.0
Administrative & Waste Services	2,047,432	2,458,646	20.1
Administrative & Support Services	1,902,637	2,302,836	21.0
Waste Management & Remediation Services	144,795	155,810	7.6
Educational Services	504,491	530,460	5.1
Health Care & Social Assistance	6,120,927	6,448,131	5.3
Ambulatory Health Care Services	3,060,212	3,266,382	6.7
Hospitals	1,670,833	1,722,451	3.1
Nursing & Residential Care Facilities	838,397	883,085	5.3
Social Assistance	551,485	576,213	4.5
Arts, Entertainment, & Recreation	281,209	275,016	(2.2)
Performing Arts & Spectator Sports	71,703	67,316	(6.1)
Museums, Historical Sites, Zoos, & Parks	22,710	23,945	5.4
Amusement, Gambling, & Recreation	186,796	183,755	(1.6)
Accommodation & Food Services	1,614,977	1,654,325	2.4
Accommodations	246,576	256,480	4.0
Food Services & Drinking Places	1,368,401	1,397,845	2.2
Other Services, except Public Administration	1,929,099	1,980,532	2.7
Repair & Maintenance	594,992	603,143	1.4
Personal & Laundry Services	368,032	383,031	4.1
Membership Associations & Organizations	797,350	823,146	3.2
Private Households	168,725	171,212	1.5
Government & Government Enterprises	13,267,038	13,833,996	4.3
Federal, Civilian	2,052,479	2,054,583	0.1
Military	1,732,548	1,889,902	9.1
State & Local	9,482,011	9,889,511	4.3
State Government	3,065,380	3,134,103	2.2
Local Government	6,416,631	6,755,408	5.3

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis

Estimates of earnings are based on the 2002 North American Industry Classification System (NAICS).

(D) Not shown to avoid disclosure of confidential information, but estimates for this item are included in total.

## Appendix B

## **State Personal Income & Growth Rates, 2003-2005**

(Dollars in Thousands)

	Personal Inco	ome	Percent Change			Per Cap Personal I		Percent Change			
	2005	Rank	03-04	04-05	Rank	2005	Rank	03-04	04-05	Ran	
United States	10,224,761,000		6.2 %	5.2 %		34,495		5.2 %	4.2 %		
Far West	1,825,478,643	3	6.9	5.3	4	36,209	3	5.6	4.2	:	
Great Lakes	1,538,939,073	4	3.5	4.0	8	33,342	5	3.2	3.6	8	
Mideast	1,884,242,364	2	6.4	4.8	5	39,755	2	6.0	4.6		
New England	595,013,214	7	5.7	4.4	6	41,785	1	5.5	4.3		
Plains	661,089,374	6	5.7	4.3	7	33,362	4	5.1	3.7		
Rocky Mountain	329,271,374	8	6.9	6.4	2	32,898	6	5.4	4.7		
Southeast	2,306,347,451	1	7.0	5.5	3	31,123	8	5.6	4.0		
Southwest	1,084,379,507	5	7.1	7.7	1	31,637	7	5.2	5.7		
Alabama	135,017,579	24	7.1	6.4	13	29,623	41	6.5	5.6		
Alaska	23,515,490	48	5.1	5.9	20	35,433	16	3.6	5.0	1	
Arizona	179,113,939	21	9.0	8.9	1	30,157	39	5.9	5.3		
Arkansas	74,039,707	33	6.7	4.4	36	26,641	48	5.8	3.3	4	
California	1,332,918,864	1	6.8	5.4	26	36,890	13	5.6	4.6	2	
Colorado	174,753,724	22	6.3	6.2	16	37,459	9	5.0	4.7	1	
Connecticut	166,807,307	23	6.7	5.0	29	47,519	2	6.3	4.6	2	
Delaware	31,281,356	44	7.1	6.2	15	37,084	12	5.5	4.5	2	
District of Columbia	31,010,231	45	7.8	5.9	19	56,329	1	8.5	6.6		
Florida	606,611,828	4	9.8	7.1	7	34,099	21	7.4	4.7	1	
Georgia	282,979,145	11	5.4	6.7	9	31,191	34	3.4	4.9	1	
Hawaii	43,952,780	40	8.9	6.7	8	34,468	20	7.7	5.6		
Idaho	40,583,803	42	9.8	6.5	11	28,398	43	7.7	4.0	3	
Illinois	462,857,174	5	3.5	4.6	34	36,264	14	3.0	4.2	3	
Indiana	195,371,915	16	4.9	4.0	42	31,150	35	4.4	3.3	4	
Iowa	94,315,944	30	8.8	3.1	49	31,795	31	8.4	2.7	4	
Kansas	90,433,004	31	5.5	5.7	22	32,948	24	5.1	5.2	1	
Kentucky	118,180,386	26	5.4	5.5	25	28,317	44	4.7	4.7	1	
Louisiana	111,200,646	28	5.3	(8.9)	51	24,582	51	4.9	(9.2)	5	
Maine	40,713,563	41	4.6	3.6	46	30,808	38	4.1	3.0	4	
Maryland	235,195,668	14	7.2	6.3	14	41,996	5	6.3	5.5		
Massachusetts	279,635,404	12	5.4	4.4	38	43,702	4	5.5	4.6	2	
Michigan	331,304,150	9	2.1	3.4	48	32,735	25	1.9	3.2	4	
Minnesota	191,567,678	17	6.2	3.8	44	37,322	10	5.5	3.1	4	
Mississippi	72,809,128	34	4.7	4.8	31	24,925	50	4.0	4.1	3	

# Appendix B (cont'd)

### **State Personal Income & Growth Rates, 2003-2005**

(Dollars in Thousands)

_	Personal Inco	ome	Percent Change			Per Cap Personal I		Percent Change		
-	2005	Rank	03-04	04-05	Rank	2005	Rank	03-04	04-05	Rank
Missouri	181,542,382	20	4.2 %	4.7 %	33	31,299	32	3.5 %	3.9 %	38
Montana	27,046,091	46	6.6	5.4	27	28,906	42	5.6	4.4	29
Nebraska	58,019,364	36	4.6	3.9	43	32,988	23	4.0	3.2	46
Nevada	86,402,521	32	11.5	8.7	2	35,780	15	7.2	5.1	12
New Hampshire	49,561,044	38	6.5	4.4	37	37,835	7	5.6	3.6	41
New Jersey	382,041,165	7	5.9	5.0	28	43,822	3	5.4	4.6	21
New Mexico	53,825,956	37	8.8	6.0	18	27,912	46	7.4	4.6	22
New York	771,567,778	2	7.1	4.1	41	40,072	6	6.8	4.2	31
North Carolina	269,435,097	13	7.4	6.7	10	31,029	36	5.9	4.9	15
North Dakota	19,883,207	50	1.8	7.7	4	31,230	33	1.3	7.6	1
Ohio	365,319,011	8	3.4	3.7	45	31,867	30	3.2	3.6	40
Oklahoma	106,110,572	29	8.0	6.2	17	29,908	40	7.4	5.4	8
Oregon	117,148,817	27	5.8	5.8	21	32,174	29	4.9	4.4	28
Pennsylvania	433,146,166	6	5.0	4.7	32	34,848	19	4.8	4.4	26
Rhode Island	37,902,666	43	4.5	3.4	47	35,219	18	4.1	3.8	39
South Carolina	120,042,913	25	6.0	5.6	24	28,212	45	4.7	4.2	32
South Dakota	25,327,795	47	7.6	4.9	30	32,642	27	6.7	4.2	34
Tennessee	184,566,303	18	5.5	5.6	23	30,952	37	4.6	4.4	27
Texas	745,329,040	3	6.4	7.8	3	32,604	28	4.6	6.0	4
Utah	67,906,174	35	6.8	7.1	6	27,497	47	4.9	5.0	13
Vermont	20,393,230	49	4.3	4.2	39	32,731	26	4.0	3.9	37
Virginia	284,174,406	10	6.5	6.4	12	37,552	8	5.1	5.2	11
Washington	221,540,171	15	6.9	2.9	50	35,234	17	5.6	1.5	50
West Virginia	47,290,313	39	4.4	4.5	35	26,029	49	4.3	4.3	30
Wisconsin	184,086,823	19	5.0	4.2	40	33,251	22	4.4	3.5	42
Wyoming	18,981,582	51	8.0	7.1	5	37,270	11	7.1	6.4	3

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis

# Appendix C

# State Disposable Income & Growth Rates, 2003-2005 (Dollars in Thousands)

	Disposable Income		Pero	ent Chan	ge	Per Cap Disposable		Percent Change		
	2005	Rank	03-04	04-05	Rank	2005	Rank	03-04	04-05	Ran
United States	9,022,941,000		6.3 %	4.1 %		30,441		5.3 %	3.1 %	
Far West	1,594,611,045	3	7.0	3.9	4	31,630	3	5.7	2.8	(
Great Lakes	1,363,601,660	4	3.8	3.0	8	29,543	5	3.4	2.7	;
Mideast	1,623,751,440	2	6.5	3.4	6	34,259	2	6.1	3.2	
New England	511,080,439	7	5.7	3.1	7	35,891	1	5.5	3.0	
Plains	589,955,658	6	6.0	3.4	5	29,772	4	5.4	2.8	
Rocky Mountain	293,148,522	8	7.2	5.3	2	29,289	6	5.7	3.7	
Southeast	2,062,572,376	1	7.1	4.5	3	27,834	8	5.7	3.0	
Southwest	984,219,860	5	7.4	6.8	1	28,715	7	5.6	4.9	
Alabama	122,383,162	24	7.4	5.5	12	26,851	41	6.9	4.8	
Alaska	21,337,518	48	5.7	5.0	18	32,151	13	4.3	4.1	1
Arizona	159,763,216	21	8.8	7.7	1	26,899	40	5.7	4.1	1
Arkansas	66,898,874	34	6.9	3.5	34	24,072	48	5.9	2.4	4
California	1,156,599,959	1	6.8	3.9	29	32,010	14	5.6	3.1	3
Colorado	154,530,017	22	6.6	5.1	16	33,124	9	5.3	3.7	1
Connecticut	139,454,925	23	6.6	3.1	41	39,727	2	6.2	2.8	3
Delaware	27,293,292	44	7.1	5.0	19	32,356	12	5.5	3.3	2
District of Columbia	26,663,042	45	7.8	4.4	25	48,432	1	8.5	5.1	
Florida	541,101,435	4	9.2	5.8	8	30,416	21	6.8	3.4	2
Georgia	251,349,218	10	5.7	5.9	7	27,704	36	3.7	4.1	1
Hawaii	38,876,552	40	9.0	5.5	11	30,487	20	7.8	4.4	
Idaho	36,565,121	41	10.0	5.6	9	25,586	43	7.8	3.1	3
Illinois	408,081,482	5	3.7	3.4	35	31,973	15	3.2	3.0	3
Indiana	174,959,949	16	5.3	3.2	37	27,896	35	4.8	2.5	4
Iowa	85,198,857	30	9.3	2.3	49	28,722	29	8.8	1.8	4
Kansas	81,132,755	31	5.9	4.8	23	29,560	25	5.6	4.4	
Kentucky	105,599,690	26	5.9	4.8	22	25,303	46	5.3	4.0	1
Louisiana	101,913,678	28	5.8	(9.3)	51	22,529	51	5.4	(9.6)	5
Maine	36,299,446	42	4.7	2.6	46	27,468	38	4.2	2.1	4
Maryland	202,617,083	14	7.3	5.2	15	36,179	5	6.4	4.5	
Massachusetts	239,280,386	12	5.5	3.2	39	37,395	4	5.6	3.3	2
Michigan	296,290,597	9	2.6	2.6	45	29,275	27	2.3	2.5	4
Minnesota	167,520,890	18	6.6	2.8	43	32,637	10	5.9	2.1	4
Mississippi	67,140,254	33	5.4	4.1	28	22,985	50	4.7	3.3	2

## Appendix C (cont'd)

# State Disposable Income & Growth Rates, 2003-2005 (Dollars in Thousands)

	Disposable In	Percent Change			Per Capita Disposable Income		Percent Chang		ge	
	2005	Rank	03-04	04-05	Rank	2005	Rank	03-04	04-05	Rank
Missouri	162,417,339	20	4.6 %	3.7 %	31	28,001	34	3.9 %	3.0 %	35
Montana	24,313,541	46	6.7	4.2	26	25,985	42	5.6	3.2	31
Nebraska	52,120,852	36	4.7	2.9	42	29,635	24	4.1	2.2	46
Nevada	75,988,798	32	10.9	7.3	2	31,468	17	6.6	3.6	20
New Hampshire	44,443,292	38	7.0	3.3	36	33,928	6	6.1	2.5	42
New Jersey	331,442,583	7	6.5	3.7	32	38,019	3	5.9	3.3	29
New Mexico	48,943,021	37	9.2	5.3	14	25,380	45	7.9	4.0	14
New York	652,273,424	3	6.9	2.4	47	33,876	7	6.6	2.6	41
North Carolina	239,204,404	13	7.8	5.6	10	27,548	37	6.4	3.9	15
North Dakota	18,172,069	50	1.7	7.0	3	28,542	30	1.2	6.9	1
Ohio	321,643,313	8	3.5	2.8	44	28,057	33	3.3	2.6	39
Oklahoma	95,713,220	29	8.3	5.4	13	26,978	39	7.7	4.6	6
Oregon	102,882,448	27	5.8	4.7	24	28,256	32	5.0	3.3	30
Pennsylvania	383,462,016	6	5.3	3.7	30	30,851	19	5.1	3.4	21
Rhode Island	33,405,317	43	4.6	2.4	48	31,040	18	4.2	2.8	38
South Carolina	108,133,749	25	6.2	4.8	21	25,413	44	4.9	3.4	24
South Dakota	23,392,896	47	7.6	4.1	27	30,148	22	6.7	3.4	23
Tennessee	169,400,903	17	5.8	4.9	20	28,409	31	4.8	3.7	19
Texas	679,800,403	2	6.8	7.0	4	29,738	23	5.1	5.1	3
Utah	60,681,090	35	7.0	6.0	5	24,571	47	5.1	3.9	16
Vermont	18,197,073	49	4.4	3.2	40	29,206	28	4.0	2.9	36
Virginia	246,533,356	11	6.7	5.1	17	32,578	11	5.3	3.9	17
Washington	198,925,770	15	7.3	1.6	50	31,637	16	6.0	0.3	50
West Virginia	42,913,653	39	4.8	3.6	33	23,620	49	4.7	3.4	25
Wisconsin	162,626,319	19	5.4	3.2	38	29,375	26	4.8	2.6	40
Wyoming	17,058,753	51	8.2	5.9	6	33,495	8	7.4	5.2	2

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis

# Appendix D

# Kansas County Personal Income, 2004 (Dollars in Thousands)

	Salaries	Other			Dividends,		Adjust- ment	Less: Personal Contributions	Total
	&	Labor	Proprietor		Interest,	Transfer	for	for Social	Personal
County	Wages	Income	Farm	Nonfarm	& Rent	Payments	Residence	Insurance	Income
Allen	\$153,555	\$46,750	\$4,643	\$30,966	\$56,398	\$78,762	(\$1,551)	\$25,346	\$344,17
Anderson	48,749	13,079	5,781	15,156	30,289	43,344	32,343	8,747	179,99
Atchison	193,213	53,353	8,324	27,731	60,192	80,471	(409)	31,414	391,46
Barber	47,271	13,283	(9,291)	16,761	28,562	31,432	1,085	8,278	120,82
Barton	358,834	90,345	1,004	93,425	168,276	150,389	(1,808)	58,913	801,55
	223,023	,	-,	70,120	,		(2,000)	2 3,5 22	
Bourbon	181,469	46,900	4,024	23,834	57,819	87,027	(7,078)	29,540	364,45
Brown	129,548	37,798	13,036	21,530	45,101	58,762	(610)	20,388	284,77
Butler	453,836	137,909	14,624	148,056	240,424	258,002	538,381	76,196	1,715,03
Chase	18,120	5,571	12,609	17,957	11,789	15,301	17,795	3,790	95,34
Chautauqua	22,149	5,855	(5,064)	15,701	24,901	28,849	11,662	4,310	99,74
Cherokee	172,341	49,042	10,117	60,009	61,944	124,351	61,021	30,360	508,46
Cheyenne	22,355	5,588	(16,041)	5,338	17,432	17,748	788	3,633	49,57
Clark	24,771	6,004	(10,524)	6,956	15,156	12,556	2,540	3,408	54,05
Clay	72,336	19,362	7,917	37,710	46,668	45,036	29,517	12,938	245,60
Cloud	91,718	25,233	6,436	12,337	48,195	60,917	6,231	15,325	235,74
Coffey	140,981	46,349	7,392	29,204	46,644	48,273	(18,621)	21,789	278,43
Comanche	14,859	4,371	(7,088)	6,122	11,108	11,115	1,048	2,550	38,98
Cowley	428,950	123,679	7,469	51,950	121,204	203,768	41,818	69,048	909,79
Crawford	474,219	134,857	9,160	8,155	170,836	213,759	(11,930)	72,879	926,17
Decatur	22,404	5,858	(7,480)	6,576	28,265	19,067	2,055	3,751	72,99
Dickinson	178,642	51,211	12,490	15,436	92,137	94,532	66,320	29,988	480,77
Doniphan	70,749	21,686	9,008	8,875	22,039	39,409	18,015	11,205	178,57
Douglas	1,448,392	387,857	4,102	188,353	478,095	323,092	254,179	217,333	2,866,73
Edwards	28,010	7,081	13,281	6,867	17,652	19,129	3,892	4,356	91,55
Elk	15,148	4,668		4,967	10,670	21,082	9,989	2,750	63,77
Ellis	409,618	104,201	10,511	109,975	114,142	122,944	(2,580)	65,892	802,91
Ellsworth	62,357	17,675	6,727	12,412	37,784	33,612	1,190	10,142	161,61
Finney	512,499	128,746	17,422	84,223	99,372	128,353	(22,271)	76,688	871,65
Ford	456,209	119,991	6,803	60,326	102,070	117,988	(17,964)	69,023	776,39
Franklin	270,800	66,498	3,936	37,355	68,618	124,637	108,005	43,259	636,59
Geary	788,754	411,017	(2,838)	28,800	90,567	101,040	(426,954)	110,740	879,64
Gove	28,132	7,268	(9,964)	8,664	17,818	16,506	1,274	4,311	65,38
Graham	25,920	7,015	(8,605)	16,818	13,511	19,820	2,416	4,777	72,11
Grant	117,326	29,143	(3,074)	24,329	23,373	27,643	(10,033)	17,118	191,58
Gray	68,753	16,783	25,835	14,303	19,498	19,576	6,908	9,650	162,00

# Appendix D (cont'd)

# Kansas County Personal Income, 2004 (Dollars in Thousands)

	Salaries	Other			Dividends,		Adjust- ment	Less: Personal Contributions	Total
County	& Wages	Labor Income	Proprietor Farm	rs' Income Nonfarm	Interest, & Rent	Transfer Payments	for Residence	for Social Insurance	Personal Income
Greeley	\$18,052	\$3,852	\$293	\$2,757	\$6,691	\$6,483	(\$1,099)	\$2,263	\$34,76
Greenwood	42,045	11,463	6,915	14,839	28,113	47,184	22,376	7,768	165,16
Hamilton	26,940	6,529	20,980	6,364	11,090	12,575	(270)	3,684	80,52
Harper	58,434	17,418	(7,008)	18,388	32,417	36,330	10,924	10,052	156,85
Harvey	397,826	103,710	15,566	161,666	120,887	169,657	74,265	71,031	972,54
Haskell	47,171	10,902	68,878	9,980	16,740	13,930	5,124	6,205	166,52
Hodgeman	13,139	3,931	10,971	2,505	12,531	10,302	3,309	1,863	54,82
Jackson	125,100	30,863	857	42,725	40,756	57,453	70,414	20,866	347,30
Jefferson	95,910	26,599	7,242	10,944	53,152	79,039	177,593	15,973	434,50
Jewell	22,904	6,821	8,956	10,385	20,982	20,128	6,297	3,988	92,48
Johnson	12,910,345	3,025,668	8,554	2,648,903	3,964,082	1,589,497	933,878	2,012,819	23,068,10
Kearny	35,375	8,861	6,421	4,671	14,588	16,150	8,630	4,658	90,03
Kingman	66,021	17,408	(7,580)	21,338	35,412	42,991	32,960	11,425	197,12
Kiowa	32,558	8,618	2,572	6,571	17,926	21,929	2,583	5,139	87,61
Labette	239,263	65,838	1,400	40,392	68,968	163,819	6,106	39,264	546,52
Lane	20,743	5,035	(7,444)	4,567	13,592	11,742	(456)	2,939	44,84
Leavenworth	950,419	373,876	(1,842)	122,671	287,313	267,024	126,700	141,352	1,984,80
Lincoln	17,952	5,704	(524)	5,945	17,977	17,373	8,590	3,225	69,79
Linn	64,211	20,417	2,720	9,819	35,362	53,263	49,374	10,765	224,40
Logan	29,014	8,137	(11,009)	6,466	16,748	15,244		4,655	59,94
Lyon	492,025	139,786	9,072	27,114	114,660	150,174	(25,758)	74,677	832,39
McPherson	462,668	128,558	22,790	113,407	124,681	138,754	(9,436)	75,061	906,36
Marion	94,979	25,859	8,861	17,490	51,518	67,363	43,710	16,135	293,64
Marshall	141,064	44,485	46,097	24,449	57,957	56,172	(5,977)	25,770	338,47
Meade	42,090	9,811	16,719	7,073	22,426	21,422	8,919	5,649	122,81
Miami	239,984	60,830	1,876	25,052	83,335	132,942	292,630	38,064	798,58
Mitchell	92,113	23,530	10,090	9,544	38,056	36,120	(9,974)	14,293	185,18
Montgomery	441,980	131,048	3,355	54,364	118,828	218,990	(20,365)	73,292	874,90
Morris	40,591	11,920	(205)	9,486	25,913	31,042	27,601	7,535	138,81
Morton	41,204	10,579	(18,650)	6,487	14,022	16,097	(499)	5,709	63,53
Nemaha	114,104	30,653	41,779	11,013	72,295	48,761	1,664	18,094	302,17
Neosho	204,078	57,288	(3,084)	32,356	49,903	97,640	(9,645)	32,970	395,56
Ness	31,629	9,240	(3,973)	15,174	22,066	19,826	5,106	5,717	93,35
Norton	65,618	17,211	(3,543)	9,902	29,539	27,890	(3,307)	10,088	133,22
Osage	71,559	21,364	5,467	8,829	63,554	82,300	141,012	12,560	381,52

# Appendix D (cont'd)

# Kansas County Personal Income, 2004 (Dollars in Thousands)

(Dollars in 11	Salaries	Other			Dividends,		Adjust- ment	Less: Personal Contributions for Social Insurance	Total Personal Income
County	& Wages	Labor Income	Proprieto Farm	rs' Income Nonfarm	Interest, & Rent	Transfer Payments	for Residence		
Osborne	\$30,754	\$8,742	\$	\$12,944	\$23,821	\$24,883	\$162	\$5,672	\$95,633
Ottawa	32,635	8,879	2,401		34,147	27,154	36,934		144,803
Pawnee	88,537		1,240	8,213 10,964	27,779	33,790		5,560 12,799	171,076
Phillips	64,165	24,115 23,849	(2,425)	16,888	40,101	32,392	(2,551) (2,300)	10,790	161,879
Pottawatomie	225,382	58,927	7,160	56,166	83,778	72,817	57,276	36,236	525,271
D	115 (51	20.150	(1.401)	27.202	45.001	52.255	(2.12)	10.052	251 022
Pratt	117,671	29,160	(1,491)	27,302	45,231	53,355	(243)	19,052	251,933
Rawlins	21,023	5,901	(10,605)	13,391	16,291	17,415	1,341	3,932	60,825
Reno	818,803	210,287	9,472	76,377	331,779	327,304	25,378	128,588	1,670,811
Republic	44,546	12,465	6,531	10,824	27,286	30,286	1,826	7,426	126,338
Rice	85,113	23,760	7,882	10,348	35,310	51,742	17,357	13,445	218,066
Riley	810,922	224,256	(97)	51,712	247,746	178,284	317,329	116,715	1,713,436
Rooks	50,737	14,757	(3,418)	14,460	25,682	31,513	3,203	8,516	128,417
Rush	30,709	9,050	(6,107)	6,035	18,131	22,460	1,560	5,104	76,734
Russell	59,040	16,266	(1,889)	11,582	40,027	50,101	(1,116)	10,150	163,862
Saline	934,651	249,033	3,490	152,061	256,977	247,973	(101,294)	148,617	1,594,274
Scott	58,700	12,961	452	11,283	34,338	18,530	4,794	7,548	133,510
Sedgwick	9,225,175	2,646,084	3,652	1,710,700	2,275,797	2,068,636	(975,986)	1,444,728	15,509,329
Seward	344,112	89,854	5,428	65,538	50,305	80,007	(35,414)	52,298	547,532
Shawnee	3,431,526	863,000	9,564	282,158	908,557	920,787	(442,967)	526,762	5,445,863
Sheridan	23,409	6,175	17,144	14,449	18,291	13,252	1,173	4,011	89,882
Sherman	77,800	19,118	(13,868)	12,099	26,283	39,311	786	12,119	149,410
Smith	34,902	10,239	1,491	8,153	27,052	25,396	3,686	5,749	105,170
Stafford	35,168	9,975	11,347	9,313	23,705	28,304	6,920	5,456	119,275
Stanton	25,721	5,596	11,907	4,565	13,183	9,316	1,176	3,176	68,287
Stevens	64,068	16,117	22,418	11,591	26,585	21,683	(201)	9,110	153,150
Sumner	171,874	51,751	16,164	27,326	73,014	125,776	178,357	30,491	613,770
Thomas	100,311	26,159	3,840	13,445	35,623	37,589	(1,641)	15,097	200,229
Trego	24,330	7,032	(9,970)	6,187	14,186	18,454	2,864	4,128	58,955
Wabaunsee	33,271	9,279	4,083	12,891	22,888	31,440	64,254	6,060	172,045
Wallace	13,666	3,332	1,438	2,850	9,591	8,814	1,058	1,981	38,769
Washington	46,486	13,694	14,367	3,313	28,422	35,075	13,300	7,472	147,185
Wichita	28,963	6,706	10,749	6,620	11,191	10,585	(784)	3,849	70,180
Wilson	114,091	34,142	97	18,217	32,233	60,500	(1,875)	18,690	238,715
Woodson	15,483	4,770	7,350	7,120	12,695	23,338	6,930	3,261	74,425
Wyandotte	3,189,465	908,844	144	137,687	323,020	817,733	(1,086,972)	490,437	3,799,484
Total	\$45,815,302	\$12,400,113	\$536,231	\$7,625,581	\$13,423,676	\$12,191,892	\$763,987	\$7,160,409	\$85,596,373

# Appendix E

# Kansas County Personal Income, 2005 (Dollars in Thousands)

	Salaries	Other			Dividends,		Adjust- ment	Less: Personal Contributions	Total
<b>a</b> .	&	Labor		ors' Income	Interest,	Transfer	for	for Social	Personal
County	Wages	Income	Farm	Nonfarm	& Rent	Payments	Residence	Insurance	Income
Allen	\$158,347	\$49,523	\$24,108	\$32,485	\$59,387	\$83,133	(\$607)	\$27,366	\$379,01
Anderson	50,167	13,962	8,108	15,866	31,362	45,843	23,715	9,432	179,59
Atchison	201,135	58,305	69,147	29,679	62,968	84,348	(398)	34,226	470,95
Barber	49,053	14,248	(12,386)	18,054	30,033	33,146	1,031	8,991	124,18
Barton	373,634	96,879	619	102,039	180,672	159,835	(1,400)	64,326	847,95
Bourbon	189,780	50,954	8,147	24,582	59,855	91,358	(4,018)	32,335	388,32
Brown	136,197	41,395	14,231	23,249	46,750	62,216	(518)	22,392	301,12
Butler	469,901	148,427	21,960	156,442	257,840	275,955	390,909	82,582	1,638,85
Chase	18,932	6,024	11,793	20,070	12,180	16,059	13,042	4,139	93,96
Chautauqua	23,183	6,254	(9,494)	17,249	26,485	30,217	8,583	4,708	97,76
Cherokee	177,871	52,240	27,209	65,189	64,566	131,395	44,480	32,937	530,01
Cheyenne	22,939	5,876	(38,729)	5,431	18,117	18,585	549	3,878	28,89
Clark	25,953	6,355	(22,382)	7,544	15,909	12,985	1,890	3,641	44,61
Clay	75,879	21,067	9,181	41,565	49,026	47,041	22,227	14,200	251,78
Cloud	95,718	27,348	6,100	12,745	50,199	64,049	4,705	16,748	244,11
Coffey	144,004	50,842	46,270	32,003	49,220	51,835	(12,228)	23,324	338,62
Comanche	15,749	4,813	(12,385)	6,537	11,502	11,366	739	2,800	35,52
Cowley	440,979	134,170	50,801	54,478	127,127	216,400	30,586	74,495	980,04
Crawford	486,572	141,684	15,249	8,042	182,234	227,806	(8,568)	78,256	974,76
Decatur	22,666	6,093	(11,702)	6,845	29,822	19,655	1,577	3,957	70,99
Dickinson	185,560	55,627	11,251	15,882	97,513	99,482	48,662	32,582	481,39
Doniphan	74,511	24,090	8,821	9,113	22,756	41,300	17,890	12,331	186,15
Douglas	1,511,522	420,236	9,124	206,090	516,002	347,294	185,662	237,649	2,958,28
Edwards	29,171	7,595	10,024	7,116	18,433	19,925	2,859	4,692	90,43
Elk	15,887	5,061		5,123	11,081	21,841	7,327	3,000	63,32
Ellis	425,167	111,653	9,145	118,618	119,825	130,450	(2,321)	71,704	840,83
Ellsworth	65,086	19,265	6,747	13,089	40,496	35,025	727	11,056	169,37
Finney	526,455	136,821	12,384	87,351	103,592	138,459	(14,589)	82,205	908,26
Ford	470,395	128,598	4,447	63,745	106,803	125,428	(11,870)	74,413	813,13
Franklin	280,956	71,675	15,919	39,600	70,872	133,690	79,184	47,139	644,75
Geary	843,722	456,949	(2,220)	29,784	94,503	108,288	(256,756)	124,475	1,149,79
Gove	29,177	7,721	(19,492)	9,068	18,529	17,355	1,193	4,605	58,94
Graham	27,096	7,555	(11,194)	18,564	14,002	20,670	1,787	5,231	73,24
Grant	123,778	31,771	(3,429)	26,005	23,891	29,967	(6,238)	18,722	207,02
Gray	72,517	18,128	20,785	14,986	19,931	20,209	4,973	10,478	161,05

## Kansas County Personal Income, 2005 (Dollars in Thousands)

	Salaries	Other			Dividends,		Adjust- ment	Less: Personal Contributions	Total
<b>a</b> .	&	Labor		ors' Income	Interest,	Transfer	for	for Social	Personal
County	Wages	Income	Farm	Nonfarm	& Rent	Payments	Residence	Insurance	Income
Greeley	\$19,252	\$4,111	\$175	\$2,932	\$6,856	\$6,593	(\$665)	\$2,445	\$36,80
Greenwood	43,380	12,198	5,537	15,563	29,054	49,090	16,303	8,394	162,73
Hamilton	28,666	7,091	17,236	7,053	11,359	13,090	(194)	4,021	80,28
Harper	62,540	19,430	(7,242)	19,585	33,990	37,735	7,741	11,185	162,59
Harvey	410,216	111,524	17,923	185,602	126,062	178,042	54,222	77,225	1,006,36
Haskell	50,549	11,843	65,549	10,481	17,392	14,630	3,710	6,769	167,38
Hodgeman	13,636	4,140	9,706	2,507	13,168	10,769	2,386	1,976	54,33
Jackson	134,040	34,324	1,074	46,469	41,966	61,241	49,857	23,391	345,57
Jefferson	98,618	28,455	30,295	11,235	55,140	84,525	129,620	17,241	420,64
Jewell	24,184	7,432	7,054	11,153	21,892	21,048	4,583	4,364	92,983
Johnson	13,549,540	3,301,100	7,091	2,917,824	4,253,747	1,707,781	672,358	2,222,477	24,186,96
Kearny	37,790	9,629	4,543	4,940	14,778	17,123	6,141	5,110	89,83
Kingman	68,735	18,743	(9,859)	22,854	36,985	44,796	24,074	12,434	193,89
Kiowa	34,298	9,409	2,072	7,134	18,655	23,700	1,857	5,645	91,48
Labette	246,038	70,078	1,105	42,266	71,811	179,082	3,935	42,287	572,02
Lane	21,661	5,335	(8,989)	4,825	14,182	12,286	(316)	3,154	45,83
Leavenworth	1,002,430	405,909	(1,177)	131,302	306,294	288,532	85,474	156,310	2,062,45
Lincoln	18,113	5,981	(546)	6,084	18,712	18,041	6,329	3,409	69,30
Linn	66,086	22,190	5,890	10,240	37,143	56,652	35,730	11,617	222,31
Logan	30,395	8,914	(25,677)	6,727	17,583	15,884		5,060	48,76
Lyon	508,645	149,355	10,161	27,785	119,402	159,566	(16,748)	80,803	877,36
McPherson	493,501	141,954	27,493	124,347	130,055	144,839	(11,021)	83,817	967,35
Marion	99,540	27,953	10,682	18,321	54,047	69,898	31,964	17,713	294,69
Marshall	148,943	47,045	61,221	26,108	60,038	58,536	(3,851)	28,181	369,86
Meade	44,387	10,517	22,381	7,360	23,478	22,435	6,323	6,079	130,80
Miami	253,497	66,475	2,452	25,986	86,606	143,332	212,851	42,033	749,16
Mitchell	97,139	25,866	8,375	9,908	40,485	37,804	(6,143)	15,747	197,68
Montgomery	460,586	139,659	7,019	58,122	124,232	231,883	(13,213)	80,150	928,13
Morris	41,008	12,506	(212)	9,862	26,838	32,664	20,899	7,982	135,58
Morton	43,110	11,373	(25,117)	6,798	14,409	17,072	(359)	6,146	61,14
Nemaha	119,845	33,509	61,066	11,423	76,744	50,927	1,087	19,912	334,68
Neosho	210,441	60,538	(2,232)	33,972	51,383	103,540	(6,306)	35,653	415,68
Ness	32,800	9,938	(4,208)	16,133	23,087	20,613	3,764	6,197	95,93
Norton	68,882	18,636	(4,851)	10,312	30,722	29,169	(2,128)	11,016	139,72
Osage	74,173	23,083	13,591	9,033	66,922	86,471	102,895	13,667	362,50

## **Kansas County Personal Income, 2005**

(Dollars in Thousands)

(Dollars in Th	Salaries	Other			Dividends,		Adjust- ment	Less: Personal Contributions	Total
	&	Labor		ors' Income	Interest,	Transfer	for	for Social	Personal
County	Wages	Income	Farm	Nonfarm	& Rent	Payments	Residence	Insurance	Income
Osborne	\$31,706	\$9,372	\$0	\$13,715	\$24,915	\$25,477	\$69	\$6,134	\$99,120
Ottawa	34,096	9,561	2,744	8,876	35,981	28,399	26,801	6,080	140,378
Pawnee	94,393	26,558	775	11,445	29,023	35,248	(750)	14,104	182,589
Phillips	67,245	25,804	(2,662)	17,976	42,336	33,790	(1,434)	11,768	171,287
Pottawatomie	237,407	64,739	9,017	62,390	88,007	77,542	43,343	40,014	542,430
Pratt	123,205	31,279	(1,328)	29,324	47,281	56,397	(203)	20,729	265,225
Rawlins	21,431	6,230	(14,864)	14,758	16,827	18,210	977	4,214	59,354
Reno	836,998	222,775	8,107	79,007	354,421	346,497	20,287	137,994	1,730,098
Republic	44,976	13,016	5,954	11,313	28,382	31,341	1,504	7,837	128,648
Rice	88,832	25,949	10,257	10,517	36,297	53,833	12,612	14,627	223,670
Riley	855,830	244,188	(59)	54,396	265,714	190,726	250,973	128,681	1,733,087
Rooks	53,094	15,860	(4,097)	15,100	26,722	32,848	2,359	9,289	132,596
Rush	31,862	9,806	(9,375)	6,392	18,816	23,354	1,239	5,540	76,552
Russell	61,206	17,515	(1,937)	11,818	41,711	53,188	(463)	11,008	172,031
Saline	962,976	266,202	3,265	157,372	273,432	263,816	(66,271)	160,350	1,700,442
Scott	62,156	13,937	268	11,750	36,620	19,018	3,567	8,149	139,167
Sedgwick	9,510,441	2,877,109	17,838	1,851,993	2,435,144	2,220,408	(638,189)	1,567,163	16,707,581
Seward	353,803	95,691	8,867	69,820	51,919	86,147	(23,833)	56,200	586,214
Shawnee	3,530,148	917,510	44,275	292,617	975,874	993,935	(291,699)	566,764	5,895,896
Sheridan	24,513	6,671	14,828	15,703	19,210	13,903	799	4,367	91,261
Sherman	80,448	20,354	(20,000)	12,590	27,344	41,769	652	13,104	150,052
Smith	36,843	10,913	956	8,684	28,067	26,547	2,756	6,294	108,473
Stafford	36,535	10,655	9,597	9,633	24,775	29,564	5,215	5,838	120,136
Stanton	27,199	6,005	49,614	4,818	13,724	9,849	858	3,413	108,655
Stevens	68,304	17,630	31,099	12,173	27,558	22,904	(51)	10,012	169,606
Sumner	177,505	55,996	16,196	28,209	75,389	131,344	129,349	32,949	581,039
Thomas	103,268	28,064	2,404	13,798	37,323	39,886	(1,078)	16,212	207,452
Trego	25,224	7,605	(14,085)	6,479	14,685	19,018	2,064	4,472	56,517
Wabaunsee	35,273	10,123	6,666	13,611	23,791	33,759	47,019	6,706	163,535
Wallace	14,246	3,548	1,159	2,877	9,940	9,097	779	2,121	39,524
Washington	48,280	14,749	32,474	3,301	29,625	36,591	9,903	8,089	166,835
Wichita	31,183	7,297	7,462	7,143	11,584	11,080	(492)	4,228	71,030
Wilson	120,771	38,386	79	19,138	33,439	64,033	26	20,726	255,147
Woodson	15,482	4,954	7,426	7,688	13,128	24,415	5,148	3,457	74,785
Wyandotte	3,303,207	959,624	183	148,568	340,737	862,822	(701,454)	531,104	4,382,583
Total	\$47,666,412	\$13,411,123	\$810,841	\$8,251,467	\$14,278,443	\$12,988,754	\$840,326	\$7,814,362	\$90,433,004

Appendix F

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Kansas	2,688,418	2,733,697	2,744,687	56,269	10,990	2.1 %	0.4
Allen County	14,385	13,918	13,787	(598)	(131)	(4.3)	(1.0)
Bassett city	22	22	22				
Elsmore city	73	69	68	(5)	(1)	(7.4)	(1.5)
Gas city	556	555	552	(4)	(3)	(0.7)	(0.5)
Humboldt city	1,999	1,939	1,921	(78)	(18)	(4.1)	(0.9)
Iola city	6,302	6,070	6,008	(294)	(62)	(4.9)	(1.0)
La Harpe city	706	682	673	(33)	(9)	(4.9)	(1.3)
Mildred city	36	36	36				
Moran city	562	547	541	(21)	(6)	(3.9)	(1.1)
Savonburg city	91	91	90	(1)	(1)	(1.1)	(1.1)
Bal. of Allen County	4,038	3,907	3,876	(162)	(31)	(4.2)	(0.8)
Carlyle township	276	268	266	(10)	(2)	(3.8)	(0.8)
Cottage Grove township	282	272	270	(12)	(2)	(4.4)	(0.7)
Deer Creek township	142	139	138	(4)	(1)	(2.9)	(0.7)
Bal. of Elm township	703	679	673	(30)	(6)	(4.5)	(0.9)
Bal. of Elsmore township	296	288	286	(10)	(2)	(3.5)	(0.7)
Geneva township	172	168	167	(5)	(1)	(3.0)	(0.6)
Humboldt township	273	255	253	(20)	(2)	(7.9)	(0.8)
Bal. of Iola township	821	796	789	(32)	(7)	(4.1)	(0.9)
Logan township	225	218	216	(9)	(2)	(4.2)	(0.9)
Bal. of Marmaton township	291	283	281	(10)	(2)	(3.6)	(0.7)
Bal. of Osage township	280	271	269	(11)	(2)	(4.1)	(0.7)
Salem township	277	270	268	(9)	(2)	(3.4)	(0.7)
Anderson County	8,110	8,170	8,182	72	12	0.9	0.1
Colony city	397	390	390	(7)		(1.8)	
Garnett city	3,368	3,354	3,338	(30)	(16)	(0.9)	(0.5)
Greeley city	327	334	333	6	(1)	1.8	(0.3)
Kincaid city	178	181	181	3		1.7	
Lone Elm city	27	27	27				
Westphalia city	165	168	168	3		1.8	
Bal. of Anderson County	3,595	3,716	3,745	150	29	4.0	0.8
Indian Creek township	132	136	138	6	2	4.3	1.4
Jackson township	453	461	463	10	2	2.2	0.4
Lincoln township	208	213	216	8	3	3.7	1.4
Bal. of Lone Elm township	212	216	219	7	3	3.2	1.4
Monroe township	349	344	345	(4)	1	(1.2)	0.3
North Rich township	112	114	116	4	2	3.4	1.7
Bal. of Ozark township	168	172	174	6	2	3.4	1.1
Putnam township	284	290	291	7	1	2.4	0.3
Reeder township	427	437	441	14	4	3.2	0.9
Bal. of Rich township	168	172	173	5	1	2.9	0.6
Bal. of Walker township	341	348	350	9	2	2.6	0.6
Washington township	268	274	276	8	2	2.9	0.7
Welda township	301	308	309	8	1	2.6	0.7
Bal. of Westphalia township	225	231	234	9	3	3.8	1.3
Atchison County	16,774	16,860	16,804	30	(56)	0.2	(0.3)
Atchison city	10,232	10,205	10,169	(63)	(36)	(0.6)	(0.4)

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Atchison County (cont'd)				-			-
Effingham city	588	586	582	(6)	(4)	(1.0)	(0.7)
Huron city	87	89	88	1	(1)	1.1	(1.1)
Lancaster city	291	298	297	6	(1)	2.0	(0.3)
Muscotah city	200	204	203	3	(1)	1.5	(0.5)
Bal. of Atchison County	5,376	5,478	5,465	89	(13)	1.6	(0.2)
Bal. of Benton township	488	497	496	8	(1)	1.6	(0.2)
Center township	676	692	690	14	(2)	2.0	(0.3)
Bal. of Grasshopper township	388	395	394	6	(1)	1.5	(0.3)
Kapioma township	271	276	276	5		1.8	
Bal. of Lancaster township	544	555	554	10	(1)	1.8	(0.2)
Mount Pleasant township	829	848	846	17	(2)	2.0	(0.2)
Shannon township	1,753	1,779	1,774	21	(5)	1.2	(0.3)
Walnut township	427	436	435	8	(1)	1.8	(0.2)
Barber County	5,307	4,988	4,958	(349)	(30)	(7.0)	(0.6)
Hardtner city	199	190	189	(10)	(1)	(5.3)	(0.5)
Hazelton city	144	137	137	(7)		(5.1)	
Isabel city	108	103	103	(5)		(4.9)	
Kiowa city	1,055	977	965	(90)	(12)	(9.3)	(1.2)
Medicine Lodge city	2,193	2,039	2,028	(165)	(11)	(8.1)	(0.5)
Sharon city	210	201	200	(10)	(1)	(5.0)	(0.5)
Sun City city	81	77	77	(4)		(5.2)	
Bal. of Barber County	1,313	1,264	1,259	(54)	(5)	(4.3)	(0.4)
Aetna township	3	3	3				
Deerhead township	11	10	10	(1)		(10.0)	
Eagle township	42	40	40	(2)		(5.0)	
Elm Mills township	106	102	102	(4)		(3.9)	
Bal. of Elwood township	76	73	73	(3)		(4.1)	
Bal. of Hazelton township	69	66	66	(3)		(4.5)	
Bal. of Kiowa township	109	104	104	(5)		(4.8)	
Lake City township	83	79	79	(4)		(5.1)	
McAdoo township	29	28	28	(1)		(3.6)	
Bal. of Medicine Lodge township	380	367	364	(16)	(3)	(4.4)	(0.8)
Mingona township	57	54	54	(3)		(5.6)	
Moore township	32	31	30	(2)	(1)	(6.7)	(3.3)
Nippawalla township	26	25	25	(1)		(4.0)	`
Bal. of Sharon township	159	157	156	(3)	(1)	(1.9)	(0.6)
Bal. of Sun City township	19	18	18	(1)		(5.6)	
Turkey Creek township	37	35	35	(2)		(5.7)	
Bal. of Valley township	75	72	72	(3)		(4.2)	
Barton County	28,205	28,004	28,105	(100)	101	(0.4)	0.4
Albert city	181	181	183	2	2	1.1	1.1
Claflin city	705	686	688	(17)	2	(2.5)	0.3
Ellinwood city	2,164	2,080	2,119	(45)	39	(2.1)	1.8
Galatia city	61	61	61				
Great Bend city	15,345	15,441	15,440	95	(1)	0.6	
Hoisington city	2,975	2,980	2,996	21	16	0.7	0.5
Olmitz city	138	137	139	1	2	0.7	1.4
Pawnee Rock city	356	342	346	(10)	4	(2.9)	1.2
Susank city	57	57	57				

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
arton County (cont'd)		772/2001	77272000	2000 2000	200.2000		
Bal. of Barton County	6,223	6,039	6,076	(147)	37	(2.4)	0.6
Albion township	58	56	57	(1)	1	(1.8)	1.8
Beaver township	108	104	105	(3)	1	(2.9)	1.0
Buffalo township	490	474	477	(13)	3	(2.7)	0.6
Cheyenne township	238	229	231	(7)	2	(3.0)	0.9
Clarence township	125	121	122	(3)	1	(2.5)	0.8
Cleveland township	69	67	68	(1)	1	(1.5)	1.5
Comanche township	452	441	444	(8)	3	(1.8)	0.7
Eureka township	116	112	113	(3)	1	(2.7)	0.9
Bal. of Fairview township	68	66	66	(2)		(3.0)	
Grant township	79	76	77	(2)	1	(2.6)	1.3
Great Bend township	1,839	1,794	1,801	(38)	7	(2.1)	0.4
Bal. of Independent township	139	1,794	1,801	(38)	2	(2.1) $(2.2)$	1.5
Lakin township	299	288	290	(9)	2	(3.1)	0.7
Liberty township	321	312	314	(7)	2	(2.2)	0.7
Logan township	176	168	169	(7)	1	(4.1)	0.6
	133	130	131				0.8
North Homestead township				(2)	1	(1.5)	
Bal. of Pawnee Rock township	188	181	183	(5)	2	(2.7)	1.1
South Bend township	682	661	664	(18)	3	(2.7)	0.5
South Homestead township	343	334	336	(7)	2	(2.1)	0.6
Bal. of Union township	71	69	69	(2)		(2.9)	
Bal. of Walnut township	155	150	151	(4)	1	(2.6)	0.7
Wheatland township	74	72	72	(2)		(2.8)	
ourbon County	15,379	15,082	14,997	(382)	(85)	(2.5)	(0.6)
Bronson city	346	342	341	(5)	(1)	(1.5)	(0.3)
Fort Scott city	8,297	8,058	7,990	(307)	(68)	(3.8)	(0.9)
Fulton city	184	182	181	(3)	(1)	(1.7)	(0.6)
Mapleton city	98	97	97	(1)		(1.0)	
Redfield city	140	138	138	(2)		(1.4)	
Uniontown city	288	280	278	(10)	(2)	(3.6)	(0.7)
Bal. of Bourbon County	6,026	5,985	5,972	(54)	(13)	(0.9)	(0.2)
Drywood township	394	393	392	(2)	(1)	(0.5)	(0.3)
Franklin township	312	312	311	(1)	(1)	(0.3)	(0.3)
Bal. of Freedom township	321	318	317	(4)	(1)	(1.3)	(0.3)
Bal. of Marion township	531	525	524	(7)	(1)	(1.3)	(0.2)
Bal. of Marmaton township	675	670	669	(6)	(1)	(0.9)	(0.1)
Mill Creek township	472	468	467	(5)	(1)	(1.1)	(0.2)
Osage township	394	390	389	(5)	(1)	(1.3)	(0.3)
Pawnee township	308	306	306	(2)		(0.7)	
Scott township	2,326	2,312	2,306	(20)	(6)	(0.9)	(0.3)
Bal. of Timberhill township	158	157	157	(1)		(0.6)	
Walnut township	135	134	134	(1)		(0.7)	
rown County	10,724	10,348	10,239	(485)	(109)	(4.7)	(1.1)
Everest city	314	306	304	(10)		(3.3)	(0.7)
	271		304 259		(2)		
Fairview city	53	261		(12)	(2)	(4.6)	(0.8)
Hamlin city		51	51 2 226	(2)	(47)	(3.9)	(1.5)
Hiawatha city	3,417	3,283	3,236	(181)	(47)	(5.6)	(1.5)
Horton city Morrill city	1,967 277	1,867 259	1,843 255	(124) (22)	(24) (4)	(6.7) (8.6)	(1.3) (1.6)

Kansas Certified Population							
Certified to the Secretary of State		_				a	a. cn
	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Brown County (cont'd)			_				
Powhattan city	91	87	86	(5)	(1)	(5.8)	(1.2)
Reserve city	100	97	97	(3)		(3.1)	
Robinson city	216	202	199	(17)	(3)	(8.5)	(1.5)
Sabetha city (pt.)	7	24	25	18	1	72.0	4.0
Willis city	69	67	66	(3)	(1)	(4.5)	(1.5)
Bal. of Brown County	3,942	3,844	3,818	(124)	(26)	(3.2)	(0.7)
Bal. of Hamlin township	193	187	186	(7)	(1)	(3.8)	(0.5)
Hiawatha township	739	722	717	(22)	(5)	(3.1)	(0.7)
Irving township	311	303	301	(10)	(2)	(3.3)	(0.7)
Bal. of Mission township	576	561	557	(19)	(4)	(3.4)	(0.7)
Bal. of Morrill township	226	219	218	(8)	(1)	(3.7)	(0.5)
Bal. of Padonia township	257	250	248	(9)	(2)	(3.6)	(0.8)
Bal. of Powhattan township	783	767	762	(21)	(5)	(2.8)	(0.7)
Bal. of Robinson township	236	229	228	(8)	(1)	(3.5)	(0.4)
Bal. of Walnut township	394	385	382	(12)	(3)	(3.1)	(0.8)
Bal. of Washington township	227	221	219	(8)	(2)	(3.7)	(0.9)
Butler County	59,482	61,694	62,354	2,872	660	4.6	1.1
Andover city	6,698	8,605	9,114	2,416	509	26.5	5.6
Augusta city	8,423	8,541	8,608	185	67	2.1	0.8
Benton city	827	816	817	(10)	1	(1.2)	0.1
Cassoday city	130	127	128	(2)	1	(1.6)	0.8
Douglass city	1,813	1,806	1,799	(14)	(7)	(0.8)	(0.4)
Elbing city	218	209	208	(10)	(1)	(4.8)	(0.5)
El Dorado city	12,057	12,689	12,659	602	(30)	4.8	(0.2)
Latham city	164	164	164				
Leon city	645	647	648	3	1	0.5	0.2
Potwin city	457	437	438	(19)	1	(4.3)	0.2
Rose Hill city	3,432	3,848	3,896	464	48	11.9	1.2
Towarda city	1,338	1,339	1,355	17	16	1.3	1.2
Whitewater city	653	638	639	(14)	1	(2.2)	0.2
Bal. of Butler County	22,627	21,828	21,881	(746)	53	(3.4)	0.2
Augusta township	1,405	1,343	1,343	(62)		(4.6)	
Bal. of Benton township	1,384	1,385	1,387	3	2	0.2	0.1
Bloomington township	544	553	554	10	1	1.8	0.2
Bal. of Bruno township	3,047	2,745	2,745	(302)		(11.0)	
Chelsea township	190	222	2,743	37	5	16.3	2.2
Clay township	83	93	95	12	2	12.6	2.1
Clifford township	259	272	274	15	2	5.5	0.7
Bal. of Douglass township	493	502	503	10	1	2.0	0.7
El Dorado township	1,700	968	969	(731)	1		0.2
	293	304	306	13		(75.4) 4.2	0.1
Bal. of Fairmount township					2		
Fairview township	491	498	500	9	2	1.8	0.4
Glencoe township	239	257	260	21	3	8.1	1.2
Hickory township	90	107	109	19	2	17.4	1.8
Lincoln township	317	353	358	41	5	11.5	1.4
Bal. of Little Walnut township	357	368	369	12	1	3.3	0.3
Logan township	154	164	166	12	2	7.2	1.2
Bal. of Milton township	483	492	494	11	2	2.2	0.4
Murdock township	378	388	390	12	2	3.1	0.5

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Butler County (cont'd)	•						
Bal. of Plum Grove township	204	214	216	12	2	5.6	0.9
Prospect township	2,033	2,052	2,055	22	3	1.1	0.1
Bal. of Richland township	1,064	1,074	1,076	12	2	1.1	0.2
Rock Creek township	299	310	311	12	1	3.9	0.3
Rosalia township	589	607	610	21	3	3.4	0.5
Spring township	1,566	1,567	1,567	1		0.1	
Bal. of Sycamore township	203	224	227	24	3	10.6	1.3
Bal. of Towanda township	1,389	1,397	1,398	9	1	0.6	0.1
Bal. of Union township	62	73	75	13	2	17.3	2.7
Walnut township	760	767	768	8	1	1.0	0.1
Chase County	3,030	3,082	3,081	51	(1)	1.7	
Cedar Point city	53	55	55	2		3.6	
Cottonwood Falls city	966	963	959	(7)	(4)	(0.7)	(0.4)
Elmdale city	50	51	52	2	1	3.8	1.9
Matfield Green city	60	62	62	2		3.2	
Strong City city	584	586	583	(1)	(3)	(0.2)	(0.5)
Bal. of Chase County	1,317	1,365	1,370	53	5	3.9	0.4
Bazaar township	81	84	84	3		3.6	
Cedar township	116	120	120	4		3.3	
Bal. of Cottonwood township	131	135	136	5	1	3.7	0.7
Bal. of Diamond Creek township	187	194	194	7		3.6	
Bal. of Falls township	197	204	205	8	1	3.9	0.5
Homestead township	52	54	54	2		3.7	
Bal. of Matfield township	95	99	99	4		4.0	
Bal. of Strong township	156	162	163	7	1	4.3	0.6
Toledo township	302	313	315	13	2	4.1	0.6
Chautauqua County	4,359	4,191	4,109	(250)	(82)	(6.1)	(2.0)
Cedar Vale city	723	685	669	(54)	(16)	(8.1)	(2.4)
Chautauqua city	113	109	107	(6)	(2)	(5.6)	(1.9)
Elgin city	82	79	78	(4)	(1)	(5.1)	(1.3)
Niotaze city	122	118	116	(6)	(2)	(5.2)	(1.7)
Peru city	183	177	173	(10)	(4)	(5.8)	(2.3)
Sedan city	1,342	1,292	1,269	(73)	(23)	(5.8)	(1.8)
Bal. of Chautauqua County	1,794	1,731	1,697	(97)	(34)	(5.7)	(2.0)
Bal. of Belleville township	379	366	358	(21)	(8)	(5.9)	(2.2)
Caneyville township	88	85	83	(5)	(2)	(6.0)	(2.4)
Center township	75	72	71	(4)	(1)	(5.6)	(1.4)
Bal. of Harrison township	114	110	107	(7)	(3)	(6.5)	(2.8)
Bal. of Hendricks township	97	94	92	(5)	(2)	(5.4)	(2.2)
Bal. of Jefferson township	111	107	105	(6)	(2)	(5.7)	(1.9)
Lafayette township	65	63	62	(3)	(1)	(4.8)	(1.6)
Bal. of Little Caney township	231	223	219	(12)	(4)	(5.5)	(1.8)
Salt Creek township	123	119	117	(6)	(2)	(5.1)	(1.7)
Bal. of Sedan township	318	307	301	(17)	(6)	(5.6)	(2.0)
Summit township	106	102	100	(6)	(2)	(6.0)	(2.0) $(2.0)$
Washington township	87	83	82	(5)	(1)	(6.1)	(2.0) $(1.2)$
Cherokee County	22,605	21,797	21,555	(1,050)	(242)	(4.9)	(1.1)
Baxter Springs city	4,602	4,316	4,246	(356)	(70)	(8.4)	(1.6)

Kansas Certified Population							
Certified to the Secretary of State b	y Division of	the Budget	on July 1, 20	06			
·	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Cherokee County (cont'd)							
Columbus city	3,396	3,291	3,259	(137)	(32)	(4.2)	(1.0)
Galena city	3,287	3,188	3,163	(124)	(25)	(3.9)	(0.8)
Roseland city	101	98	97	(4)	(1)	(4.1)	(1.0)
Scammon city	496	480	475	(21)	(5)	(4.4)	(1.1)
Treece city	149	146	144	(5)	(2)	(3.5)	(1.4)
Weir city	780	761	752	(28)	(9)	(3.7)	(1.2)
West Mineral city	243	237	234	(9)	(3)	(3.8)	(1.3)
Bal. of Cherokee County	9,551	9,280	9,185	(366)	(95)	(4.0)	(1.0)
Cherokee township	336	321	317	(19)	(4)	(6.0)	(1.3)
Crawford township	646	624	618	(28)	(6)	(4.5)	(1.0)
Garden township	3,039	2,962	2,931	(108)	(31)	(3.7)	(1.1)
Lola township	382	372	368	(14)	(4)	(3.8)	(1.1)
Lowell township	672	653	647	(25)	(6)	(3.9)	(0.9)
Bal. of Lyon township	379	368	364	(15)	(4)	(4.1)	(1.1)
Mineral township	254	245	242	(12)	(3)	(5.0)	(1.2)
Neosho township	306	298	295	(11)	(3)	(3.7)	(1.0)
Pleasant View township	658	640	634	(24)	(6)	(3.8)	(0.9)
Bal. of Ross township	549	533	527	(22)	(6)	(4.2)	(1.1)
Salamanca township	569	551	546	(23)	(5)	(4.2)	(0.9)
Shawnee township	505	491	486	(19)	(5)	(3.9)	(1.0)
Sheridan township	249	241	239	(10)	(2)	(4.2)	(0.8)
Spring Valley township	1,007	981	971	(36)	(10)	(3.7)	(1.0)
	,			,	` '	, ,	, ,
Cheyenne County	3,165	2,968	2,946	(219)	(22)	(7.4)	(0.7)
Bird City city	482	443	438	(44)	(5)	(10.0)	(1.1)
St. Francis city	1,497	1,390	1,376	(121)	(14)	(8.8)	(1.0)
Bal. of Cheyenne County	1,186	1,135	1,132	(54)	(3)	(4.8)	(0.3)
Benkelman township	57	55	54	(3)	(1)	(5.6)	(1.9)
Bal. of Bird City township	289	276	275	(14)	(1)	(5.1)	(0.4)
Calhoun township	57	54	54	(3)		(5.6)	
Cleveland Run township	67	64	64	(3)		(4.7)	
Jaqua township	46	44	44	(2)		(4.5)	
Orlando township	63	60	60	(3)		(5.0)	
Bal. of Wano township	607	582	581	(26)	(1)	(4.5)	(0.2)
Clark County	2,390	2,336	2,283	(107)	(53)	(4.7)	(2.3)
Ashland city	975	965	943	(32)	(22)	(3.4)	(2.3)
Englewood city	109	106	104	(5)	(2)	(4.8)	(1.9)
Minneola city	717	697	681	(36)	(16)	(5.3)	(2.3)
Bal. of Clark County	589	568	555	(34)	(13)	(6.1)	(2.3)
Bal. of Appleton township	204	199	196	(8)	(3)	(4.1)	(1.5)
Bal. of Center township	122	110	107	(15)	(3)	(14.0)	(2.8)
Bal. of Englewood township	62	61	59	(3)	(2)	(5.1)	(3.4)
Lexington township	83	82	80	(3)	(2)	(3.8)	(2.5)
Liberty township	32	32	31	(1)	(1)	(3.2)	(3.2)
Sitka township	86	84	82	(4)	(2)	(4.9)	(2.4)
Clay County	8,822	8,572	8,629	(193)	57	(2.2)	0.7
Clay Center city	4,564	4,368	4,378	(186)	10	(4.2)	0.2
Clifton city (pt.)	234	220	220	(14)		(6.4)	
Green city	147	141	140	(7)	(1)	(5.0)	(0.7)
<u> </u>				,	. ,	. ,	. ,

Kansas Certified Population	o by Division of	the Dudget	on July 1 20	MA			
Certified to the Secretary of Stat	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Clay County (cont'd)		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
Longford city	94	89	89	(5)		(5.6)	
Morganville city	198	195	196	(2)	1	(1.0)	0.5
Oak Hill city	35	34	35		1		2.9
Vining city (pt.)	37	36	36	(1)		(2.8)	
Wakefield city	838	865	874	36	9	4.1	1.0
Bal. of Clay County	2,675	2,624	2,661	(14)	37	(0.5)	1.4
Athelstane township	144	143	145	1	2	0.7	1.4
Blaine township	259	256	259		3		1.2
Bloom township	125	127	129	4	2	3.1	1.6
Bal. of Chapman township	108	108	109	1	1	0.9	0.9
Clay Center township	368	358	364	(4)	6	(1.1)	1.6
Exeter township	81	82	83	2	1	2.4	1.2
Five Creeks township	159	158	160	1	2	0.6	1.3
Garfield township	107	107	100	2	2	1.8	1.8
	140	139	141	1	2	0.7	1.6
Gill township Goshen township	92	93	95	3	2	3.2	2.1
		131		1		0.8	1.5
Grant township	132		133		2		
Hayes township	206	204	206	 (1)	2	(0, 6)	1.0
Bal. of Highland township	163	160	162	(1)	2	(0.6)	1.2
Bal. of Mulberry township	60	60	61	1	1	1.6	1.6
Bal. of Oakland township	75	76	77	2	1	2.6	1.3
Bal. of Republican township	186	154	156	(30)	2	(19.2)	1.3
Bal. of Sherman township Union township	130 140	130 138	132 140	2	2 2	1.5 	1.5 1.4
Cloud County	10,268	9,746	9,759	(509)	13	(5.2)	0.1
Aurora city	79	76	77	(2)	1	(2.6)	1.3
Clyde city	740	710	709	(31)	(1)	(4.4)	(0.1)
Concordia city	5,714	5,375	5,371	(343)	(4)	(6.4)	(0.1)
Glasco city	536	503	504	(32)	1	(6.3)	0.2
Jamestown city	399	387	389	(10)	2	(2.6)	0.5
Miltonvale city	523	487	486	(37)	(1)	(7.6)	(0.2)
Simpson city (pt.)							
Bal. of Cloud County	2,277	2,208	2,223	(54)	15	(2.4)	0.7
Arion township	105	101	102	(3)	1	(2.9)	1.0
Bal. of Aurora township	90	87	87	(3)		(3.4)	
Buffalo township	119	115	115	(4)		(3.5)	
Center township	172	167	169	(3)	2	(1.8)	1.2
Colfax township	49	47	48	(1)	1	(2.1)	2.1
Bal. of Elk township	105	102	102	(3)		(2.1)	2.1
Bal. of Crant township	80	77	78	(2)	1	(2.6)	1.3
Lawrence township	146	141	142	(4)	1	(2.8)	0.7
Lincoln township	378	368	370	(8)	2	(2.3)	0.7
Lyon township	103	100	101	(2)	1	(2.0)	1.0
Meredith township	77	75 124	76	(1)	1	(1.3)	1.3
Nelson township	137	134	134	(3)		(2.2)	
Oakland township	52	51	51	(1)		(2.0)	
Shirley township	178	172	173	(5)	1	(2.9)	0.6
Sibley township	178	173	174	(4)	1	(2.3)	0.6
Bal. of Solomon township	128	124	125	(3)	1	(2.4)	0.8
Bal. of Starr township	130	126	127	(3)	1	(2.4)	0.8

4/1/2000 50 8,865 2,790 372 961	7/1/2004 48 8,740 2,729	7/1/2005	2000-2005	2004-2005	2000-2005	2004-2005
8,865 2,790 372	8,740	49	(1)			
8,865 2,790 372	8,740	49	( ) )	1	(2.0)	2.0
2,790 372			(1)	1	(2.0)	2.0
372	2 729	8,683	(182)	(57)	(2.1)	(0.7)
	2,12)	2,707	(83)	(22)	(3.1)	(0.8)
061	368	365	(7)	(3)	(1.9)	(0.8)
701	957	950	(11)	(7)	(1.2)	(0.7)
593	584	579	(14)	(5)	(2.4)	(0.9)
425	402	407	(18)	5	(4.4)	1.2
589	564	556	(33)	(8)	(5.9)	(1.4)
3,135	3,136	3,119	(16)	(17)	(0.5)	(0.5)
183	182	181	(2)	(1)	(1.1)	(0.6)
300	297	294	(6)	(3)	(2.0)	(1.0)
114	114	114			` <u></u>	`
237	238	236	(1)	(2)	(0.4)	(0.8)
76	77	76				(1.3)
262	263	262				(0.4)
			(6)		(2.0)	(0.3)
						(0.7)
						(0.6)
						(0.7)
						(0.7)
						(0.2)
						(0.2)
158	159	158		(1)		(0.6)
1.067	1.012	1.025	(22)	22	(1.7)	1.0
						1.2
						0.9
						0.9
						1.7
						1.8
						1.7
						1.7
						3.0
176	176	179	3	3	1.7	1.7
36,291	35,697	35,298	(993)	(399)	(2.8)	(1.1)
11,963						(1.6)
255						(1.2)
564	558					(0.9)
						(1.0)
						(0.9)
10						(0.6)
794						(1.7)
						(1.7) $(1.1)$
						(0.6)
						(0.0) $(0.4)$
						(1.1)
						3.9
						(1.1) 1.3
	76 262 307 140 315 272 217 436 118 158  1,967 792 558 57 560 58 294 32 176  36,291 11,963 255	76         77           262         263           307         302           140         143           315         315           272         273           217         219           436         434           118         120           158         159           1,967         1,912           792         767           558         536           57         57           560         552           58         58           294         286           32         32           176         176           36,291         35,697           11,963         11,764           255         253           564         558           103         102           364         353           13         11           344         79           12,206         11,990           10,029         9,543           244         242           1,741         1,712           44         49           2,098         1,647	76         77         76           262         263         262           307         302         301           140         143         142           315         315         313           272         273         271           217         219         218           436         434         433           118         120         120           158         159         158           1,967         1,912         1,935           792         767         774           558         536         541           57         57         58           560         552         562           58         58         59           294         286         291           32         32         33           176         176         179           36,291         35,697         35,298           11,963         11,764         11,581           255         253         250           564         558         553           103         102         101           364         353         3	76         77         76            262         263         262            307         302         301         (6)           140         143         142         2           315         315         313         (2)           272         273         271         (1)           217         219         218         1           436         434         433         (3)           118         120         120         2           158         159         158            1,967         1,912         1,935         (32)           792         767         774         (18)           558         536         541         (17)           57         57         58         1           560         552         562         2           58         58         59         1           294         286         291         (3)           32         32         33         1           176         176         179         3           36,291         35,697         35,298         (993)     <	76         77         76          (1)           262         263         262          (1)           307         302         301         (6)         (1)           140         143         142         2         (1)           315         315         313         (2)         (2)           272         273         271         (1)         (2)           217         219         218         1         (1)           436         434         433         (3)         (1)           118         120         120         2            158         159         158          (1)           1,967         1,912         1,935         (32)         23           792         767         774         (18)         7           558         536         541         (17)         5           57         57         58         1         1           560         552         562         2         10           58         58         59         1         1           294         286         291         (3	76         77         76          (1)            262         263         262          (1)            307         302         301         (6)         (1)         (2.0)           140         143         142         2         (1)         1.4           315         315         313         (2)         (2)         (0.6)           272         273         271         (1)         (2)         (0.4)           217         219         218         1         (1)         0.5           436         434         433         (3)         (1)         (0.7)           118         120         120         2          1.7           158         159         158          (1)            1,967         1,912         1,935         (32)         23         (1.7)           792         767         774         (18)         7         (2.3)           558         536         541         (17)         5         (3.1)           57         57         58         1         1         1.7 <t< td=""></t<>

Kansas Certified Population Certified to the Secretary of State	e hy Division of	the Rudget	on July 1 20	006			
Certified to the Secretary of State	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Cowley County (cont'd)							-
Fairview township	203	202	201	(2)	(1)	(1.0)	(0.5)
Grant township	76	81	82	6	1	7.3	1.2
Harvey township	117	124	125	8	1	6.4	0.8
Liberty township	218	222	222	4		1.8	
Bal. of Maple township	645	635	630	(15)	(5)	(2.4)	(0.8)
Bal. of Ninnescah township	377	372	370	(7)	(2)	(1.9)	(0.5)
Bal. of Omnia township	102	104	105	3	1	2.9	1.0
Otter township	54	59	61	7	2	11.5	3.3
Pleasant Valley township	838	824	817	(21)	(7)	(2.6)	(0.9)
Richland township	178	180	180	2		1.1	
Rock Creek township	243	242	241	(2)	(1)	(0.8)	(0.4)
Salem township	364	359	356	(8)	(3)	(2.2)	(0.8)
Sheridan township	159	160	160	1		0.6	
Bal. of Silver Creek township	206	207	206		(1)		(0.5)
Silverdale township	327	325	323	(4)	(2)	(1.2)	(0.6)
Spring Creek township	77	82	83	6	1	7.2	1.2
Tisdale township	340	337	334	(6)	(3)	(1.8)	(0.9)
Vernon township	502	493	489	(13)	(4)	(2.7)	(0.8)
Walnut township	626	618	612	(14)	(6)	(2.3)	(1.0)
Bal. of Windsor township	108	119	121	13	2	10.7	1.7
Crawford County	38,242	38,167	38,222	(20)	55	(0.1)	0.1
Arcadia city	391	386	387	(4)	1	(1.0)	0.3
Arma city	1,529	1,498	1,495	(34)	(3)	(2.3)	(0.2)
Cherokee city	722	717	718	(4)	1	(0.6)	0.1
Frontenac city	2,996	3,088	3,101	105	13	3.4	0.4
Girard city	2,773	2,694	2,686	(87)	(8)	(3.2)	(0.3)
Hepler city	154	152	153	(1)	1	(0.7)	0.7
McCune city	426	423	424	(2)	1	(0.5)	0.2
Mulberry city	577	573	574	(3)	1	(0.5)	0.2
Pittsburg city	19,243	19,215	19,214	(29)	(1)	(0.2)	
Walnut city	221	219	220	(1)	1	(0.5)	0.5
Bal. of Crawford County	9,210	9,202	9,250	40	48	0.4	0.5
Baker township	3,640	3,578	3,582	(58)	4	(1.6)	0.1
Crawford township	883	887	893	10	6	1.1	0.7
Grant township	247	261	266	19	5	7.1	1.9
Bal. of Lincoln township	551	562	568	17	6	3.0	1.1
Bal. of Osage township	330	341	345	15	4	4.3	1.2
Bal. of Sheridan township	779	792	799	20	7	2.5	0.9
Sherman township	520	528	533	13	5	2.4	0.9
Bal. of Walnut township	249	266	272	23	6	8.5	2.2
Bal. of Washington township	2,011	1,987	1,992	(19)	5	(1.0)	0.3
<b>Decatur County</b>	3,472	3,261	3,191	(281)	(70)	(8.8)	(2.2)
Clayton city (pt.)	1	1	1				
Dresden city	51	49	48	(3)	(1)	(6.3)	(2.1)
Jennings city	146	134	130	(16)	(4)	(12.3)	(3.1)
Norcatur city	169	161	158	(11)	(3)	(7.0)	(1.9)
Oberlin city	1,994	1,854	1,811	(183)	(43)	(10.1)	(2.4)
Bal. of Decatur County	1,111	1,062	1,043	(68)	(19)	(6.5)	(1.8)
Allison township	39	37	36	(3)	(1)	(8.3)	(2.8)

<b>Kansas Certified Population</b>							
Certified to the Secretary of State	by Division of Pop. 2000	the Budget of Pop. 2004	on July 1, 20 Pop. 2005	006 # Growth	# Growth	%Chg	%Chg
	4/1/2000	7/1/2004	7/1/2005	2000-2005	2004-2005	2000-2005	2004-2005
Decatur County (cont'd)							
Altory township	16	15	15	(1)		(6.7)	
Bassettville township	26	25	25	(1)		(4.0)	
Beaver township	86	82	80	(6)	(2)	(7.5)	(2.5)
Center township	60	58	57	(3)	(1)	(5.3)	(1.8)
Cook township	44	42	41	(3)	(1)	(7.3)	(2.4)
Custer township	27	26	25	(2)	(1)	(8.0)	(4.0)
Bal. of Dresden township	90	86	84	(6)	(2)	(7.1)	(2.4)
Finley township	39	37	37	(2)		(5.4)	
Garfield township	41	39	38	(3)	(1)	(7.9)	(2.6)
Grant township	31	30	29	(2)	(1)	(6.9)	(3.4)
Harlan township	51	49	48	(3)	(1)	(6.3)	(2.1)
Bal. of Jennings township	34	32	32	(2)		(6.3)	
Liberty township	48	46	45	(3)	(1)	(6.7)	(2.2)
Bal. of Lincoln township	34	32	32	(2)		(6.3)	
Logan township	52	49	48	(4)	(1)	(8.3)	(2.1)
Lyon township	24	23	22	(2)	(1)	(9.1)	(4.5)
Oberlin township	91	88	87	(4)	(1)	(4.6)	(1.1)
Olive township	68	66	65	(3)	(1)	(4.6)	(1.5)
Bal. of Pleasant Valley township	38	36	36	(2)		(5.6)	
Prairie Dog township	50	48	47	(3)	(1)	(6.4)	(2.1)
Roosevelt township	32	30	30	(2)		(6.7)	
Sappa township	43	41	40	(3)	(1)	(7.5)	(2.5)
Sherman township	25	24	23	(2)	(1)	(8.7)	(4.3)
Summit township	22	21	21	(1)		(4.8)	
Dickinson County	19,344	19,143	19,209	(135)	66	(0.7)	0.3
Abilene city	6,543	6,400	6,409	(134)	9	(2.1)	0.1
Carlton city	38	38	38				
Chapman city	1,241	1,239	1,243	2	4	0.2	0.3
Enterprise city	836	814	811	(25)	(3)	(3.1)	(0.4)
Herington city (pt.)	2,563	2,470	2,467	(96)	(3)	(3.9)	(0.1)
Hope city	372	365	367	(5)	2	(1.4)	0.5
Manchester city	102	102	103	1	1	1.0	1.0
Solomon city (pt.)	1,071	1,050	1,055	(16)	5	(1.5)	0.5
Woodbine city	207	206	207		1		0.5
Bal. of Dickinson County	6,371	6,459	6,509	138	50	2.1	0.8
Banner township	148	153	155	7	2	4.5	1.3
Buckeye township	437	440	442	5	2	1.1	0.5
Bal. of Center township	374	375	377	3	2	0.8	0.5
Cheever township	149	154	155	6	1	3.9	0.6
Bal. of Flora township	115	119	121	6	2	5.0	1.7
Fragrant Hill township	251	255	257	6	2	2.3	0.8
Garfield township	189	194	196	7	2	3.6	1.0
Grant township	918	921	925	7	4	0.8	0.4
Hayes township	233	236	239	6	3	2.5	1.3
Bal. of Holland township	69	74	75	6	1	8.0	1.3
Bal. of Hope township	147	151	153	6	2	3.9	1.3
Jefferson township	166	170	172	6	2	3.5	1.2
Bal. of Liberty township	198	202	205	7	3	3.4	1.5
Bal. of Lincoln township	598	597	600	2	3	0.3	0.5
Logan township	202	206	208	6	2	2.9	1.0

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Dickinson County (cont'd)							
Lyon township	252	255	257	5	2	1.9	0.8
Newbern township	349	352	354	5	2	1.4	0.6
Bal. of Noble township	489	495	496	7	1	1.4	0.2
Ridge township	160	164	166	6	2	3.6	1.2
Rinehart township	194	198	200	6	2	3.0	1.0
Sherman township	147	150	151	4	1	2.6	0.7
Union township	176	179	181	5	2	2.8	1.1
Wheatland township	152	157	159	7	2	4.4	1.3
Willowdale township	258	262	265	7	3	2.6	1.1
Doniphan County	8,249	7,990	7,816	(433)	(174)	(5.5)	(2.2)
Denton city	186	182	178	(8)	(4)	(4.5)	(2.2)
Elwood city	1,145	1,190	1,153	8	(37)	0.7	(3.2)
Highland city	976	956	941	(35)	(15)	(3.7)	(1.6)
Leona city	88	87	85	(3)	(2)	(3.5)	(2.4)
Severance city	108	107	104	(4)	(3)	(3.8)	(2.9)
Troy city	1,054	1,015	1,017	(37)	2	(3.6)	0.2
Wathena city	1,348	1,316	1,290	(58)	(26)	(4.5)	(2.0)
White Cloud city	239	236	230	(9)	(6)	(3.9)	(2.6)
Bal. of Doniphan County	3,105	2,901	2,818	(287)	(83)	(10.2)	(2.9)
Burr Oak township	153	150	146	(7)	(4)	(4.8)	(2.7)
Bal. of Center township	689	653	634	(55)	(19)	(8.7)	(3.0)
Independence township	342	327	317	(25)	(10)	(7.9)	(3.2)
Bal. of Iowa township	479	457	444	(35)	(13)	(7.9)	(2.9)
Marion township	226	217	211	(15)	(6)	(7.1)	(2.8)
Bal. of Union township	174	166	162	(12)	(4)	(7.4)	(2.5)
Bal. of Washington township	573	481	467	(106)	(14)	(22.7)	(3.0)
Wayne township	226	217	211	(15)	(6)	(7.1)	(2.8)
Bal. of Wolf River township	243	233	226	(17)	(7)	(7.5)	(3.1)
Douglas County	99,962	102,738	102,914	2,952	176	2.9	0.2
Baldwin City city	3,400	3,703	3,746	346	43	9.2	1.1
Eudora city	4,307	5,120	5,284	977	164	18.5	3.1
Lawrence city	80,098	81,842	81,816	1,718	(26)	2.1	
Lecompton city	608	598	589	(19)	(9)	(3.2)	(1.5)
Bal. of Douglas County	11,549	11,475	11,479	(70)	4	(0.6)	
Clinton township	531	544	546	15	2	2.7	0.4
Bal. of Eudora township	1,264	1,256	1,257	(7)	1	(0.6)	0.1
Grant township	442	421	421	(21)		(5.0)	
Kanwaka township	1,317	1,310	1,310	(7)		(0.5)	
Bal. of Lecompton township	1,153	1,148	1,147	(6)	(1)	(0.5)	(0.1)
Marion township	836	873	880	44	7	5.0	0.8
Bal. of Palmyra township	2,360	2,370	2,370	10		0.4	
Wakarusa township	2,237	2,127	2,121	(116)	(6)	(5.5)	(0.3)
Willow Springs township	1,409	1,426	1,427	18	1	1.3	0.1
Edwards County	3,449	3,308	3,292	(157)	(16)	(4.8)	(0.5)
Belpre city	104	101	101	(3)		(3.0)	
Kinsley city	1,658	1,559	1,547	(111)	(12)	(7.2)	(0.8)
Lewis city	486	476	475	(11)	(1)	(2.3)	(0.2)
Offerle city	220	215	214	(6)	(1)	(2.8)	(0.5)

Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
981	957	955	(26)	(2)	(2.7)	(0.2)
82	80	80	(2)		(2.5)	
93	91	90		(1)		(1.1)
98	96	95				(1.1)
160	156					
143	139	139				
42	41	41				
67	65	65				
90	88					
86						
120	117	117	(3)		(2.6)	
3,261	3,109	3,075	(186)	(34)	(6.0)	(1.1)
112	107	106	(6)	(1)	(5.7)	(0.9)
231	219	217	(14)	(2)	(6.5)	(0.9)
808	772	764	(44)	(8)	(5.8)	(1.0)
394	375	371	(23)	(4)	(6.2)	(1.1)
457	435	431	(26)	(4)	(6.0)	(0.9)
1,259	1,201	1,186	(73)	(15)	(6.2)	(1.3)
84	80	79	(5)	(1)	(6.3)	(1.3)
90	86	85	(5)	(1)	(5.9)	(1.2)
198	189	186	(12)	(3)	(6.5)	(1.6)
117	111	110	(7)	(1)	(6.4)	(0.9)
136	130	129	(7)	(1)	(5.4)	(0.8)
154	148	146	(8)	(2)	(5.5)	(1.4)
68	65	64	(4)	(1)	(6.3)	(1.6)
116	111	109	(7)	(2)	(6.4)	(1.8)
116	110	109	(7)	(1)	(6.4)	(0.9)
180	171	169	(11)	(2)	(6.5)	(1.2)
27,507	27,040	26,767	(740)	(273)	(2.8)	(1.0)
						(0.9)
			(381)	(195)	(1.9)	(1.0)
						(0.5)
						(0.9)
4,199	3,998	3,947	(252)	(51)	(6.4)	(1.3)
1,798	1,687	1,664	(134)	(23)	(8.1)	(1.4)
				(3)		(1.1)
				(4)		(1.3)
	371	367	(19)	(4)	(5.2)	(1.1)
	120	118	(7)	(2)	(5.9)	(1.7)
322	311	307	(15)	(4)	(4.9)	(1.3)
		337	(18)	(4)	(5.3)	(1.2)
			(10)	(2)	(5.0)	(1.0)
401	385	380	(21)	(5)	(5.5)	(1.3)
6,525	6,355	6,343	(182)	(12)	(2.9)	(0.2)
						(0.2)
						(0.2)
136	133					(1.2)
	981 82 93 98 160 143 42 67 90 86 120 3,261 112 231 808 394 457 1,259 84 90 198 117 136 154 68 116 116 180 27,507 1,873 20,013 214 1,208 4,199 1,798 285 318 386 125 322 355 209 401 6,525 2,965 464 543	4/1/2000         7/1/2004           981         957           82         80           93         91           98         96           160         156           143         139           42         41           67         65           90         88           86         84           120         117           3,261         3,109           112         107           231         219           808         772           394         375           457         435           1,259         1,201           84         80           90         86           198         189           117         111           136         130           154         148           68         65           116         111           116         110           180         171           27,507         27,040           1,873         1,828           20,013         19,827           214         213	4/1/2000         7/1/2004         7/1/2005           981         957         955           82         80         80           93         91         90           98         96         95           160         156         156           143         139         139           42         41         41           67         65         65           90         88         88           86         84         84           120         117         117           3,261         3,109         3,075           112         107         106           231         219         217           808         772         764           394         375         371           457         435         431           1,259         1,201         1,186           84         80         79           90         86         85           198         189         186           117         111         110           136         130         129           154         148         146	41/2000         7/1/2004         7/1/2005         2000-2005           981         957         955         (26)           82         80         80         (2)           93         91         90         (3)           98         96         95         (3)           160         156         156         (4)           143         139         139         (4)           42         41         41         (1)           67         65         65         (2)           90         88         88         (2)           86         84         84         (2)           120         117         117         (3)           3,261         3,109         3,075         (186)           112         107         106         (6)           231         219         217         (14)           808         772         764         (44)           394         375         371         (23)           457         435         431         (26)           1,259         1,201         1,186         (73)           457         435         431 </td <td>  1/1/2000</td> <td>  Part   Part  </td>	1/1/2000	Part   Part

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-200
llsworth County (cont'd)							
Wilson city	799	766	767	(32)	1	(4.2)	0.1
Bal. of Ellsworth County	1,618	1,594	1,588	(30)	(6)	(1.9)	(0.4)
Ash Creek township	58	57	57	(1)		(1.8)	
Black Wolf township	87	86	86	(1)		(1.2)	
Carneiro township	57	56	56	(1)		(1.8)	
Clear Creek township	91	89	89	(2)		(2.2)	
Columbia township	60	59	58	(2)	(1)	(3.4)	(1.7)
Bal. of Ellsworth township	254	248	250	(4)	2	(1.6)	0.8
Empire township	174	173	172	(2)	(1)	(1.2)	(0.6)
Garfield township	27	27	27				
Bal. of Green Garden township	75	74	73	(2)	(1)	(2.7)	(1.4)
Langley township	76	77	76		(1)		(1.3)
Lincoln township	62	61	61	(1)		(1.6)	(1.5)
Mulberry township	44	43	43	(1)		(2.3)	
Noble township	90	88	88	(2)		(2.3)	
Palacky township	63	62	62	(1)		(1.6)	
Sherman township	65	64	63	(2)		(3.2)	(1.6)
Thomas township	72	71	70		(1)	(2.9)	` .
•		54		(2)	(1)		(1.4)
Trivoli township Bal. of Valley township	55		54	(1)	(1)	(1.9)	
Bal. of Wilson township	113 95	111 94	110 93	(3) (2)	(1) (1)	(2.7) (2.2)	(0.9)
nney County	40,523	39,170	38,988	(1,535)	(182)	(3.9)	(0.5)
Garden City city	28,451	27,242	27,098	(1,353)	(144)	(5.0)	(0.5)
Holcomb city	2,026	1,897	1,888	(138)	(9)	(7.3)	(0.5)
Bal. of Finney County	10,046	10,031	10,002	(44)	(29)	(0.4)	(0.3)
Garden City township	7,400	7,176	7,131	(269)	(45)	(3.8)	(0.5)
Garfield township	331	406	415	84	9	20.2	2.2
Ivanhoe township	666	685	685	19		2.8	
Pierceville township	551	566	567	16		2.8	0.2
	139		164	25	1	2.8 15.2	1.8
Pleasant Valley township		161			3		
Bal. of Sherlock township	732	787	788 252	56 25	1	7.1	0.1
Terry township	227	250	252	25	2	9.9	0.8
ord County	32,458	33,456	33,751	1,293	295	3.8	0.9
Bucklin city	725	726	734	9	8	1.2	1.1
Dodge City city	25,176	25,901	26,104	928	203	3.6	0.8
Ford city	314	326	330	16	4	4.8	1.2
Spearville city	813	850	858	45	8	5.2	0.9
Bal. of Ford County	5,430	5,653	5,725	295	72	5.2	1.3
Bloom township	113	119	121	8	2	6.6	1.7
Bal. of Bucklin township	175	186	190	15	4	7.9	2.1
Concord township	117	126	129	12	3	9.3	2.3
Dodge township	899	924	933	34	9	3.6	1.0
Enterprise township	1,113	1,156	1,166	53	10	4.5	0.9
Fairview township	346	359	364	18	5	4.9	1.4
Bal. of Ford township	142	153	157	15	4	9.6	2.5
Grandview township	784	805	812	28	7	3.4	0.9
Richland township	931	965	974	43	9	4.4	0.9
Royal township	105	113	116	11	3	9.5	2.6
Sodville township	110	115	117	7	2	6.0	1.7

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Ford County (cont'd)							
Bal. of Spearville township	337	359	367	30	8	8.2	2.2
Wheatland township	170	178	181	11	3	6.1	1.7
Wilburn township	88	95	98	10	3	10.2	3.1
Franklin County	24,784	26,130	26,247	1,463	117	5.6	0.4
Lane city	256	260	259	3	(1)	1.2	(0.4)
Ottawa city	11,921	12,499	12,597	676	98	5.4	0.8
Pomona city	923	949	942	19	(7)	2.0	(0.7)
Princeton city	317	332	327	10	(5)	3.1	(1.5)
Rantoul city	241	247	245	4	(2)	1.6	(0.8)
Richmond city	510	517	514	4	(3)	0.8	(0.6)
Wellsville city	1,606	1,627	1,631	25	4	1.5	0.2
Williamsburg city	351	364	359	8	(5)	2.2	(1.4)
Bal. of Franklin County	8,659	9,335	9,373	714	38	7.6	0.4
Appanoose township	293	324	326	33	2	10.1	0.6
Centropolis township	997	1,054	1,057	60	3	5.7	0.3
Bal. of Cutler township	615	666	669	54	3	8.1	0.4
Bal. of Franklin township	946	1,038	1,039	93	1	9.0	0.1
Greenwood township	429	462	464	35	2	7.5	0.4
Harrison township	445	475	477	32	2	6.7	0.4
Hayes township	397	431	433	36	2	8.3	0.5
Homewood township	493	530	531	38	1	7.2	0.2
Lincoln township	797	840	842	45	2	5.3	0.2
Bal. of Ohio township	466	511	514	48	3	9.3	0.6
Ottawa township	868	917	919	51	2	5.5	0.0
Peoria township	626	673	675	49	2	7.3	0.2
Bal. of Pomona township	251	273	275	24	2	8.7	0.7
Bal. of Pottawatomie township	413	451	453	40	2	8.8	0.7
Bal. of Richmond township	302	335	339	37	4	10.9	1.2
Bal. of Williamsburg township	321	355	360	39	5	10.9	1.4
Geary County	27,947	25,145	24,585	(3,362)	(560)	(13.7)	(2.3)
Grandview Plaza city	1,184	1,073	1,039	(145)	(34)	(14.0)	(3.3)
Junction City city	18,886	16,828	16,402	(2,484)	(426)	(14.0)	(2.6)
	502	454	444		. ,	(13.1)	(2.3)
Milford city				(58)	(10)	(10.1)	
Bal. of Geary County	7,375 113	6,790	6,700	(675) 7	(90)	5.8	(1.3)
Blakely township		117	120	9	3		2.5
Jackson township	78	84	87		3	10.3	3.4
Bal. of Jefferson township	467	440	436	(31)	(4)	(7.1)	(0.9)
Liberty township	225	231	236	11	5	4.7	2.1
Lyon township	298	286	286	(12)	(1.6)	(4.2)	(1.7)
Bal. of Milford township	1,081	984	968	(113)	(16)	(11.7)	(1.7)
Smoky Hill township	4,974	4,505	4,420	(554)	(85)	(12.5)	(1.9)
Wingfield township	139	143	147	8	4	5.4	2.7
Gove County	3,068	2,829	2,763	(305)	(66)	(11.0)	(2.4)
Gove City city	105	97	95	(10)	(2)	(10.5)	(2.1)
Grainfield city	327	305	298	(29)	(7)	(9.7)	(2.3)
Grinnell city	329	306	299	(30)	(7)	(10.0)	(2.3)
Oakley city (pt.)	0	1	1	1		100.0	
Park city	151	140	137	(14)	(3)	(10.2)	(2.2)

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-200
Gove County (cont'd)							
Quinter city	961	869	846	(115)	(23)	(13.6)	(2.7)
Bal. of Gove County	1,195	1,111	1,087	(108)	(24)	(9.9)	(2.2)
Bal. of Baker township	396	368	359	(37)	(9)	(10.3)	(2.5)
Gaeland township	46	43	42	(4)	(1)	(9.5)	(2.4)
Bal. of Gove township	110	102	100	(10)	(2)	(10.0)	(2.0)
Bal. of Grainfield township	103	96	94	(9)	(2)	(9.6)	(2.1)
Bal. of Grinnell township	151	141	138	(13)	(3)	(9.4)	(2.2)
Jerome township	132	123	120	(12)	(3)	(10.0)	(2.5)
Larrabee township	80	74	73	(7)	(1)	(9.6)	(1.4)
Lewis township	13	12	12	(1)		(8.3)	
Bal. of Payne township	164	152	149	(15)	(3)	(10.1)	(2.0)
Graham County	2,946	2,751	2,721	(225)	(30)	(8.3)	(1.1)
Bogue city	179	170	169	(10)	(1)	(5.9)	(0.6)
Hill City city	1,604	1,472	1,451	(153)	(21)	(10.5)	(1.4)
Morland city	164	156	155	(9)	(1)	(5.8)	(0.6)
Bal. of Graham County	999	953	946	(53)	(7)	(5.6)	(0.7)
Allodium township	46	44	44	(2)		(4.5)	
Bryant township	115	109	108	(7)	(1)	(6.5)	(0.9)
Bal. of Gettysburg township	68	65	64	(4)	(1)	(6.3)	(1.6)
Graham township	53	50	50	(3)		(6.0)	
Happy township	72	68	68	(4)		(5.9)	
Bal. of Hill City township	143	136	135	(8)	(1)	(5.9)	(0.7)
Indiana township	42	40	39	(3)	(1)	(7.7)	(2.6)
Millbrook township	150	144	144	(6)		(4.2)	
Morlan township	68	65	64	(4)	(1)	(6.3)	(1.6)
Nicodemus township	52	50	50	(2)		(4.0)	
Pioneer township	57	55	54	(3)	(1)	(5.6)	(1.9)
Bal. of Solomon township	60	57	57	(3)		(5.3)	
Bal. of Wildhorse township	73	70	69	(4)	(1)	(5.8)	(1.4)
Grant County	7,909	7,644	7,530	(379)	(114)	(5.0)	(1.5)
Ulysses city	5,960	5,738	5,650	(310)	(88)	(5.5)	(1.6)
Bal. of Grant County	1,949	1,906	1,880	(69)	(26)	(3.7)	(1.4)
Gray County	5,904	5,970	5,861	(43)	(109)	(0.7)	(1.9)
Cimarron city	1,934	2,042	2,029	95	(13)	4.7	(0.6)
Copeland city	339	328	321	(18)	(7)	(5.6)	(2.2)
Ensign city	203	206	199	(4)	(7)	(2.0)	(3.5)
Ingalls city	328	322	312	(16)	(10)	(5.1)	(3.2)
Montezuma city	966	977	964	(2)	(13)	(0.2)	(1.3)
Bal. of Gray County	2,134	2,095	2,036	(98)	(59)	(4.8)	(2.9)
Bal. of Cimarron township	445	419	406	(39)	(13)	(9.6)	(3.2)
Bal. of Copeland township	201	200	195	(6)	(5)	(3.1)	(2.6)
Bal. of East Hess township	169	170	165	(4)	(5)	(2.4)	(3.0)
Foote township	126	127	123	(3)	(4)	(2.4)	(3.3)
Bal. of Ingalls township	318	321	312	(6)	(9)	(1.9)	(2.9)
Logan township	216	217	211	(5)	(6)	(2.4)	(2.8)
Bal. of Montezuma township	659	641	624	(35)	(17)	(5.6)	(2.7)
Greeley County	1,534	1,412	1,349	(185)	(63)	(13.7)	(4.7)
Horace city	143	135	129	(14)	(6)	(10.9)	(4.7)

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Greeley County (cont'd)							
Tribune city	835	756	722	(113)	(34)	(15.7)	(4.7)
Bal. of Greeley County	556	521	498	(58)	(23)	(11.6)	(4.6)
Greenwood County	7,673	7,496	7,338	(335)	(158)	(4.6)	(2.2)
Climax city	64	64	62	(2)	(2)	(3.2)	(3.2)
Eureka city	2,914	2,806	2,739	(175)	(67)	(6.4)	(2.4)
Fall River city	156	155	152	(4)	(3)	(2.6)	(2.0)
Hamilton city	334	331	325	(9)	(6)	(2.8)	(1.8)
Madison city	857	819	799	(58)	(20)	(7.3)	(2.5)
Severy city	359	359	352	(7)	(7)	(2.0)	(2.0)
Virgil city	113	112	110	(3)	(2)	(2.7)	(1.8)
Bal. of Greenwood County	2,876	2,850	2,799	(77)	(51)	(2.8)	(1.8)
Bachelor township	230	230	226	(4)	(4)	(1.8)	(1.8)
Eureka township	451	441	433	(18)	(8)	(4.2)	(1.8)
Bal. of Fall River township	165	163	160	(5)	(3)	(3.1)	(1.9)
Bal. of Janesville township	214	215	211	(3)	(4)	(1.4)	(1.9)
Bal. of Lane township	54	52	51	(3)	(1)	(5.9)	(2.0)
Bal. of Madison township	298	295	291	(7)	(4)	(2.4)	(1.4)
Otter Creek township	211	210	206	(5)	(4)	(2.4)	(1.9)
Pleasant Grove township	52	51	51	(1)		(2.4) $(2.0)$	
Quincy township	163	162	159	(4)	(3)	(2.5)	(1.9)
Salem township	35	35	34	(1)	(1)	(2.9)	(2.9)
Bal. of Salt Springs township	307	306	301	(6)	(5)	(2.0)	(2.7) $(1.7)$
Shell Rock township	173	171	168	(5)	(3)	(3.0)	(1.7)
South Salem township	173	171	123			(3.3)	(2.4)
Spring Creek township	154	154	151	(4)	(3) (3)	(2.0)	(2.4) $(2.0)$
Bal. of Twin Grove township	242	239	234	(3) (8)	(5)	(3.4)	(2.0)
Hamilton County	2,670	2,643	2,604	(66)	(39)	(2.5)	(1.5)
Coolidge city	86	86	85	(1)	(1)	(1.2)	(1.2)
Syracuse city	1,824	1,817	1,788	(36)	(29)	(2.0)	(1.6)
Bal. of Hamilton County	760	740	731	(29)	(9)	(4.0)	(1.2)
Bear Creek township	69	72	72	3		4.2	(1.2)
Bal. of Coolidge township	42	43	43	1		2.3	
Kendall township	101	103	101		(2)	2.3	(2.0)
Lamont township	89	92	91	2	(1)	2.2	(2.0) $(1.1)$
Liberty township	38	39	39	1	(1)	2.6	(1.1)
Medway township	53	56	55	2	(1)	3.6	(1.8)
Richland township	27	28	28	1	(1)	3.6 3.6	(1.8)
Bal. of Syracuse township	341	307	302	(39)	(5)	(12.9)	(1.7)
Harper County	6,536	6,195	6,081	(455)	(114)	(7.5)	(1.9)
Anthony city	2,440	2,352	2,302	(138)	(50)	(6.0)	(2.2)
Attica city	636	612	604	(32)	(8)	(5.3)	(1.3)
Bluff City city	80	78	76	(4)	(2)	(5.3)	(2.6)
Danville city	59	57	56	(3)	(1)	(5.4)	(1.8)
Freeport city	6	8	8	2	(1)	25.0	(1.0)
Harper city	1,567	1,500	1,478	(89)	(22)	(6.0)	(1.5)
Waldron city	1,307	1,300	1,478	(1)	(22)	(6.3)	(1.5)
Bal. of Harper County	1,731	1,572	1,541	(190)	(31)	(12.3)	(2.0)
Bal. of Township No. 1	364	344	337	(27)	(7)	(8.0)	(2.0)

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Harper County (cont'd)	-						
Bal. of Township No. 2	127	121	119	(8)	(2)	(6.7)	(1.7)
Township No. 3	394	311	305	(89)	(6)	(29.2)	(2.0)
Bal. of Township No. 4	146	136	133	(13)	(3)	(9.8)	(2.3)
Bal. of Township No. 5	404	381	373	(31)	(8)	(8.3)	(2.1)
Township No. 6	296	279	274	(22)	(5)	(8.0)	(1.8)
Harvey County	32,869	33,697	33,843	974	146	2.9	0.4
Burrton city	932	917	913	(19)	(4)	(2.1)	(0.4)
Halstead city	1,873	1,897	1,912	39	15	2.0	0.8
Hesston city	3,509	3,618	3,631	122	13	3.4	0.4
Newton city	17,190	18,140	18,229	1,039	89	5.7	0.5
North Newton city	1,522	1,571	1,574	52	3	3.3	0.2
Sedgwick city (pt.)	1,326	1,419	1,419	93		6.6	
Walton city	284	296	295	11	(1)	3.7	(0.3)
Bal. of Harvey County	6,233	5,839	5,870	(363)	31	(6.2)	0.5
Alta township	221	233	234	13	1	5.6	0.4
Bal. of Burrton township	211	222	224	13	2	5.8	0.9
Darlington township	601	617	619	18	2	2.9	0.3
Bal. of Emma township	672	641	645	(27)	4	(4.2)	0.6
Garden township	294	307	309	15	2	4.9	0.6
Halstead township	353	364	366	13	2	3.6	0.5
Highland township	415	429	431	16	2	3.7	0.5
Lake township	173	183	185	12	2	6.5	1.1
Lakin township	357	370	373	16	3	4.3	0.8
Macon township	1,056	532	533	(523)	1	(98.1)	0.2
Bal. of Newton township	428	437	438	10	1	2.3	0.2
Pleasant township	439	454	457	18	3	3.9	0.7
Richland township	360	375	377	17	2	4.5	0.5
Bal. of Sedgwick township	385	398	400	15	2	3.8	0.5
Bal. of Walton township	268	277	279	11	2	3.9	0.7
Haskell County	4,307	4,267	4,232	(75)	(35)	(1.8)	(0.8)
Satanta city	1,239	1,194	1,179	(60)	(15)	(5.1)	(1.3)
Sublette city	1,592	1,592	1,582	(10)	(10)	(0.6)	(0.6)
Bal. of Haskell County	1,476	1,481	1,471	(5)	(10)	(0.3)	(0.7)
Bal. of Dudley township	575	579	574	(1)	(5)	(0.2)	(0.9)
Bal. of Haskell township Lockport township	379 522	378 524	376 521	(3) (1)	(2) (3)	(0.8) (0.2)	(0.5) (0.6)
-							
Hodgeman County	2,085	2,120	2,110	25	(10)	1.2	(0.5)
Hanston city	259	264	262	3	(2)	1.1	(0.8)
Jetmore city Rel of Hedgemen County	903	918	914	11	(4)	1.2	(0.4)
Bal. of Hodgeman County	923	938	934	11	(4)	1.2	(0.4)
Benton township	48	49	49	1	(2)	2.0	(0.0)
Bal. of Center township	218	221	219	1	(2)	0.5	(0.9)
Hallet township	62	63	63	1	(1)	1.6	(0.6)
Bal. of Marena township	173	176	175	2	(1)	1.1	(0.6)
North Roscoe township	51	52	52	1	(1)	1.9	(1.1)
Sawlog township	93	95 77	94	1	(1)	1.1	(1.1)
South Roscoe township Sterling township	76 144	77 146	77 146	1 2		1.3 1.4	

	Pop. 2000	Pop. 2004	Pop. 2005	# Growth	# Growth	%Chg	%Chg
Hodgeman County (cont'd)	4/1/2000	7/1/2004	7/1/2005	2000-2005	2004-2005	2000-2005	2004-200
Valley township	58	59	59	1		1.7	
Jackson County	12,657	13,193	13,535	878	342	6.5	2.5
Circleville city	185	183	185		2		1.1
Delia city	179	184	188	9	4	4.8	2.1
Denison city	231	228	230	(1)	2	(0.4)	0.9
Holton city	3,353	3,351	3,400	47	49	1.4	1.4
Hoyt city	571	588	600	29	12	4.8	2.0
Mayetta city	312	342	359	47	17	13.1	4.7
Netawaka city	170	169	178	8	9	4.5	5.1
Soldier city	122	123	124	2	1	1.6	0.8
Whiting city	206	212	216	10	4	4.6	1.9
Bal. of Jackson County	7,328	7,813	8,055	727	242	9.0	3.0
Adrian township	150	166	173	23	7	13.3	4.0
Banner township	300	321	332	32	11	9.6	3.3
Bal. of Cedar township	939	985	1,011	72	26	7.1	2.6
Bal. of Douglas township	1,564	1,642	1,685	121	43	7.1	2.6
Franklin township	776	812	833	57	21	6.8	2.5
Bal. of Garfield township	396	421	433	37	12	8.5	2.8
Grant township	212	234	243	31	9	12.8	3.7
	309	332	343	34	11	9.9	3.7
Bal. of Jefferson township							
Liberty township	513	542	558	45	16	8.1	2.9
Lincoln township	1,046	1,125	1,162	116	37	10.0	3.2
Bal. of Netawaka township	191	210	218	27	8	12.4	3.7
Bal. of Soldier township	281	305	316	35	11	11.1	3.5
Straight Creek township	158	175	183	25	8	13.7	4.4
Bal. of Washington township	337	370	385	48	15	12.5	3.9
Bal. of Whiting township	156	173	180	24	7	13.3	3.9
efferson County	18,426	18,951	19,106	680	155	3.6	0.8
McLouth city	868	854	849	(19)	(5)	(2.2)	(0.6)
Meriden city	706	700	708	2	8	0.3	1.1
Nortonville city	620	601	598	(22)	(3)	(3.7)	(0.5)
Oskaloosa city	1,165	1,151	1,149	(16)	(2)	(1.4)	(0.2)
Ozawkie city	552	572	574	22	2	3.8	0.3
Perry city	901	886	883	(18)	(3)	(2.0)	(0.3)
Valley Falls city	1,254	1,211	1,209	(45)	(2)	(3.7)	(0.2)
Winchester city	579	576	582	3	6	0.5	1.0
Bal. of Jefferson County	11,781	12,400	12,554	773	154	6.2	1.2
Bal. of Delaware township	780	873	894	114	21	12.8	2.3
Fairview township	1,510	1,549	1,559	49	10	3.1	0.6
Bal. of Jefferson township	661	724	739	78	15	10.6	2.0
Kaw township	1,409	1,454	1,466	57	12	3.9	0.8
Bal. of Kentucky township	675	716	725	50	9	6.9	1.2
Bal. of Norton township	335	377	387	52	10	13.4	2.6
Bal. of Oskaloosa township	977	1,039	1,054	77	15	7.3	1.4
Bal. of Ozawkie township	856	901	910	54	9	5.9	1.0
Bal. of Rock Creek township	2,012	2,081	2,102	90	21	4.3	1.0
Rural township	804	844	854	50	10	5.9	1.2
Sarcoxie township	958	990	1,000	42	10	4.2	1.0
Bal. of Union township	804	852	864	60	12	6.9	1.4

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-200
Jewell County	3,791	3,425	3,352	(439)	(73)	(13.1)	(2.2)
Burr Oak city	265	233	226	(39)	(73)	(17.3)	(3.1)
Esbon city	148	135	133				
•				(15)	(2)	(11.3)	(1.5)
Formoso city	129	118	116	(13)	(2)	(11.2)	(1.7)
Jewell city	483	447	439	(44)	(8)	(10.0)	(1.8)
Mankato city	976	864	843	(133)	(21)	(15.8)	(2.5)
Randall city	90	76	74	(16)	(2)	(21.6)	(2.7)
Webber city	37	34	33	(4)	(1)	(12.1)	(3.0)
Bal. of Jewell County	1,663	1,518	1,488	(175)	(30)	(11.8)	(2.0)
Allen township	43	39	38	(5)	(1)	(13.2)	(2.6)
Athens township	74	68	66	(8)	(2)	(12.1)	(3.0)
Browns Creek township	64	58	57	(7)	(1)	(12.3)	(1.8)
Bal. of Buffalo township	91	78	76	(15)	(2)	(19.7)	(2.6)
Bal. of Burr Oak township	73	67	65	(8)	(2)	(12.3)	(3.1)
Calvin township	65	59	58	(7)	(1)	(12.1)	(1.7)
Bal. of Center township	124	113	113	(11)		(9.7)	
Erving township	60	55	54	(6)	(1)	(11.1)	(1.9)
Bal. of Esbon township	74	67	66	(8)	(1)	(12.1)	(1.5)
Bal. of Grant township	91	83	81	(10)	(2)	(12.3)	(2.5)
Harrison township	52	48	47	(5)	(1)	(10.6)	(2.1)
Highland township	49	45	44	(5)	(1)	(11.4)	(2.3)
Holmwood township	49	45	44	(5)	(1)	(11.4)	(2.3)
Ionia township	100	91	90	(10)	(1)	(11.1)	(1.1)
Bal. of Jackson township	86	79	77	(9)	(2)	(11.7)	(2.6)
Limestone township	49	45	44	(5)	(1)	(11.7)	(2.3)
Montana township	93	85	83	(10)	(2)	(12.0)	(2.4)
	34	31	30				
Odessa township				(4)	(1)	(13.3)	(3.3)
Bal. of Prairie township	82	78	76	(6)	(2)	(7.9)	(2.6)
Richland township	36	33	33	(3)		(9.1)	
Sinclair township	67	61	60	(7)	(1)	(11.7)	(1.7)
Vicksburg township	28	26	25	(3)	(1)	(12.0)	(4.0)
Walnut township	80	73	72	(8)	(1)	(11.1)	(1.4)
Washington township	50	46	45	(5)	(1)	(11.1)	(2.2)
White Mound township	49	45	44	(5)	(1)	(11.4)	(2.3)
ohnson County	451,086	496,892	506,562	55,476	9,670	11.0	1.9
Bonner Springs city (pt.)	1	5.055	5 160	2	114	66.7	
De Soto city (pt.)	4,561	5,055	5,169	608	114	11.8	2.2
Edgerton city	1,440	1,603	1,692	252	89	14.9	5.3
Fairway city	3,952	3,850	3,840	(112)	(10)	(2.9)	(0.3)
Gardner city	9,396	12,942	14,317	4,921	1,375	34.4	9.6
Lake Quivira city (pt.)	883	869	872	(11)	3	(1.3)	0.3
Leawood city	27,656	29,516	30,145	2,489	629	8.3	2.1
Lenexa city	40,238	42,632	43,434	3,196	802	7.4	1.8
Merriam city	11,008	10,778	10,769	(239)	(9)	(2.2)	(0.1)
Mission city	9,727	9,776	9,751	24	(25)	0.2	(0.3)
Mission Hills city	3,593	3,526	3,523	(70)	(3)	(2.0)	(0.1)
Mission Woods city	165	160	160	(5)		(3.1)	
Olathe city	92,962	108,440	111,334	18,372	2,894	16.5	2.6
Overland Park city	149,080	162,795	164,811	15,731	2,016	9.5	1.2
Prairie Village city	22,072	21,520	21,454	(618)	(66)	(2.9)	(0.3)

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Johnson County (cont'd)	•						
Roeland Park city	6,817	7,000	6,975	158	(25)	2.3	(0.4)
Shawnee city	47,996	56,201	57,628	9,632	1,427	16.7	2.5
Spring Hill city (pt.)	2,291	3,425	3,661	1,370	236	37.4	6.4
Westwood city	1,533	1,489	1,483	(50)	(6)	(3.4)	(0.4)
Westwood Hills city	378	366	365	(13)	(1)	(3.6)	(0.3)
Bal. of Johnson County	15,042	14,946	15,176	134	230	0.9	1.5
Aubry township	5,440	5,376	5,444	4	68	0.1	1.2
Gardner township	2,143	2,098	2,128	(15)	30	(0.7)	1.4
Lexington township	1,315	1,415	1,456	141	41	9.7	2.8
McCamish township	878	943	970	92	27	9.5	2.8
Olathe township	1,187	1,108	1,125	(62)	17	(5.5)	1.5
Oxford township	2,020	1,900	1,916	(104)	16	(5.4)	0.8
Spring Hill township	2,059	2,106	2,137	78	31	3.6	1.5
Kearny County	4,531	4,532	4,516	(15)	(16)	(0.3)	(0.4)
Deerfield city	884	883	892	8	9	0.9	1.0
Lakin city	2,316	2,311	2,292	(24)	(19)	(1.0)	(0.8)
Bal. of Kearny County	1,331	1,338	1,332	1	(6)	0.1	(0.5)
Bal. of Deerfield township	220	221	220		(1)		(0.5)
East Hibbard township	131	132	131		(1)		(0.8)
Hartland township	128	128	127	(1)	(1)	(0.8)	(0.8)
Kendall township	157	157	156	(1)	(1)	(0.6)	(0.6)
Bal. of Lakin township	271	272	271		(1)		(0.4)
Southside township	359	363	363	4		1.1	
West Hibbard township	65	65	64	(1)	(1)	(1.6)	(1.6)
Kingman County	8,673	8,339	8,165	(508)	(174)	(6.2)	(2.1)
Cunningham city	514	488	477	(37)	(11)	(7.8)	(2.3)
Kingman city	3,387	3,255	3,183	(204)	(72)	(6.4)	(2.3)
Nashville city	111	108	106	(5)	(2)	(4.7)	(1.9)
Norwich city	551	536	522	(29)	(14)	(5.6)	(2.7)
Penalosa city	27	26	26	(1)		(3.8)	
Spivey city	80	79	77	(3)	(2)	(3.9)	(2.6)
Zenda city	123	120	118	(5)	(2)	(4.2)	(1.7)
Bal. of Kingman County	3,880	3,727	3,656	(224)	(71)	(6.1)	(1.9)
Allen township	109	106	104	(5)	(2)	(4.8)	(1.9)
Belmont township	92	85	84	(8)	(1)	(9.5)	(1.2)
Bal. of Bennett township	154	150	147	(7)	(3)	(4.8)	(2.0)
Canton township	118	115	112	(6)	(3)	(5.4)	(2.7)
Bal. of Chikaskia township	60	59	58	(2)	(1)	(3.4)	(1.7)
Dale township	203	195	191	(12)	(4)	(6.3)	(2.1)
Bal. of Dresden township	126	122	120	(6)	(2)	(5.0)	(1.7)
Eagle township	154	149	146	(8)	(3)	(5.5)	(2.1)
Bal. of Eureka township	96	94	92	(4)	(2)	(4.3)	(2.1) $(2.2)$
Evan township	516	495	486	(30)	(9)	(6.2)	(2.2) $(1.9)$
Galesburg township	254	244	240	(14)	(4)	(5.8)	(1.7)
Hoosier township	162	157	154	(8)	(3)	(5.8)	(1.7)
Kingman township	124	120	134				
	67	120 66		(6)	(2)	(5.1)	(1.7)
Bal. of Liberty township			65	(2)	(1)	(3.1)	(1.5)
Ninnescah township Peters township	313 201	303 198	297 194	(16) (7)	(6) (4)	(5.4) (3.6)	(2.0) (2.1)

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Kingman County (cont'd)			_				
Richland township	100	97	95	(5)	(2)	(5.3)	(2.1)
Bal. of Rochester township	87	85	83	(4)	(2)	(4.8)	(2.4)
Bal. of Rural township	104	102	100	(4)	(2)	(4.0)	(2.0)
Union township	88	86	84	(4)	(2)	(4.8)	(2.4)
Valley township	102	99	97	(5)	(2)	(5.2)	(2.1)
Vinita township	249	240	236	(13)	(4)	(5.5)	(1.7)
White township	401	360	353	(48)	(7)	(13.6)	(2.0)
Kiowa County	3,278	3,079	2,984	(294)	(95)	(9.9)	(3.2)
Greensburg city	1,574	1,449	1,398	(176)	(51)	(12.6)	(3.6)
Haviland city	612	588	574	(38)	(14)	(6.6)	(2.4)
Mullinville city	279	266	258	(21)	(8)	(8.1)	(3.1)
Bal. of Kiowa County	813	776	754	(59)	(22)	(7.8)	(2.9)
Labette County	22,835	22,154	22,169	(666)	15	(3.0)	0.1
Altamont city	1,092	1,067	1,065	(27)	(2)	(2.5)	(0.2)
Bartlett city	124	123	124		1		0.8
Chetopa city	1,281	1,231	1,231	(50)		(4.1)	
Edna city	423	420	422	(1)	2	(0.2)	0.5
Labette city	68	68	68				
Mound Valley city	418	412	414	(4)	2	(1.0)	0.5
Oswego city	2,046	1,993	1,996	(50)	3	(2.5)	0.2
Parsons city	11,514	11,234	11,212	(302)	(22)	(2.7)	(0.2)
Bal. of Labette County	5,869	5,606	5,637	(232)	31	(4.1)	0.5
Canada township	217	207	208	(9)	1	(4.3)	0.5
Bal. of Elm Grove township	375	357	359	(16)	2	(4.5)	0.6
Fairview township	239	229	230	(9)	1	(3.9)	0.4
Bal. of Hackberry township	279	267	268	(11)	1	(4.1)	0.4
Howard township	357	341	343	(14)	2	(4.1)	0.6
Bal. of Labette township	373	358	360	(13)	2	(3.6)	0.6
Bal. of Liberty township	327	312	314	(13)	2	(4.1)	0.6
Montana township	179	170	171	(8)	1	(4.7)	0.6
Bal. of Mound Valley township	388	370	372	(16)	2	(4.3)	0.5
Bal. of Mount Pleasant township	259	247	248	(11)	1	(4.4)	0.4
Neosho township	222	212	213	(9)	1	(4.2)	0.5
North township	612	586	590	(22)	4	(3.7)	0.7
Osage township	703	672	675	(28)	3	(4.1)	0.4
Oswego township	310	296	298	(12)	2	(4.0)	0.7
Richland township	303	289	291	(12)	2	(4.1)	0.7
Walton township	726	693	697	(29)	4	(4.2)	0.6
Lane County	2,155	1,933	1,894	(261)	(39)	(13.8)	(2.1)
Dighton city	1,261	1,129	1,106	(155)	(23)	(14.0)	(2.1)
Bal. of Lane County	894	804	788	(106)	(16)	(13.5)	(2.0)
Alamota township	108	97	95	(13)	(2)	(13.7)	(2.1)
Cheyenne township	336	301	296	(40)	(5)	(13.5)	(1.7)
Bal. of Dighton township	312	281	275	(37)	(6)	(13.5)	(2.2)
White Rock township	26	24	23	(3)	(1)	(13.0)	(4.3)
Wilson township	112	101	99	(13)	(2)	(13.1)	(2.0)
Leavenworth County	68,691	72,443	73,113	4,422	670	6.0	0.9
Basehor city	2,238	3,031	3,287	1,049	256	31.9	7.8

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Leavenworth County (cont'd)	4/1/2000	7/1/2004	7/1/2003	2000-2003	2004-2003	2000-2003	2004-2003
Bonner Springs city (pt.)	5	6	6	1		16.7	
De Soto city (pt.)	0	1	1	1		100.0	
Easton city	362	361	357	(5)	(4)	(1.4)	(1.1)
Lansing city	9,199	10,120	10,214	1,015	94	9.9	0.9
Leavenworth city	35,420	35,285	35,213	(207)	(72)	(0.6)	(0.2)
Linwood city	374	382	382	8		2.1	(0.2)
Tonganoxie city	2,728	3,599	3,774	1,046	175	27.7	4.6
Bal. of Leavenworth County	18,370	19,658	19,879	1,509	221	7.6	1.1
Alexandria township	859	984	1,007	148	23	14.7	2.3
Delaware township	1,361	1,374	1,387	26	13	1.9	0.9
Bal. of Easton township	883	994	1,014	131	20	12.9	2.0
Bal. of Fairmount township	4,028	4,182	4,206	178	24	4.2	0.6
High Prairie township	1,768	1,909	1,934	166	25	8.6	1.3
Kickapoo township	1,760	1,909	1,923	163	23	8.5	1.2
Reno township	1,143	1,263	1,923	141	23	11.0	1.6
Bal. of Sherman township	1,993	2,134	2,155	162	21	7.5	1.0
Bal. of Stranger township	2,245	2,134	2,133	192	26	7.9	1.0
				202	25 25	8.0	1.1
Bal. of Tonganoxie township	2,330	2,507	2,532	202	23	8.0	1.0
Lincoln County	3,578	3,407	3,411	(167)	4	(4.9)	0.1
Barnard city	123	119	119	(4)		(3.4)	
Beverly city	199	192	193	(6)	1	(3.1)	0.5
Lincoln Center city	1,349	1,267	1,263	(86)	(4)	(6.8)	(0.3)
Sylvan Grove city	324	300	301	(23)	1	(7.6)	0.3
Bal. of Lincoln County	1,583	1,529	1,535	(48)	6	(3.1)	0.4
Linn County	9,570	9,748	9,914	344	166	3.5	1.7
Blue Mound city	277	283	291	14	8	4.8	2.7
La Cygne city	1,115	1,120	1,146	31	26	2.7	2.3
Linn Valley city	562	578	581	19	3	3.3	0.5
Mound City city	821	813	820	(1)	7	(0.1)	0.9
Parker city	281	284	306	25	22	8.2	7.2
Pleasanton city	1,387	1,366	1,368	(19)	2	(1.4)	0.1
Prescott city	280	281	283	3	2	1.1	0.7
Bal. of Linn County	4,847	5,023	5,119	272	96	5.3	1.9
Bal. of Blue Mound township	223	237	245	22	8	9.0	3.3
Centerville township	389	409	421	32	12	7.6	2.9
Bal. of Liberty township	627	649	661	34	12	5.1	1.8
Bal. of Lincoln township	581	600	610	29	10	4.8	1.6
Bal. of Mound City township	600	619	627	27	8	4.3	1.3
Paris township	494	510	519	25	9	4.8	1.3
Bal. of Potosi township	693	711	720	23 27	9	3.8	1.7
Bal. of Scott township	634	651	662	28	11	3.8 4.2	1.3
Bal. of Sheridan township	280	293	300	20	7	6.7	2.3
Stanton township Valley township	169 157	178 166	183 171	14 14	5 5	7.7 8.2	2.7 2.9
Logan County	3,046	2,817	2,794	(252)	(23)	(9.0)	(0.8)
Oakley city (pt.)	2,118	1,947	1,932	(186)	(15)	(9.6)	(0.8)
Russell Springs city	32	30	30	(2)		(6.7)	
Winona city	228	208	205	(23)	(3)	(11.2)	(1.5)

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Logan County (cont'd)							
Bal. of Logan County	668	632	627	(41)	(5)	(6.5)	(0.8)
Augustine township	26	25	24	(2)	(1)	(8.3)	(4.2)
Elkader township	15	14	14	(1)		(7.1)	
Lees township	17	16	16	(1)		(6.3)	
Logansport township	14	14	13	(1)	(1)	(7.7)	(7.7)
McAllaster township	29	27	27	(2)		(7.4)	
Monument township	144	136	135	(9)	(1)	(6.7)	(0.7)
Bal. of Oakley township	225	212	212	(13)		(6.1)	
Paxton township	24	23	23	(1)		(4.3)	
Bal. of Russell Springs township	39	37	37	(2)		(5.4)	
Western township	44	42	41	(3)	(1)	(7.3)	(2.4)
Bal. of Winona township	91	86	85	(6)	(1)	(7.1)	(1.2)
Lyon County	35,935	35,840	35,609	(326)	(231)	(0.9)	(0.6)
Admire city	177	181	178	1	(3)	0.6	(1.7)
Allen city	211	219	216	5	(3)	2.3	(1.4)
Americus city	938	943	932	(6)	(11)	(0.6)	(1.2)
Bushong city	55	52	52	(3)		(5.8)	
Emporia city	26,760	26,735	26,456	(304)	(279)	(1.1)	(1.1)
Hartford city	500	511	505	5	(6)	1.0	(1.2)
Neosho Rapids city	274	281	278	4	(3)	1.4	(1.1)
Olpe city	504	515	509	5	(6)	1.0	(1.2)
Reading city	247	252	249	2	(3)	0.8	(1.2)
Bal. of Lyon County	6,269	6,151	6,234	(35)	83	(0.6)	1.3
Bal. of Agnes City township	202	200	210	8	10	3.8	4.8
Bal. of Americus township	584	573	582	(2)	9	(0.3)	1.5
Bal. of Center township	697	688	702	5	14	0.7	2.0
Bal. of Elmendaro township	449	444	455	6	11	1.3	2.4
Emporia township	1,167	1,110	1,114	(53)	4	(4.8)	0.4
Fremont township	842	831	837	(5)	6	(0.6)	0.7
Bal. of Ivy township	127	125	129	2	4	1.6	3.1
Bal. of Jackson township	721	714	723	2	9	0.3	1.2
Pike township	899	893	895	(4)	2	(0.4)	0.2
Bal. of Reading township	300	296	304	4	8	1.3	2.6
Waterloo township	281	277	283	2	6	0.7	2.1
McPherson County	29,554	29,413	29,523	(31)	110	(0.1)	0.4
Canton city	829	817	812	(17)	(5)	(2.1)	(0.6)
Galva city	701	747	773	72	26	9.3	3.4
Inman city	1,142	1,192	1,194	52	2	4.4	0.2
Lindsborg city	3,321	3,305	3,305	(16)		(0.5)	
McPherson city	13,770	13,681	13,695	(75)	14	(0.5)	0.1
Marquette city	542	577	585	43	8	7.4	1.4
Moundridge city	1,593	1,644	1,643	50	(1)	3.0	(0.1)
Windom city	137	136	136	(1)		(0.7)	
Bal. of McPherson County	7,519	7,314	7,380	(139)	66	(1.9)	0.9
Battle Hill township	91	95	97	6	2	6.2	2.1
Bonaville township	66	72	75	9	3	12.0	4.0
Bal. of Canton township	261	262	265	4	3	1.5	1.1
Bal. of Castle township	88	93	95	7	2	7.4	2.1
Delmore township	138	142	144	6	2	4.2	1.4

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
IcPherson County (cont'd)							
Bal. of Empire township	477	474	477		3		0.6
Groveland township	234	236	239	5	3	2.1	1.3
Gypsum Creek township	215	215	217	2	2	0.9	0.9
Harper township	137	140	143	6	3	4.2	2.1
Hayes township	287	287	290	3	3	1.0	1.0
Jackson township	198	200	202	4	2	2.0	1.0
King City township	544	540	543	(1)	3	(0.2)	0.6
Little Valley township	475	472	475		3		0.6
Lone Tree township	486	482	485	(1)	3	(0.2)	0.6
McPherson township	618	599	601	(17)	2	(2.8)	0.3
Bal. of Marquette township	234	185	187	(47)	2	(25.1)	1.1
Meridian township	341	340	343	2	3	0.6	0.9
Bal. of Mound township	511	438	441	(70)	3	(15.9)	0.7
New Gottland township	354	354	357	3	3	0.8	0.8
Smoky Hill township	297	298	300	3	2	1.0	0.7
South Sharps Creek township	112	117	119	7	2	5.9	1.7
Spring Valley township	373	372	375	2	3	0.5	0.8
Bal. of Superior township	498	415	418	(80)	3	(19.1)	0.7
Turkey Creek township	294	294	297	3	3	1.0	1.0
Union township	190	192	195	5	3	2.6	1.5
Iarion County	13,361	13,014	12,952	(409)	(62)	(3.2)	(0.5)
Burns city	268	271	275	7	4	2.5	1.5
Durham city	114	111	110	(4)	(1)	(3.6)	(0.9)
Florence city	671	655	650	(21)	(5)	(3.2)	(0.8)
Goessel city	565	548	547	(18)	(1)	(3.3)	(0.2)
Hillsboro city	2,854	2,751	2,731	(123)	(20)	(4.5)	(0.7)
Lehigh city	215	210	208	(7)	(2)	(3.4)	(1.0)
Lincolnville city	225	220	218	(7)	(2)	(3.2)	(0.9)
Lost Springs city	71	69	68	(3)	(1)	(4.4)	(1.5)
Marion city	2,110	2,011	2,028	(82)	17	(4.0)	0.8
Peabody city	1,384	1,322	1,302	(82)	(20)	(6.3)	(1.5)
Ramona city	94	92	91	(3)	(1)	(3.3)	(1.1)
Tampa city	144	144	142	(2)	(2)	(1.4)	(1.4)
Bal. of Marion County	4,646	4,610	4,582	(64)	(28)	(1.4)	(0.6)
Bal. of Blaine township	68	70	70	2		2.9	
Bal. of Catlin township	171	170	169	(2)	(1)	(1.2)	(0.6)
Centre township	535	522	520	(15)	(2)	(2.9)	(0.4)
Clark township	149	148	147	(2)	(1)	(1.4)	(0.7)
Bal. of Clear Creek township	367	363	361	(6)	(2)	(1.7)	(0.6)
Bal. of Colfax township	124	125	124		(1)		(0.8)
Doyle township	75	77	78	3	1	3.8	1.3
Bal. of Durham Park township	116	117	116		(1)		(0.9)
East Branch township	188	186	185	(3)	(1)	(1.6)	(0.5)
Fairplay township	121	124	123	2	(1)	1.6	(0.8)
Gale township	220	217	215	(5)	(2)	(2.3)	(0.8) $(0.9)$
Grant township	128	132	132	(3)	(2)	3.0	(0.9)
Bal. of Lehigh township	155	152	154				(0.6)
	327	321		(1)	(1)	(0.6)	
Liberty township			319	(8)	(2)	(2.5)	(0.6)
Logan township Bal. of Lost Springs township	127 130	128 130	127 129	(1)	(1) (1)	(0.8)	(0.8) $(0.8)$

Kansas Certified Population							
Certified to the Secretary of State b	y Division of	the Budget	on July 1, 20	006			
	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Marion County (cont'd)							
Menno township	317	312	309	(8)	(3)	(2.6)	(1.0)
Bal. of Milton township	80	81	81	1		1.2	
Moore township	65	67	67	2		3.0	
Bal. of Peabody township	169	168	167	(2)	(1)	(1.2)	(0.6)
Risley township	241	237	235	(6)	(2)	(2.6)	(0.9)
Summit township	82	84	84	2		2.4	
Bal. of West Branch township	459	447	443	(16)	(4)	(3.6)	(0.9)
Wilson township	232	229	227	(5)	(2)	(2.2)	(0.9)
Marshall County	10,965	10,416	10,405	(560)	(11)	(5.4)	(0.1)
Axtell city	445	433	433	(12)		(2.8)	
Beattie city	277	267	267	(10)		(3.7)	
Blue Rapids city	1,088	1,048	1,048	(40)		(3.8)	
Frankfort city	855	799	795	(60)	(4)	(7.5)	(0.5)
Marysville city	3,271	3,158	3,151	(120)	(7)	(3.8)	(0.2)
Oketo city	87	84	84	(3)		(3.6)	
Summerfield city	211	204	204	(7)		(3.4)	
Vermillion city	107	99	98	(9)	(1)	(9.2)	(1.0)
Waterville city	681	629	628	(53)	(1)	(8.4)	(0.2)
Bal. of Marshall County	3,943	3,695	3,697	(246)	2	(6.7)	0.1
Balderson township	106	102	102	(4)		(3.9)	
Bigelow township	66	63	63	(3)		(4.8)	
Blue Rapids township	78	75	75	(3)		(4.0)	
Bal. of Blue Rapids City township	113	109	109	(4)		(3.7)	
Center township	151	146	146	(5)		(3.4)	
Clear Fork township	54	52	52	(2)		(3.8)	
Cleveland township	91	88	88	(3)		(3.4)	
Cottage Hill township	143	137	137	(6)		(4.4)	
Elm Creek township	178	171	171	(7)		(4.1)	
Franklin township	337	325	327	(10)	2	(3.1)	0.6
Bal. of Guittard township	177	171	171	(6)		(3.5)	
Herkimer township	234	224	224	(10)		(4.5)	
Lincoln township	130	125	125	(5)		(4.0)	
Logan township	335	322	322	(13)		(4.0)	
Marysville township	383	273	273	(110)		(40.3)	
Bal. of Murray township	195	184	184	(11)		(6.0)	
Bal. of Noble township	110	106	106	(4)		(3.8)	
Bal. of Oketo township	164	157	157	(7)		(4.5)	
Bal. of Richland township	130	125	125	(5)		(4.0)	
Rock township	123	119	119	(4)		(3.4)	
Bal. of St. Bridget township	97	93	93	(4)		(4.3)	
Bal. of Vermillion township	157	151	151	(6)		(4.0)	
Walnut township	144	139	139	(5)		(3.6)	
Bal. of Waterville township	116	112	112	(4)		(3.6)	
Wells township	131	126	126	(5)		(4.0)	
Meade County	4,631	4,587	4,625	(6)	38	(0.1)	0.8
Fowler city	567	571	578	11	7	1.9	1.2
Meade city	1,672	1,623	1,629	(43)	6	(2.6)	0.4
Plains city	1,163	1,153	1,163		10		0.9
Bal. of Meade County	1,229	1,240	1,255	26	15	2.1	1.2
Bal. of Meade County	1,229	1,240	1,255	26	15	2.1	1.2

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Meade County (cont'd)							
Cimarron township	93	94	95	2	1	2.1	1.1
Crooked Creek township	92	93	94	2	1	2.1	1.1
Bal. of Fowler township	182	183	185	3	2	1.6	1.1
Logan township	100	101	102	2	1	2.0	1.0
Bal. of Meade Center township	296	299	303	7	4	2.3	1.3
Mertilla township	221	224	226	5	2	2.2	0.9
Odee township	50	53	54	4	1	7.4	1.9
Sand Creek township	38	35	36	(2)	1	(5.6)	2.8
Bal. of West Plains township	157	158	160	3	2	1.9	1.3
Miami County	28,351	29,811	30,496	2,145	685	7.0	2.2
Fontana city	149	163	169	20	6	11.8	3.6
Louisburg city	2,576	3,011	3,313	737	302	22.2	9.1
Osawatomie city	4,645	4,616	4,616	(29)		(0.6)	
Paola city	5,011	5,179	5,292	281	113	5.3	2.1
Spring Hill city (pt.)	436	747	833	397	86	47.7	10.3
Bal. of Miami County	15,534	16,095	16,273	739	178	4.5	1.1
Marysville township	2,575	2,629	2,650	75	21	2.8	0.8
Miami township	506	548	560	54	12	9.6	2.1
Middle Creek township	1,649	1,713	1,732	83	19	4.8	1.1
Mound township	705	738	746	41	8	5.5	1.1
Bal. of Osage township	500	524	533	33	9	6.2	1.7
Osawatomie township	794	824	833	39	9	4.7	1.1
Paola township	1,100	1,112	1,123	23	11	2.0	1.0
Richland township	1,758	1,834	1,857	99	23	5.3	1.2
Stanton township	925	972	983	58	11	5.9	1.1
Sugar Creek township	449	481	491	42	10	8.6	2.0
Ten Mile township	1,259	1,310	1,325	66	15	5.0	1.1
Valley township	1,478	1,529	1,541	63	12	4.1	0.8
Wea township	1,836	1,881	1,899	63	18	3.3	0.9
Mitchell County	6,932	6,519	6,420	(512)	(99)	(8.0)	(1.5)
Beloit city	4,019	3,758	3,703	(316)	(55)	(8.5)	(1.5)
Cawker City city	521	484	474	(47)	(10)	(9.9)	(2.1)
Glen Elder city	439	408	399	(40)	(9)	(10.0)	(2.3)
Hunter city	77	73	72	(5)	(1)	(6.9)	(1.4)
Scottsville city	21	20	20	(1)		(5.0)	
Simpson city (pt.)	114	105	103	(11)	(2)	(10.7)	(1.9)
Tipton city	243	233	230	(13)	(3)	(5.7)	(1.3)
Bal. of Mitchell County	1,498	1,438	1,419	(79)	(19)	(5.6)	(1.3)
Asherville township	122	117	115	(7)	(2)	(6.1)	(1.7)
Beloit township	222	212	209	(13)	(3)	(6.2)	(1.4)
Bloomfield township	83	80	79	(4)	(1)	(5.1)	(1.3)
Blue Hill township	36	35	34	(2)	(1)	(5.9)	(2.9)
Carr Creek township	31	30	30	(1)		(3.3)	
Bal. of Cawker township	57	55	54	(3)	(1)	(5.6)	(1.9)
Center township	49	47	46	(3)	(1)	(6.5)	(2.2)
Bal. of Custer township	55	53	52	(3)	(1)	(5.8)	(2.2) $(1.9)$
Eureka township	41	39	39	(2)	(1)	(5.1)	(1.9)
Bal. of Glen Elder township	114	109	108	(6)	(1)	(5.1)	(0.9)
Hayes township	21	20	20	(1)	(1)	(5.0)	(0.9)

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Mitchell County (cont'd)	4/1/2000	7/1/2004	1112002	2000 2002	2004 2002	2000 2002	2004 2002
Bal. of Logan township	54	52	51	(3)	(1)	(5.9)	(2.0)
Bal. of Lulu township	69	67	66	(3)	(1)	(4.5)	(1.5)
Bal. of Pittsburg township	103	99	97	(6)	(2)	(6.2)	(2.1)
Plum Creek township	119	114	113	(6)	(1)	(5.3)	(0.9)
Round Springs township	27	26	26	(1)		(3.8)	
Salt Creek township	39	37	37	(2)		(5.4)	
Solomon Rapids township	80	77	76	(4)	(1)	(5.3)	(1.3)
Turkey Creek township	134	129	127	(7)	(2)	(5.5)	(1.6)
Walnut Creek township	42	40	40	(2)		(5.0)	
Montgomery County	36,252	34,850	34,570	(1,682)	(280)	(4.9)	(0.8)
Caney city	2,092	1,992	1,975	(117)	(17)	(5.9)	(0.9)
Cherryvale city	2,386	2,291	2,266	(120)	(25)	(5.3)	(1.1)
Coffeyville city	11,021	10,467	10,359	(662)	(108)	(6.4)	(1.0)
Dearing city	415	454	452	37	(2)	8.2	(0.4)
Elk City city	305	300	299	(6)	(1)	(2.0)	(0.3)
Havana city	86	85	85	(1)		(1.2)	
Independence city	9,846	9,377	9,284	(562)	(93)	(6.1)	(1.0)
Liberty city	95	94	94	(1)		(1.1)	
Tyro city	226	223	222	(4)	(1)	(1.8)	(0.5)
Bal. of Montgomery County	9,780	9,567	9,534	(246)	(33)	(2.6)	(0.3)
Bal. of Caney township	1,017	1,004	1,000	(17)	(4)	(1.7)	(0.4)
Cherokee township	541	532	531	(10)	(1)	(1.7)	(0.4)
Cherry township	517	509	507	(10)	(2)	(2.0)	(0.2)
Drum Creek township	537	530	528	(9)	(2)	(1.7)	(0.4)
Bal. of Fawn Creek township	1,536	1,460	1,456	(80)	(4)	(5.5)	(0.3)
Independence township	2,342	2,306	2,297	(45)	(9)	(2.0)	(0.3)
Bal. of Liberty township	378	372	371	(7)	(1)	(1.9)	(0.4) $(0.3)$
Bal. of Louisburg township	324	320	318	(6)	(2)	(1.9)	(0.5)
Parker township	1,212	1,173	1,169	(43)		(3.7)	(0.3)
		300			(4)		
Rutland township	302		299	(3)	(1)	(1.0)	(0.3)
Sycamore township	835	824	822	(13)	(2)	(1.6)	(0.2)
West Cherry township	239	237	236	(3)	(1)	(1.3)	(0.4)
Morris County	6,104	5,986	6,049	(55)	63	(0.9)	1.0
Council Grove city	2,321	2,256	2,275	(46)	19	(2.0)	0.8
Dunlap city	81	81	82	1	1	1.2	1.2
Dwight city	330	328	332	2	4	0.6	1.2
Herington city (pt.)	0	1	1	1		100.0	
Latimer city	21	21	21				
Parkerville city	73	72	73		1		1.4
White City city	518	493	499	(19)	6	(3.8)	1.2
Wilsey city	191	189	191		2		1.0
Bal. of Morris County	2,569	2,545	2,575	6	30	0.2	1.2
Highland township	94	93	94		1		1.1
Overland township	60	59	60		1		1.7
Bal. of Township No. 1	470	467	473	3	6	0.6	1.3
Township No. 2	688	683	689	1	6	0.1	0.9
Bal. of Township No. 3	173	171	173		2		1.2
Bal. of Township No. 4	179	177	179		2		1.1
Bal. of Township No. 5	168	166	168		2		1.2

						0.4 ===	
	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-200
Morris County (cont'd)							
Bal. of Township No. 6	90	89	91	1	2	1.1	2.2
Township No. 7	258	255	258		3		1.2
Township No. 8	212	209	212		3		1.4
Bal. of Township No. 9	177	176	178	1	2	0.6	1.1
Morton County	3,496	3,229	3,196	(300)	(33)	(9.4)	(1.0)
Elkhart city	2,233	2,064	2,036	(197)	(28)	(9.7)	(1.4)
Richfield city	48	44	44	(4)	`	(9.1)	
Rolla city	482	447	445	(37)	(2)	(8.3)	(0.4)
Bal. of Morton County	733	674	671	(62)	(3)	(9.2)	(0.4)
Cimarron township	67	62	62	(5)		(8.1)	
Jones township	17	16	16	(1)		(6.3)	
Bal. of Richfield township	170	158	158	(12)		(7.6)	
Bal. of Rolla township	168	156	155	(13)	(1)	(8.4)	(0.6)
Bal. of Taloga township	204	183	181	(23)	(2)	(12.7)	(1.1)
Westola township	107	99	99	(8)		(8.1)	
Nemaha County	10,717	10,439	10,443	(274)	4	(2.6)	
Bern city	204	200	200	(4)		(2.0)	
Centralia city	534	507	504	(30)	(3)	(6.0)	(0.6)
Corning city	170	167	167	(3)		(1.8)	
Goff city	181	176	175	(6)	(1)	(3.4)	(0.6)
Oneida city	70	68	69	(1)	1	(1.4)	1.4
Sabetha city (pt.)	2,582	2,504	2,498	(84)	(6)	(3.4)	(0.2)
Seneca city	2,122	2,068	2,068	(54)		(2.6)	
Wetmore city	362	355	356	(6)	1	(1.7)	0.3
Bal. of Nemaha County	4,492	4,394	4,406	(86)	12	(2.0)	0.3
Adams township	213	209	209	(4)		(1.9)	
Berwick township	391	384	385	(6)	1	(1.6)	0.3
Capioma township	161	159	159	(2)		(1.3)	
Center township	200	196	196	(4)		(2.0)	
Clear Creek township	123	120	120	(3)		(2.5)	
Bal. of Gilman township	153	150	150	(3)		(2.0)	
Granada township	122	119	120	(2)	1	(1.7)	0.8
Bal. of Harrison township	218	209	210	(8)	1	(3.8)	0.5
Bal. of Home township	122	119	120	(2)	1	(1.7)	0.8
Bal. of Illinois township	194	190	191	(3)	1	(1.7)	0.5
Marion township	457	447	448	(9)	1	(2.0)	0.3
Mitchell township	305	299	300	(5)	1	(1.7)	0.2
Nemaha township	184	180	180			(2.2)	
Neuchatel township	117	114	115	(4)	 1		0.9
Red Vermillion township	137	134	135	(2) (2)	1	(1.7) (1.5)	0.7
•							
Reilly township	153	150 526	150 527	(3)	 1	(2.0)	0.2
Richmond township	538	526	527	(11)	1	(2.1)	0.2
Rock Creek township	302	296	297	(5)	1	(1.7)	0.3
Bal. of Washington township Bal. of Wetmore township	261 141	255 138	256 138	(5) (3)	1	(2.0) (2.2)	0.4
•					(4)		
Neosho County	16,997	16,533	16,529	(468)	(4)	(2.8)	(0.2)
Chanute city Earlton city	9,411 80	9,030 80	9,006 80	(405)	(24)	(4.5)	(0.3)

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Neosho County (cont'd)							
Erie city	1,211	1,172	1,167	(44)	(5)	(3.8)	(0.4)
Galesburg city	150	149	150		1		0.7
St. Paul city	646	652	657	11	5	1.7	0.8
Stark city	106	105	105	(1)		(1.0)	
Thayer city	500	499	500		1		0.2
Bal. of Neosho County	4,893	4,846	4,864	(29)	18	(0.6)	0.4
Big Creek township	456	453	455	(1)	2	(0.2)	0.4
Bal. of Canville township	491	487	490	(1)	3	(0.2)	0.6
Bal. of Centerville township	417	415	417		2		0.5
Bal. of Chetopa township	394	391	392	(2)	1	(0.5)	0.3
Bal. of Erie township	313	311	313		2		0.6
Bal. of Grant township	278	276	277	(1)	1	(0.4)	0.4
Bal. of Ladore township	398	398	399	1	1	0.3	0.3
Lincoln township	345	343	344	(1)	1	(0.3)	0.3
Bal. of Mission township	281	279	281		2		0.7
Shiloh township	297	296	297		1		0.3
Tioga township	885	862	863	(22)	1	(2.5)	0.1
Walnut Grove township	338	335	336	(2)	1	(0.6)	0.3
Ness County	3,454	3,067	3,009	(445)	(58)	(14.8)	(1.9)
Bazine city	311	269	263	(48)	(6)	(18.3)	(2.3)
Brownell city	48	43	42	(6)	(1)	(14.3)	(2.4)
Ness City city	1,534	1,354	1,326	(208)	(28)	(15.7)	(2.1)
Ransom city	338	298	292	(46)	(6)	(15.8)	(2.1)
Utica city	223	201	198	(25)	(3)	(12.6)	(1.5)
Bal. of Ness County	1,000	902	888	(112)	(14)	(12.6)	(1.6)
Bal. of Bazine township	130	117	116	(14)	(1)	(12.1)	(0.9)
Bal. of Center township	75	68	67	(8)	(1)	(11.9)	(1.5)
Eden township	70	63	62	(8)	(1)	(12.9)	(1.6)
Bal. of Forrester township	67	61	60	(7)	(1)	(11.7)	(1.7)
Franklin township	132	119	117	(15)	(2)	(12.8)	(1.7)
Highpoint township	93	84	83	(10)	(1)	(12.0)	(1.2)
Johnson township	76	68	67	(9)	(1)	(13.4)	(1.5)
Bal. of Nevada township	141	127	124	(17)	(3)	(13.7)	(2.4)
Bal. of Ohio township	122	110	108	(14)	(2)	(13.0)	(1.9)
Bal. of Waring township	94	85	84	(10)	(1)	(11.9)	(1.2)
Norton County	5,953	5,733	5,664	(289)	(69)	(5.1)	(1.2)
Almena city	469	458	454	(15)	(4)	(3.3)	(0.9)
Clayton city (pt.)	65	64	63	(2)	(1)	(3.2)	(1.6)
Edmond city	47	46	45	(2)	(1)	(4.4)	(2.2)
Lenora city	306	290	285	(21)	(5)	(7.4)	(1.8)
Norton city	3,012	2,852	2,806	(206)	(46)	(7.3)	(1.6)
Bal. of Norton County	2,054	2,023	2,011	(43)	(12)	(2.1)	(0.6)
Bal. of AlmenaDist. 4 township	145	142	140	(5)	(2)	(3.6)	(1.4)
CenterDistrict 1 township	1,382	1,366	1,360	(22)	(6)	(1.6)	(0.4)
HarrisonDistrict 6 township	12	12	12				
Bal. of HighlandDist. 2 township	366	357	354	(12)	(3)	(3.4)	(0.8)
Bal. of SolomonDist. 3 township	149	146	145	(4)	(1)	(2.8)	(0.7)
Osage County	16,712	17,050	17,150	438	100	2.6	0.6
Burlingame city	1,017	1,015	1,015	(2)		(0.2)	

Appendix F (cont'd)							
Kansas Certified Population							
Certified to the Secretary of State	by Division of	the Budget	on July 1, 20	006			
	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Osage County (cont'd)	-		-				
Carbondale city	1,478	1,447	1,451	(27)	4	(1.9)	0.3
Lyndon city	1,038	1,041	1,041	3		0.3	
Melvern city	429	427	430	1	3	0.2	0.7
Olivet city	64	65	65	1		1.5	
Osage City city	3,034	2,985	2,987	(47)	2	(1.6)	0.1
Overbrook city	947	972	971	24	(1)	2.5	(0.1)
Quenemo city	468	459	455	(13)	(4)	(2.9)	(0.9)
Scranton city	724	715	712	(12)	(3)	(1.7)	(0.4)
Bal. of Osage County	7,513	7,924	8,023	510	99	6.4	1.2
Bal. of Agency township	150	165	168	18	3	10.7	1.8
Arvonia township	136	154	159	23	5	14.5	3.1
Barclay township	239	264	270	31	6	11.5	2.2
Bal. of Burlingame township	751	794	804	53	10	6.6	1.2
Dragoon township	214	230	234	20	4	8.5	1.7
Bal. of Elk township	776	811	820	44	9	5.4	1.1
Fairfax township	513	537	544	31	7	5.7	1.3
Grant township	297	314	319	22	5	6.9	1.6
Junction township	1,210	1,244	1,253	43	9	3.4	0.7
Lincoln township	134	148	152	18	4	11.8	2.6
Bal. of Melvern township	383	407	413	30	6	7.3	1.5
	383 199	224	230	31		13.5	2.6
Bal. of Olivet township			1,232	49	6 9	4.0	0.7
Bal. of Ridgeway township	1,183	1,223					
Bal. of Scranton township	549	571	576	27	5	4.7	0.9
Superior township	293	329	334	41	5	12.3	1.5
Bal. of Valley Brook township	486	509	515	29	6	5.6	1.2
Osborne County	4,452	4,101	4,050	(402)	(51)	(9.9)	(1.3)
Alton city	117	110	109	(8)	(1)	(7.3)	(0.9)
Downs city	1,038	953	938	(100)	(15)	(10.7)	(1.6)
Natoma city	367	332	329	(38)	(3)	(11.6)	(0.9)
Osborne city	1,607	1,461	1,440	(167)	(21)	(11.6)	(1.5)
Portis city	123	117	116	(7)	(1)	(6.0)	(0.9)
Bal. of Osborne County	1,200	1,128	1,118	(82)	(10)	(7.3)	(0.9)
Bal. of Bethany township	76	70	70	(6)		(8.6)	
Bloom township	81	76	76	(5)		(6.6)	
Corinth township	51	48	48	(3)		(6.3)	
Covert township	19	18	18	(1)		(5.6)	
Delhi township	37	35	34	(3)	(1)	(8.8)	(2.9)
Grant township	28	27	26	(2)	(1)	(7.7)	(3.8)
Hancock township	21	20	20	(1)		(5.0)	
Hawkeye township	36	34	33	(3)	(1)	(9.1)	(3.0)
Independence township	32	30	30	(2)		(6.7)	
Jackson township	42	39	39	(3)		(7.7)	
Kill Creek township	35	33	32	(3)	(1)	(9.4)	(3.1)
Lawrence township	37	35	35	(2)	(1)	(5.7)	(3.1)
Liberty township	31	29	29	(2)		(6.9)	
Mount Ayr township	41	38	38	(3)		(0.9)	
Bal. of Natoma township	35	38	38				
	35 129	33 121	33 121	(2)		(6.1)	
Penn township				(8)	(2)	(6.6)	(1.7)
Bal. of Ross township	128 59	121	119	(9)	(2)	(7.6)	(1.7)
Round Mound township	59	56	55	(4)	(1)	(7.3)	(1.8)

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Osborne County (cont'd)	4/1/2000	7/1/2004	7/1/2003	2000-2003	2004-2003	2000-2003	2004-2003
Bal. of Sumner township	89	84	84	(5)		(6.0)	
Tilden township	116	108	107	(9)	(1)	(8.4)	(0.9)
Valley township	37	35	34	(3)	(1)	(8.8)	(2.9)
Victor township	24	23	22	(2)	(1)	(9.1)	(4.5)
Winfield township	16	15	15	(1)		(6.7)	
Ottawa County	6,163	6,149	6,123	(40)	(26)	(0.7)	(0.4)
Bennington city	623	615	614	(9)	(1)	(1.5)	(0.2)
Culver city	164	166	165	1	(1)	0.6	(0.6)
Delphos city	469	454	449	(20)	(5)	(4.5)	(1.1)
Minneapolis city	2,046	2,029	2,015	(31)	(14)	(1.5)	(0.7)
Tescott city	339	335	331	(8)	(4)	(2.4)	(1.2)
Bal. of Ottawa County	2,522	2,550	2,549	27	(1)	1.1	
Bal. of Bennington township	493	497	493		(4)		(0.8)
Blaine township	111	113	113	2		1.8	
Buckeye township	101	102	102	1		1.0	
Center township	72	73	74	2	1	2.7	1.4
Chapman township	67	69	69	2		2.9	
Concord township	209	211	210	1	(1)	0.5	(0.5)
Bal. of Culver township	154	155	155	1		0.6	
Durham township	25	26	27	2	1	7.4	3.7
Fountain township	190	190	190				
Garfield township	95	96	97	2	1	2.1	1.0
Grant township	93	95	95	2		2.1	
Henry township	22	23	24	2	1	8.3	4.2
Lincoln township	143	144	144	1		0.7	
Logan township	82	83	83	1		1.2	
Bal. of Morton township	159	160	160	1		0.6	
Ottawa township	49	51	51	2		3.9	
Richland township	218	219	218		(1)	3.9	(0.5)
Bal. of Sheridan township	120	121	121	1	(1)	0.8	
Sherman township		68	69		1	2.9	1.4
Stanton township	67 52	54	54	2 2		3.7	1.4
Stanton township	32	34	34	2		3.7	
Pawnee County	7,233	6,750	6,739	(494)	(11)	(7.3)	(0.2)
Burdett city	256	241	241	(15)		(6.2)	
Garfield city	198	186	186	(12)		(6.5)	 (0.0)
Larned city	4,236	3,887	3,874	(362)	(13)	(9.3)	(0.3)
Rozel city	182	172	171	(11)	(1)	(6.4)	(0.6)
Bal. of Pawnee County	2,361	2,264	2,267	(94)	3	(4.1)	0.1
Ash Valley township	49	46	46	(3)		(6.5)	
Bal. of Browns Grove township	54	51	51	(3)		(5.9)	
Conkling township	26	24	25	(1)	1	(4.0)	4.0
Bal. of Garfield township	63	59	59	(4)		(6.8)	
Bal. of Grant township	52	47	47	(5)		(10.6)	
Keysville township	55	52	52	(3)		(5.8)	
Larned township	266	253	253	(13)		(5.1)	
Lincoln township	28	26	26	(2)		(7.7)	
Logan township	48	45	45	(3)		(6.7)	
Morton township	56	53	53	(3)		(5.7)	
Bal. of Orange township	71	68	68	(3)		(4.4)	

Kansas Certified Population							
Certified to the Secretary of State I	by Division of	the Budget	on July 1, 20	006			
	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Pawnee County (cont'd)			_				
Pawnee township	82	77	77	(5)		(6.5)	
Pleasant Grove township	230	218	218	(12)		(5.5)	
Pleasant Ridge township	56	53	53	(3)		(5.7)	
Pleasant Valley township	128	120	120	(8)		(6.7)	
River township	87	82	82	(5)		(6.1)	
Santa Fe township	788	782	783	(5)	1	(0.6)	0.1
Sawmill township	24	22	23	(1)	1	(4.3)	4.3
Shiley township	28	26	26	(2)		(7.7)	
Valley Center township	57	54	54	(3)		(5.6)	
Walnut township	113	106	106	(7)		(6.6)	
<b>Phillips County</b>	6,001	5,610	5,504	(497)	(106)	(9.0)	(1.9)
Agra city	306	285	278	(28)	(7)	(10.1)	(2.5)
Glade city	114	108	106	(8)	(2)	(7.5)	(1.9)
Kirwin city	229	218	214	(15)	(4)	(7.0)	(1.9)
Logan city	603	557	549	(54)	(8)	(9.8)	(1.5)
Long Island city	155	147	145	(10)	(2)	(6.9)	(1.4)
Phillipsburg city	2,668	2,485	2,432	(236)	(53)	(9.7)	(2.2)
Prairie View city	141	134	131	(10)	(3)	(7.6)	(2.3)
Speed city	44	42	41	(3)	(1)	(7.3)	(2.4)
Bal. of Phillips County	1,741	1,634	1,608	(133)	(26)	(8.3)	(1.6)
Arcade township	96	91	90	(6)	(1)	(6.7)	(1.1)
Beaver township	54	51	50	(4)	(1)	(8.0)	(2.0)
Bal. of Belmont township	69	65	64	(5)	(1)	(7.8)	(1.6)
Bow Creek township	44	42	41	(3)	(1)	(7.3)	(2.4)
Crystal township	59	56	55	(4)	(1)	(7.3)	(1.8)
Dayton township	53	50	50	(3)		(6.0)	
Deer Creek township	67	63	62	(5)	(1)	(8.1)	(1.6)
Freedom township	85	81	79	(6)	(2)	(7.6)	(2.5)
Glenwood township	42	40	39	(3)	(1)	(7.7)	(2.6)
Granite township	21	20	19	(2)	(1)	(10.5)	(5.3)
Greenwood township	45	43	42	(3)	(1)	(7.1)	(2.4)
Bal. of Kirwin township	78	74	73	(5)	(1)	(6.8)	(1.4)
Bal. of Logan township	63	57	56	(7)	(1)	(12.5)	(1.8)
Bal. of Long Island township	96	91	90	(6)	(1)	(6.7)	(1.1)
Mound township	129	122	120	(9)	(2)	(7.5)	(1.7)
Phillipsburg township	257	228	227	(30)	(1)	(13.2)	(0.4)
Plainview township	23	228	22	(1)	(1)	(4.5)	(0.4)
Bal. of Plum township	141	134	132	(9)	(2)	(6.8)	(1.5)
Bal. of Prairie View township	79	75	73	(6)	(2)	(8.2)	(2.7)
Rushville township	23	22	22			(4.5)	
		107		(1)	(2)		(1.0)
Bal. of Solomon township	112		105	(7)	(2)	(6.7)	(1.9)
Sumner township	43	41	40	(3)	(1)	(7.5)	(2.5)
Towanda township	26	25	24	(2)	(1)	(8.3)	(4.2)
Valley township Walnut township	22 14	21 13	20 13	(2) (1)	(1)	(10.0) (7.7)	(5.0)
Pottawatomie County	18,209	18,890	19,129	920	239	4.8	1.2
Belvue city	228	225	223	(5)	(2)	(2.2)	(0.9)
Emmett city	277	272	270	(7)	(2)	(2.2)	(0.9) $(0.7)$
Havensville city	146	147	147	1		0.7	

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
ottawatomie County (cont'd)	4/1/2000	7/1/2004	7/1/2003	2000-2003	2004-2003	2000-2003	2004-2003
Louisville city	209	211	211	2		0.9	
Manhattan city (pt.)	3	21	35	32	14	91.4	40.0
Olsburg city	192	186	191	(1)	5	(0.5)	2.6
Onaga city	704	687	683	(21)	(4)	(3.1)	(0.6)
St. George city	434	476	495	61	19	12.3	3.8
St. Marys city (pt.)	2,198	2,250	2,252	54	2	2.4	0.1
Wamego city	4,246	4,235	4,243	(3)	8	(0.1)	0.2
Westmoreland city	631	647	655	24	8	3.7	1.2
Wheaton city	92	93	93	1		1.1	
Bal. of Pottawatomie County	8,849	9,440	9,631	782	191	8.1	2.0
Bal. of Belvue township	176	199	206	30	7	14.6	3.4
Blue township	1,802	1,868	1,890	88	22	4.7	1.2
Bal. of Blue Valley township	147	171	179	32	8	17.9	4.5
Center township	104	119	124	20	5	16.1	4.0
Clear Creek township	113	127	131	18	4	13.7	3.1
Bal. of Emmett township	201	223	229	28	6	12.2	2.6
Bal. of Grant township	147	164	170	23	6	13.5	3.5
Green township	188	211	218	30	7	13.8	3.2
Lincoln township	124	141	146	22	5	15.1	3.4
Bal. of Lone Tree township	147	165	171	24	6	14.0	3.5
Bal. of Louisville township	526	556	566	40	10	7.1	1.8
Bal. of Mill Creek township	296	320	328	32	8	9.8	2.4
Bal. of Pottawatomie township	362	398	410	48	12	11.7	2.9
Bal. of Rock Creek township	159	172	178	19	6	10.7	3.4
St. Clere township	83	99	104	21	5	20.2	4.8
Bal. of St. George township	2,195	2,270	2,292	97	22	4.2	1.0
Bal. of St. Marys township	591	626	638	47	12	7.4	1.9
Shannon township	235	261	269	34	8	12.6	3.0
Sherman township	126	146	152	26	6	17.1	3.9
Spring Creek township	61	80	86	25	6	29.1	7.0
Union township	164	184	190	26	6	13.7	3.2
Vienna township	92	107	111	19	4	17.1	3.6
Bal. of Wamego township	810	833	843	33	10	3.9	1.2
ratt County	9,647	9,376	9,496	(151)	120	(1.6)	1.3
Byers city	50	49	50		1		2.0
Coats city	112	109	111	(1)	2	(0.9)	1.8
Cullison city	98	95	97	(1)	2	(1.0)	2.1
Iuka city	185	181	184	(1)	3	(0.5)	1.6
Pratt city	6,570	6,371	6,447	(123)	76	(1.9)	1.2
Preston city	164	160	162	(2)	2	(1.2)	1.2
Sawyer city	124	120	122	(2)	2	(1.6)	1.6
Bal. of Pratt County	2,344	2,291	2,323	(21)	32	(0.9)	1.4
Bal. of Township No. 6	401	392	398	(3)	6	(0.8)	1.5
Bal. of Township No. 7	181	177	180	(1)	3	(0.6)	1.7
Bal. of Township No. 8	131	127	129	(2)	2	(1.6)	1.6
Bal. of Township No. 9	219	213	216	(3)	3	(1.4)	1.4
Bal. of Township No. 10	79	77	78	(1)	1	(1.3)	1.3
Bal. of Township No. 11	372	363	368	(4)	5	(1.1)	1.4
Township No. 12	961	942	954	(7)	12	(0.7)	1.3

Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-200
2,966	2,770	2,672	(294)	(98)	(11.0)	(3.7)
						(3.8)
				` '		(3.7)
						(4.3)
				` '		(3.5)
						(3.9)
						(4.3)
						(3.6)
			` '			(2.6)
						(3.2)
						(3.2)
						(3.2)
						(5.1)
						(3.4)
59	56	54	(5)	(2)	(9.3)	(3.4) $(3.7)$
64,790	63,556	63,558	(1,232)	2	(1.9)	
						0.8
						0.1
						(0.3)
						(0.4)
						0.4
			, 			
			(15)			
						(0.1)
						0.3
						0.5
						0.1
						0.4
						0.4
						0.0
			_	1		0.4
						0.4
						0.7
						0.7
						1.5
						0.2
						0.2
						0.9
						1.1
						0.2
						0.2
						0.8
						0.7
						(0.1) 1.1
	2,966 1,279 149 159 1,379 83 51 363 84 237 34 136 43	2,966         2,770           1,279         1,182           149         141           159         1,44           1,379         1,303           83         79           51         49           363         341           84         79           237         224           34         32           136         128           43         41           289         274           59         56           64,790         63,556           128         125           459         440           1,358         1,334           1,175         1,173           40,787         40,974           72         72           1,194         1,169           259         265           99         99           615         600           2,539         2,483           297         296           436         434           36         88           15,336         14,004           222         222           162         163	2,966         2,770         2,672           1,279         1,182         1,139           149         141         136           159         144         138           1,379         1,303         1,259           83         79         76           51         49         47           363         341         329           84         79         77           237         224         217           34         32         31           136         128         124           43         41         39           289         274         265           59         56         54           64,790         63,556         63,558           128         125         126           459         440         440           1,358         1,334         1,335           1,175         1,173         1,170           40,787         40,974         40,961           72         72         72           1,194         1,169         1,164           259         265         266           99         99	2,966         2,770         2,672         (294)           1,279         1,182         1,139         (140)           149         141         136         (13)           159         144         138         (21)           1,379         1,303         1,259         (120)           83         79         76         (7)           51         49         47         (4)           363         341         329         (34)           84         79         77         (7)           237         224         217         (20)           34         32         31         (3)           136         128         124         (12)           43         41         39         (4)           289         274         265         (24)           59         56         54         (5)           64,790         63,556         63,558         (1,232)           128         125         126         (2)           459         440         440         (19)           1,358         1,334         1,335         (23)           1,175         1,173	2,966         2,770         2,672         (294)         (98)           1,279         1,182         1,139         (140)         (43)           149         141         136         (13)         (5)           159         144         138         (21)         (6)           1,379         1,303         1,259         (120)         (44)           83         79         76         (7)         (3)           51         49         47         (4)         (2)           363         341         329         (34)         (12)           84         79         77         (7)         (2)           237         224         217         (20)         (7)           34         32         31         (3)         (1)           136         128         124         (12)         (4)           43         41         39         (4)         (2)           289         274         265         (24)         (9)           59         56         54         (5)         (2)           64,790         63,556         63,558         (1,232)         2           128	2,966         2,770         2,672         (294)         (98)         (11.0)           1,279         1,182         1,139         (140)         (43)         (12.3)           149         141         136         (13)         (5)         (9.6)           159         144         138         (21)         (6)         (15.2)           1,379         1,303         1,259         (120)         (44)         (9.5)           83         79         76         (7)         (3)         (9.2)           51         49         47         (4)         (2)         (8.5)           363         341         329         (34)         (12)         (10.3)           84         79         77         (7)         (2)         (9.1)           237         224         217         (20)         (7)         (9.2)           34         32         31         (3)         (1)         (9.7)           136         128         124         (12)         (4)         (9.7)           133         41         39         (4)         (2)         (10.3)           289         274         265         (24)         (9)

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Reno County (cont'd)							
Ninnescah township	226	225	226		1		0.4
Bal. of Plevna township	136	137	138	2	1	1.4	0.7
Bal. of Reno township	1,957	1,920	1,919	(38)	(1)	(2.0)	(0.1)
Bal. of Roscoe township	108	110	111	3	1	2.7	0.9
Salt Creek township	483	483	484	1	1	0.2	0.2
Sumner township	547	543	543	(4)		(0.7)	
Bal. of Sylvia township	96	98	98	2		2.0	
Troy township	112	114	115	3	1	2.6	0.9
Valley township	887	876	876	(11)		(1.3)	
Walnut township	114	115	116	2	1	1.7	0.9
Bal. of Westminster township	115	116	117	2	1	1.7	0.9
Yoder township	742	730	731	(11)	1	(1.5)	0.1
Republic County	5,835	5,223	5,164	(671)	(59)	(13.0)	(1.1)
Agenda city	81	73	73	(8)		(11.0)	
Belleville city	2,239	1,984	1,956	(283)	(28)	(14.5)	(1.4)
Courtland city	334	295	291	(43)	(4)	(14.8)	(1.4)
Cuba city	231	209	208	(23)	(1)	(11.1)	(0.5)
Munden city	122	111	110	(12)	(1)	(10.9)	(0.9)
Narka city	93	84	84	(9)		(10.7)	
Republic city	161	146	145	(16)	(1)	(11.0)	(0.7)
Scandia city	436	380	374	(62)	(6)	(16.6)	(1.6)
Bal. of Republic County	2,138	1,941	1,923	(215)	(18)	(11.2)	(0.9)
Bal. of Albion township	81	73	73	(8)	`	(11.0)	
Beaver township	137	124	123	(14)	(1)	(11.4)	(0.8)
Belleville township	231	210	209	(22)	(1)	(10.5)	(0.5)
Bal. of Big Bend township	94	85	84	(10)	(1)	(11.9)	(1.2)
Bal. of Courtland township	116	105	104	(12)	(1)	(11.5)	(1.0)
Bal. of Elk Creek township	94	85	84	(10)	(1)	(11.9)	(1.2)
Bal. of Fairview township	102	93	92	(10)	(1)	(10.9)	(1.1)
Farmington township	81	74	73	(8)	(1)	(11.0)	(1.4)
Freedom township	186	169	168	(18)	(1)	(10.7)	(0.6)
Grant township	77	70	69	(8)	(1)	(11.6)	(1.4)
Jefferson township	107	97	96	(11)	(1)	(11.5)	(1.0)
Liberty township	52	47	47	(5)		(10.6)	(1.0)
Lincoln township	103	94	93	(10)	(1)	(10.8)	(1.1)
Norway township	163	148	146	(17)	(2)	(11.6)	(1.1)
Bal. of Richland township	87	79	78	(9)	(1)	(11.5)	(1.4)
Bal. of Rose Creek township	101	92	91	(10)	(1)	(11.0)	(1.3) $(1.1)$
Bal. of Scandia township	101	92 95	94	(10)	(1)	(11.0)	(1.1) $(1.1)$
	51	93 46	94 46			(11.7)	(1.1)
Union township Bal. of Washington township	82	75	74	(5)	(1)		
White Rock township	88	80	79	(8) (9)	(1) (1)	(10.8) (11.4)	(1.4) (1.3)
Rice County	10,761	10,485	10,452	(309)	(33)	(3.0)	(0.3)
Alden city	168	161	161	(7)		(4.3)	(0.5)
Bushton city	314	300	298	(16)	(2)	(5.4)	(0.7)
Chase city	490	470	467	(23)	(3)	(4.9)	(0.7)
Frederick city	11	11	11	(23)	(3)	(4.5)	(0.0)
Geneseo city	272	271	271	(1)		(0.4)	
Little River city	536	529	528	(8)	(1)	(0.4) $(1.5)$	(0.2)

Kansas Certified Population Certified to the Secretary of Sta	te by Division of	the Budget	on July 1, 20	006			
, or one	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Rice County (cont'd)							
Lyons city	3,732	3,571	3,554	(178)	(17)	(5.0)	(0.5)
Raymond city	95	95	95				
Sterling city	2,642	2,585	2,576	(66)	(9)	(2.6)	(0.3)
Bal. of Rice County	2,501	2,492	2,491	(10)	(1)	(0.4)	
Atlanta township	233	232	231	(2)	(1)	(0.9)	(0.4)
Bell township	18	18	19	1	1	5.3	5.3
Center township	136	135	135	(1)		(0.7)	
East Washington township	179	180	180	1		0.6	
Bal. of Eureka township	54	54	54				
Bal. of Farmer township	127	126	126	(1)		(0.8)	
Galt township	51	51	51				
Harrison township	192	191	191	(1)		(0.5)	
Bal. of Lincoln township	87	87	87				
Mitchell township	131	130	130	(1)		(0.8)	
Odessa township	55	55	55			(0.0)	
Pioneer township	101	101	101				
Bal. of Raymond township	90	90	90				
Rockville township	134	133	133	(1)		(0.8)	
Sterling township	223	222	223	(1)	1	(0.8)	0.4
Bal. of Union township	205	204	203				(0.5)
				(2)	(1)	(1.0)	
Bal. of Valley township	108	109	108	(1)	(1)	(1.1)	(0.9)
Bal. of Victoria township	92	91	91	(1)		(1.1)	
West Washington township	138	137	137	(1)		(0.7)	
Wilson township	147	146	146	(1)		(0.7)	
<b>Riley County</b>	62,843	63,069	62,826	(17)	(243)		(0.4)
Leonardville city	398	384	354	(44)	(30)	(12.4)	(8.5)
Manhattan city (pt.)	44,828	47,894	48,633	3,805	739	7.8	1.5
Ogden city	1,762	1,545	1,432	(330)	(113)	(23.0)	(7.9)
Randolph city	175	149	135	(40)	(14)	(29.6)	(10.4)
Riley city	886	759	692	(194)	(67)	(28.0)	(9.7)
Bal. of Riley County	14,794	12,338	11,580	(3,214)	(758)	(27.8)	(6.5)
Ashland township	150	132	122	(28)	(10)	(23.0)	(8.2)
Bal. of Bala township	364	263	242	(122)	(21)	(50.4)	(8.7)
Center township	81	74	69	(12)	(5)	(17.4)	(7.2)
Fancy Creek township	126	111	103	(23)	(8)	(22.3)	(7.8)
Grant township	833	704	645	(188)	(59)	(29.1)	(9.1)
Bal. of Jackson township	151	133	123	(28)	(10)	(22.8)	(8.1)
Bal. of Madison township	7,287	6,676	6,391	(896)	(285)	(14.0)	(4.5)
Manhattan township	3,275	2,244	2,048	(1,227)	(196)	(59.9)	(9.6)
May Day township	78	70	65	(1,227) $(13)$	(5)	(20.0)	(7.7)
Bal. of Ogden township	661	403	369	(292)	(34)	(79.1)	(9.2)
	524						
Sherman township		441	404	(120)	(37)	(29.7)	(9.2)
Swede Creek township	157	139	129	(28)	(10)	(21.7)	(7.8)
Wildcat township Zeandale township	750 357	635 313	582 288	(168) (69)	(53) (25)	(28.9) (24.0)	(9.1) (8.7)
-							
Rooks County	5,685	5,375	5,351	(334)	(24)	(6.2)	(0.4)
Damar city	155	150	150	(5)	(2)	(3.3)	(1.2)
Palco city	248	231	228	(20)	(3)	(8.8)	(1.3)
Plainville city	2,029	1,892	1,889	(140)	(3)	(7.4)	(0.2)

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Rooks County (cont'd)							
Stockton city	1,558	1,465	1,453	(105)	(12)	(7.2)	(0.8)
Woodston city	116	112	111	(5)	(1)	(4.5)	(0.9)
Zurich city	126	122	121	(5)	(1)	(4.1)	(0.8)
Bal. of Rooks County	1,450	1,403	1,399	(51)	(4)	(3.6)	(0.3)
Bal. of Township No. 1	164	158	157	(7)	(1)	(4.5)	(0.6)
Bal. of Township No. 2	193	187	186	(7)	(1)	(3.8)	(0.5)
Bal. of Township No. 3	120	116	116	(4)		(3.4)	
Bal. of Township No. 4	24	23	23	(1)		(4.3)	
Township No. 5	74	72	71	(3)	(1)	(4.2)	(1.4)
Township No. 6	60	58	58	(2)		(3.4)	
Bal. of Township No. 7	63	61	61	(2)		(3.3)	
Bal. of Township No. 8	96	93	92	(4)	(1)	(4.3)	(1.1)
Township No. 9	51	49	49	(2)		(4.1)	
Bal. of Township No. 10	71	69	68	(3)	(1)	(4.4)	(1.5)
Bal. of Township No. 11	351	340	341	(10)	1	(2.9)	0.3
Township No. 12	183	177	177	(6)		(3.4)	
Rush County	3,551	3,451	3,406	(145)	(45)	(4.3)	(1.3)
Alexander city	75	71	70	(5)	(1)	(7.1)	(1.4)
Bison city	235	224	220	(15)	(4)	(6.8)	(1.8)
La Crosse city	1,376	1,324	1,305	(71)	(19)	(5.4)	(1.5)
Liebenthal city	111	109	108	(3)	(1)	(2.8)	(0.9)
McCracken city	211	204	203	(8)	(1)	(3.9)	(0.5)
Otis city	325	322	319	(6)	(3)	(1.9)	(0.9)
Rush Center city	176	175	174	(2)	(1)	(1.1)	(0.6)
Timken city	83	82	81	(2)	(1)	(2.5)	(1.2)
Bal. of Rush County	959	940	926	(33)	(14)	(3.6)	(1.5)
Bal. of AlexBelle Prairie twnshp.	64	63	62	(2)	(1)	(3.2)	(1.6)
Bal. of Banner township	108	106	104	(4)	(2)	(3.8)	(1.9)
Bal. of Big Timber township	53	52	51	(2)	(1)	(3.9)	(2.0)
Bal. of Center township	80	79	77	(3)	(2)	(3.9)	(2.6)
Garfield township	132	130	128	(4)	(2)	(3.1)	(1.6)
Bal. of HamptonFairview twnshp.	93	91	90	(3)	(1)	(3.3)	(1.1)
Illinois township	47	46	45	(2)	(1)	(4.4)	(2.2)
Bal. of La CrosseBrookdale twnshp.	99	97	95	(4)	(2)	(4.2)	(2.1)
Bal. of Lone Star township	84	82	81	(3)	(1)	(3.7)	(1.2)
Bal. of Pioneer township	101	99	98	(3)	(1)	(3.1)	(1.0)
Pleasantdale township	33	32	32	(1)		(3.1)	
Union township	65	63	63	(2)		(3.2)	
Russell County	7,370	6,982	6,845	(525)	(137)	(7.7)	(2.0)
Bunker Hill city	101	97	95	(6)	(2)	(6.3)	(2.1)
Dorrance city	205	194	189	(16)	(5)	(8.5)	(2.6)
Gorham city	360	339	334	(26)	(5)	(7.8)	(1.5)
Lucas city	436	428	422	(14)	(6)	(3.3)	(1.4)
Luray city	203	191	186	(17)	(5)	(9.1)	(2.7)
Paradise city	64	63	62	(2)	(1)	(3.2)	(1.6)
Russell city	4,696	4,434	4,342	(354)	(92)	(8.2)	(2.1)
Waldo city	48	47	46	(2)	(1)	(4.3)	(2.2)
Bal. of Russell County	1,257	1,189	1,169	(88)	(20)	(7.5)	(1.7)
Bal. of Big Creek township	155	146	143	(12)	(3)	(8.4)	(2.1)

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Russell County (cont'd)							
Bal. of Center township	154	145	143	(11)	(2)	(7.7)	(1.4)
Fairfield township	42	40	39	(3)	(1)	(7.7)	(2.6)
Bal. of Fairview township	90	84	82	(8)	(2)	(9.8)	(2.4)
Grant township	159	156	152	(7)	(4)	(4.6)	(2.6)
Lincoln township	147	138	136	(11)	(2)	(8.1)	(1.5)
Bal. of Luray township	67	63	62	(5)	(1)	(8.1)	(1.6)
Bal. of Paradise township	105	99	98	(7)	(1)	(7.1)	(1.0)
Bal. of Plymouth township	114	107	106	(8)	(1)	(7.5)	(0.9)
Russell township	89	85	83	(6)	(2)	(7.2)	(2.4)
Bal. of Waldo township	60	56	56	(4)		(7.1)	
Winterset township	75	70	69	(6)	(1)	(8.7)	(1.4)
Saline County	53,597	53,903	53,919	322	16	0.6	
Assaria city	438	448	444	6	(4)	1.4	(0.9)
Brookville city	259	253	253	(6)		(2.4)	
Gypsum city	414	403	399	(15)	(4)	(3.8)	(1.0)
New Cambria city	150	153	152	2	(1)	1.3	(0.7)
Salina city	45,679	45,964	45,956	277	(8)	0.6	
Smolan city	218	213	211	(7)	(2)	(3.3)	(0.9)
Solomon city (pt.)	1	1	1				
Bal. of Saline County	6,438	6,468	6,503	65	35	1.0	0.5
Bal. of Cambria township	300	303	304	4	1	1.3	0.3
Bal. of Dayton township	133	138	140	7	2	5.0	1.4
Elm Creek township	828	832	834	6	2	0.7	0.2
Bal. of Eureka township	250	254	256	6	2	2.3	0.8
Falun township	260	271	274	14	3	5.1	1.1
Glendale township	104	109	111	7	2	6.3	1.8
Greeley township	809	755	757	(52)	2	(6.9)	0.3
Gypsum township	193	198	200	7	2	3.5	1.0
Liberty township	183	188	190	7	2	3.7	1.1
Ohio township	463	467	468	5	1	1.1	0.2
Pleasant Valley township	422	432	433	11	1	2.5	0.2
Smoky Hill township	324	317	318	(6)	1	(1.9)	0.2
Bal. of Smoky View township	516	521	522	6	1	1.1	0.3
	531	532	534	3	2	0.6	0.2
Bal. of Smolan township				_	_		
Solomon township	311	316	319	8	3	2.5	0.9
Bal. of Spring Creek township	136	148	152	16	4	10.5	2.6
Walnut township Washington township	553 122	558 129	560 131	7 9	2 2	1.3 6.9	0.4 1.5
Scott County	5,120	4,629	4,600	(520)	(29)	(11.3)	(0.6)
Scott City city	3,855	3,499	3,474	(381)	(25)	(11.0)	(0.7)
Bal. of Scott County	1,265	1,130	1,126	(139)	(4)	(12.3)	(0.7) $(0.4)$
Beaver township	302	271	270	(32)	(1)	(11.9)	(0.4)
Isbel township	110	98	98	(12)	(1)	(12.2)	(0.4)
Keystone township	106	98 94	96 94	(12)		(12.2)	
Lake township	95	85	94 85	(12)		(12.8)	
Michigan township	88	78	78				
	309	78 277		(10)	(2)	(12.8)	
Scott township Valley township	309 255	217	274 227	(35) (28)	(3)	(12.8) (12.3)	(1.1)

Certified to the Secretary of State	Pop. 2000	Pop. 2004	Pop. 2005	# Growth	# Growth	%Chg	%Chg
	4/1/2000	7/1/2004	7/1/2005	2000-2005	2004-2005	2000-2005	2004-200
Sedgwick County	452,869	463,383	466,061	13,192	2,678	2.8	0.6
Andale city	766	790	808	42	18	5.2	2.2
Bel Aire city	5,836	6,522	6,557	721	35	11.0	0.5
Bentley city	368	415	442	74	27	16.7	6.1
Cheney city	1,783	1,842	1,843	60	1	3.3	0.1
Clearwater city	2,178	2,190	2,214	36	24	1.6	1.1
Colwich city	1,229	1,299	1,328	99	29	7.5	2.2
Derby city	17,807	20,309	20,543	2,736	234	13.3	1.1
Eastborough city	826	796	790	(36)	(6)	(4.6)	(0.8
Garden Plain city	797	813	823	26	10	3.2	1.2
Goddard city	2,037	3,193	3,337	1,300	144	39.0	4.3
Haysville city	8,502	9,654	9,817	1,315	163	13.4	1.7
Kechi city	1,038	1,209	1,242	204	33	16.4	2.7
Maize city	1,868	2,116	2,117	249	1	11.8	
Mount Hope city	830	836	842	12	6	1.4	0.7
Mulvane city (pt.)	4,154	4,511	4,574	420	63	9.2	1.4
Park City city	5,814	7,008	7,173	1,359	165	18.9	2.3
Sedgwick city (pt.)	211	226	225	14	(1)	6.2	(0.4
Valley Center city	4,883	5,380	5,508	625	128	11.3	2.3
Viola city	211	213	213	2		0.9	
Wichita city	344,284	353,562	354,865	10,581	1,303	3.0	0.4
Bal. of Sedgwick County	47,447	40,499	40,800	(6,647)	301	(16.3)	0.7
Afton township	1,290	1,342	1,357	67	15	4.9	1.1
Bal. of Attica township	2,922	2,620	2,628	(294)	8	(11.2)	0.3
Delano township	196	43	44	(152)	1	(345.5)	2.3
Bal. of Eagle township	701	755	767	66	12	8.6	1.6
Erie township	106	157	170	64	13	37.6	7.6
Bal. of Garden Plain township	983	1,036	1,051	68	15	6.5	1.4
Grand River township	607	661	675	68	14	10.1	2.1
Bal. of Grant township	1,577	1,473	1,485	(92)	12	(6.2)	0.8
Bal. of Greeley township	264	293	304	40	11	13.2	3.6
Bal. of Gypsum township	5,807	5,753	5,769	(38)	16	(0.7)	0.3
Bal. of Illinois township	1,620	1,679	1,693	73	14	4.3	0.8
Bal. of Kechi township	784	501	504	(280)	3	(55.6)	0.6
Lincoln township	473	517	529	56	12	10.6	2.3
Bal. of Minneha township	4,258	3,041	3,050	(1,208)	9	(39.6)	0.3
Bal. of Morton township	597	654	668	71	14	10.6	2.1
Bal. of Ninnescah township	735	784	797	62	13	7.8	1.6
Bal. of Ohio township	1,146	1,173	1,186	40	13	3.4	1.1
Bal. of Park township	2,132	1,879	1,887	(245)	8	(13.0)	0.4
Bal. of Payne township	1,119	944	954	(165)	10	(17.3)	1.0
Bal. of Valley Center township	1,214	1,271	1,283	69	12	5.4	0.9
Bal. of Viola township	336	389	402	66	13	16.4	3.2
Bal. of Waco township	3,380	860	869	(2,511)	9	(289.0)	1.0
eward County	22,510	23,256	23,274	764	18	3.3	0.1
Kismet city	484	23,230 519	521	37	2	3.3 7.1	0.1
Liberal city	19,666	20,236	20,257	591	21	2.9	0.4
Bal. of Seward County	2,360	2,501	2,496	136	(5)	5.4	(0.2
Bal. of Fargo township	1,200	1,276	1,273	73	(3)	5.4 5.7	(0.2)
Liberal township	803	849	847	73 44	(2)	5.2	(0.2
Seward township	357	376	376	19	(2)	5.1	(0.2

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Shawnee County	169,871	171,553	172,365	2,494	812	1.4	0.5
Auburn city	1,121	1,124	1,131	10	7	0.9	0.6
Rossville city	1,014	992	996	(18)	4	(1.8)	0.4
Silver Lake city	1,358	1,352	1,352	(6)		(0.4)	
Topeka city	122,377	121,691	121,946	(431)	255	(0.4)	0.2
Willard city (pt.)	86	84	84	(2)		(2.4)	
Bal. of Shawnee County	43,915	46,310	46,856	2,941	546	6.3	1.2
Bal. of Auburn township	1,666	1,943	1,994	328	51	16.4	2.6
Bal. of Dover township	1,648	1,902	1,948	300	46	15.4	2.4
Grove township	473	624	651	178	27	27.3	4.1
Menoken township	1,371	1,588	1,628	257	40	15.8	2.5
Mission township	9,070	9,221	9,281	211	60	2.3	0.6
Monmouth township	2,786	3,095	3,150	364	55	11.6	1.7
Bal. of Rossville township	667	938	986	319	48	32.4	4.9
Bal. of Silver Lake township	591	685	702	111	17	15.8	2.4
Soldier township	12,867	13,346	13,442	575	96 	4.3	0.7
Tecumseh township	7,822	7,709	7,764	(58)	55	(0.7)	0.7
Topeka township	931	996	1,003	72	7	7.2	0.7
Williamsport township	4,023	4,263	4,307	284	44	6.6	1.0
Sheridan County	2,813	2,598	2,591	(222)	(7)	(8.6)	(0.3)
Hoxie city	1,244	1,151	1,149	(95)	(2)	(8.3)	(0.2)
Selden city	201	185	185	(16)		(8.6)	
Bal. of Sheridan County	1,368	1,262	1,257	(111)	(5)	(8.8)	(0.4)
Adell township	13	12	12	(1)		(8.3)	
Bloomfield township	45	41	41	(4)		(9.8)	
Bowcreek township	54	50	50	(4)		(8.0)	
East Saline township	66	61	60	(6)	(1)	(10.0)	(1.7)
Bal. of Kenneth township	186	172	172	(14)		(8.1)	
Logan township	115	106	106	(9)		(8.5)	
Parnell township Prairie Dog township	104	96	96 65	(8)	(1)	(8.3)	(1.5)
Bal. of Sheridan township	71 68	66 63	62	(6)	(1)	(9.2)	(1.5)
Solomon township	248	228	227	(6) (21)	(1) (1)	(9.7) (9.3)	(1.6) (0.4)
Springbrook township	108	228 99	99	(9)	(1)	(9.3)	(0.4)
Union township	60	56	55	(5)	(1)	(9.1)	(1.8)
Valley township	139	128	128	(11)	(1)	(8.6)	(1.6)
West Saline township	91	84	84	(7)		(8.3)	
Sherman County	6,760	6,193	6,153	(607)	(40)	(9.9)	(0.7)
Goodland city	4,948	4,516	4,485	(463)	(31)	(10.3)	(0.7)
Kanorado city	248	229	228	(20)	(1)	(8.8)	(0.4)
Bal. of Sherman County	1,564	1,448	1,440	(124)	(8)	(8.6)	(0.6)
Grant township	115	106	105	(10)	(1)	(9.5)	(1.0)
Iowa township	44	41	41	(3)		(7.3)	
Itasca township	321	298	296	(25)	(2)	(8.4)	(0.7)
Lincoln township	95	87	87	(8)		(9.2)	
Llanos township	43	40	40	(3)		(7.5)	
Logan township	246	228	227	(19)	(1)	(8.4)	(0.4)
McPherson township	52	48	48	(4)		(8.3)	
Shermanville township	51	47	47	(4)		(8.5)	

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-200
Sherman County (cont'd)							
Smoky township	87	81	81	(6)		(7.4)	
Bal. of Stateline township	96	89	88	(8)	(1)	(9.1)	(1.1)
Union township	56	52	51	(5)	(1)	(9.8)	(2.0)
Voltaire township	252	233	232	(20)	(1)	(8.6)	(0.4)
Washington township	106	98	97	(9)	(1)	(9.3)	(1.0)
Smith County	4,536	4,157	4,121	(415)	(36)	(10.1)	(0.9)
Athol city	51	47	47	(4)		(8.5)	
Cedar city	26	24	24	(2)		(8.3)	
Gaylord city	145	129	128	(17)	(1)	(13.3)	(0.8)
Kensington city	529	493	490	(39)	(3)	(8.0)	(0.6)
Lebanon city	303	280	278	(25)	(2)	(9.0)	(0.7)
Smith Center city	1,931	1,747	1,725	(206)	(22)	(11.9)	(1.3)
Bal. of Smith County	1,551	1,437	1,429	(122)	(8)	(8.5)	(0.6)
Banner township	54	50	50	(4)		(8.0)	
Beaver township	60	56	55	(5)	(1)	(9.1)	(1.8)
Blaine township	60	55	55	(5)		(9.1)	
Bal. of Cedar township	90	83	83	(7)		(8.4)	
Bal. of Center township	163	156	153	(10)	(3)	(6.5)	(2.0)
Cora township	38	35	35	(3)		(8.6)	
Crystal Plains township	40	37	37	(3)		(8.1)	
Dor township	46	42	42	(4)		(9.5)	
Garfield township	33	30	30	(3)		(10.0)	
German township	34	31	31	(3)		(9.7)	
Harlan township	100	93	92	(8)	(1)	(8.7)	(1.1)
Bal. of Harvey township	104	96	96	(8)		(8.3)	
Bal. of Houston township	61	56	56	(5)		(8.9)	
Bal. of Lane township	83	77	77	(6)		(7.8)	
Lincoln township	73	67	67	(6)		(9.0)	
Logan township	47	43	43	(4)		(9.3)	
Martin township	24	22	22	(2)		(9.1)	
Bal. of Oak township	96	89	88	(8)	(1)	(9.1)	(1.1)
Pawnee township	35	32	32	(3)		(9.4)	`
Pleasant township	34	32	31	(3)	(1)	(9.7)	(3.2)
Swan township	42	39	39	(3)		(7.7)	
Valley township	75	69	69	(6)		(8.7)	
Washington township	63	58	58	(5)		(8.6)	
Webster township	47	44	43	(4)	(1)	(9.3)	(2.3)
White Rock township	49	45	45	(4)		(8.9)	
Stafford County	4,789	4,536	4,488	(301)	(48)	(6.7)	(1.1)
Hudson city	133	128	127	(6)	(1)	(4.7)	(0.8)
Macksville city	514	498	494	(20)	(4)	(4.0)	(0.8)
Radium city	40	39	38	(2)	(1)	(5.3)	(2.6)
St. John city	1,318	1,231	1,215	(103)	(16)	(8.5)	(1.3)
Seward city	63	61	61	(2)		(3.3)	
Stafford city	1,161	1,083	1,067	(94)	(16)	(8.8)	(1.5)
Bal. of Stafford County	1,560	1,496	1,486	(74)	(10)	(5.0)	(0.7)
Albano township	56	54	53	(3)	(1)	(5.7)	(1.9)
Byron township	80	77	77	(3)		(3.9)	
Clear Creek township	36	34	34	(2)		(5.9)	

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Stafford County (cont'd)							
Cleveland township	68	65	65	(3)		(4.6)	
Bal. of Douglas township	108	103	103	(5)		(4.9)	
East Cooper township	90	86	86	(4)		(4.7)	
Fairview township	98	94	93	(5)	(1)	(5.4)	(1.1)
Bal. of Farmington township	77	74	73	(4)	(1)	(5.5)	(1.4)
Bal. of Hayes township	79	76	75	(4)	(1)	(5.3)	(1.3)
Bal. of Lincoln township	103	99	98	(5)	(1)	(5.1)	(1.0)
Bal. of North Seward township	123	118	118	(5)		(4.2)	
Bal. of Ohio township	71	68	68	(3)		(4.4)	
Putnam township	19	18	18	(1)		(5.6)	
Richland township	70	67	67	(3)		(4.5)	
Rose Valley township	74	71	70	(4)	(1)	(5.7)	(1.4)
Bal. of St. John township	57	55	54	(3)	(1)	(5.6)	(1.9)
South Seward township	55	53	52	(3)	(1)	(5.8)	(1.9)
Bal. of Stafford township	130	125	123	(7)	(2)	(5.7)	(1.6)
Union township	41	39	39	(2)		(5.1)	(1.0)
West Cooper township	64	61	61	(3)		(4.9)	
York township	61	59	59	(2)		(3.4)	
Tork township	01	37	37	(2)		(3.4)	
Stanton County	2,406	2,366	2,245	(161)	(121)	(7.2)	(5.4)
Johnson City city	1,528	1,490	1,411	(117)	(79)	(8.3)	(5.6)
Manter city	178	177	169	(9)	(8)	(5.3)	(4.7)
Bal. of Stanton County	700	699	665	(35)	(34)	(5.3)	(5.1)
Stevens County	5,463	5,492	5,412	(51)	(80)	(0.9)	(1.5)
Hugoton city	3,708	3,703	3,644	(64)	(59)	(1.8)	(1.6)
Moscow city	247	254	251	4	(3)	1.6	(1.2)
Bal. of Stevens County	1,508	1,535	1,517	9	(18)	0.6	(1.2)
Sumner County	25,946	25,086	24,797	(1,149)	(289)	(4.6)	(1.2)
Argonia city	534	507	500	(34)	(7)	(6.8)	(1.4)
Belle Plaine city	1,708	1,636	1,618	(90)	(18)	(5.6)	(1.1)
Caldwell city	1,284	1,233	1,215	(69)	(18)	(5.7)	(1.5)
Conway Springs city	1,322	1,271	1,253	(69)	(18)	(5.5)	(1.4)
Geuda Springs city (pt.)	199	196	194	(5)	(2)	(2.6)	(1.0)
Hunnewell city	83	81	80	(3)	(1)	(3.8)	(1.3)
Mayfield city	113	111	110	(3)	(1)	(2.7)	(0.9)
Milan city	137	134	132	(5)	(2)	(3.8)	(0.5) $(1.5)$
Mulvane city (pt.)	1,001	1,052	1,054	53	2	5.0	0.2
Oxford city	1,173	1,032	1,034	(56)	(9)	(5.0)	(0.8)
South Haven city	390	375	368	(22)		(6.0)	(1.9)
					(7)		
Wellington city	8,647	8,230	8,098	(549)	(132)	(6.8)	(1.6)
Bal. of Sumner County	9,355	9,134	9,058	(297)	(76)	(3.3)	(0.8)
Avon township	319	312	310	(9)	(2)	(2.9)	(0.6)
Bal. of Belle Plaine township	1,796	1,742	1,721	(75)	(21)	(4.4)	(1.2)
Bluff township	74	75	75	1		1.3	
Caldwell township	200	197	196	(4)	(1)	(2.0)	(0.5)
Chikaskia township	69	71	71	2		2.8	
Bal. of Conway township	369	361	358	(11)	(3)	(3.1)	(0.8)
Creek township	241	236	235	(6)	(1)	(2.6)	(0.4)
Bal. of Dixon township	204	201	199	(5)	(2)	(2.5)	(1.0)

Certified to the Secretary of State	by Division of	the Budget	on July 1, 20	VO O			
·	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Sumner County (cont'd)							
Downs township	159	156	155	(4)	(1)	(2.6)	(0.6)
Bal. of Eden township	452	437	433	(19)	(4)	(4.4)	(0.9)
Falls township	187	183	182	(5)	(1)	(2.7)	(0.5)
Bal. of Gore township	1,219	1,181	1,167	(52)	(14)	(4.5)	(1.2)
Greene township	80	82	83	3	1	3.6	1.2
Guelph township	164	164	163	(1)	(1)	(0.6)	(0.6)
Harmon township	277	270	268	(9)	(2)	(3.4)	(0.7)
Illinois township	178	175	175	(3)		(1.7)	
Jackson township	153	151	150	(3)	(1)	(2.0)	(0.7)
London township	774	749	741	(33)	(8)	(4.5)	(1.1)
Morris township	35	37	38	3	1	7.9	2.6
Bal. of Osborne township	160	153	152	(8)	(1)	(5.3)	(0.7)
Bal. of Oxford township	230	226	225	(5)	(1)	(2.2)	(0.4)
Palestine township	249	244	242	(7)	(2)	(2.9)	(0.8)
Bal. of Ryan township	102	102	102				
SeventySix township	238	226	224	(14)	(2)	(6.3)	(0.9)
Bal. of South Haven township	197	197	196	(1)	(1)	(0.5)	(0.5)
Bal. of Springdale township	356	349	346	(10)	(3)	(2.9)	(0.9)
Sumner township	150	149	148	(2)	(1)	(1.4)	(0.7)
Valverde township	147	146	145	(2)	(1)	(1.4)	(0.7)
Bal. of Walton township	232	229	228	(4)	(1)	(1.8)	(0.4)
Wellington township	344	333	330	(14)	(3)	(4.2)	(0.9)
Thomas County	8,180	7,793	7,639	(541)	(154)	(7.1)	(2.0)
Brewster city	285	268	262	(23)	(6)	(8.8)	(2.3)
Colby city	5,450	5,139	5,030	(420)	(109)	(8.3)	(2.2)
Gem city	96	93	92	(4)	(1)	(4.3)	(1.1)
Menlo city	57	56	55	(2)	(1)	(3.6)	(1.8)
Oakley city (pt.)	55	52	51	(4)	(1)	(7.8)	(2.0)
Rexford city	157	153	151	(6)	(2)	(4.0)	(1.3)
Bal. of Thomas County	2,080	2,032	1,998	(82)	(34)	(4.1)	(1.7)
Barrett township	124	121	119	(5)	(2)	(4.2)	(1.7)
East Hale township	137	134	131	(6)	(3)	(4.6)	(2.3)
Kingery township	93	90	89	(4)	(1)	(4.5)	(1.1)
Bal. of Lacey township	36	35	35	(1)		(2.9)	
Bal. of Menlo township	55	54	53	(2)	(1)	(3.8)	(1.9)
Morgan township	755	741	728	(27)	(13)	(3.7)	(1.8)
North Randall township	107	104	102	(5)	(2)	(4.9)	(2.0)
Rovohl township	143	140	137	(6)	(3)	(4.4)	(2.2)
Bal. of Smith township	56	54	53	(3)	(1)	(5.7)	(1.9)
Bal. of South Randall township	212	206	203	(9)	(3)	(4.4)	(1.5)
Summers township	197	192	189	(8)	(3)	(4.2)	(1.6)
Wendell township	84	82	81	(3)	(1)	(3.7)	(1.2)
Bal. of West Hale township	81	79	78	(3)	(1)	(3.8)	(1.3)
Trego County	3,319	3,134	3,050	(269)	(84)	(8.8)	(2.8)
Collyer city	133	130	125	(8)	(5)	(6.4)	(4.0)
WaKeeney city	1,924	1,807	1,773	(151)	(34)	(8.5)	(1.9)
Bal. of Trego County	1,262	1,197	1,152	(110)	(45)	(9.5)	(3.9)
Bal. of Collyer township	235	222	213	(22)	(9)	(10.3)	(4.2)
Franklin township	60	57	55	(5)	(2)	(9.1)	(3.6)

Certified to the Secretary of State	Pop. 2000	Pop. 2004	Pop. 2005	# Growth	# Growth	%Chg	%Chg
	4/1/2000	7/1/2004	7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	2000-2005	2004-200
Trego County (cont'd)							
Glencoe township	70	66	63	(7)	(3)	(11.1)	(4.8)
Ogallah township	214	202	194	(20)	(8)	(10.3)	(4.1)
Riverside township	117	111	107	(10)	(4)	(9.3)	(3.7)
Bal. of WaKeeney township	474	452	436	(38)	(16)	(8.7)	(3.7)
Wilcox township	92	87	84	(8)	(3)	(9.5)	(3.6)
Wabaunsee County	6,885	6,862	6,919	34	57	0.5	0.8
Alma city	797	760	764	(33)	4	(4.3)	0.5
Alta Vista city	442	426	425	(17)	(1)	(4.0)	(0.2)
Eskridge city	589	573	571	(18)	(2)	(3.2)	(0.4)
Harveyville city	267	253	252	(15)	(1)	(6.0)	(0.4)
McFarland city	271	265	264	(7)	(1)	(2.7)	(0.4)
Maple Hill city	469	485	492	23	7	4.7	1.4
Paxico city	211	213	212	1	(1)	0.5	(0.5)
St. Marys city (pt.)		1	1	1		100.0	
Willard city (pt.)							
Bal. of Wabaunsee County	3,839	3,886	3,938	99	52	2.5	1.3
Bal. of Alma township	340	340	344	4	4	1.2	1.2
Farmer township	119	127	130	11	3	8.5	2.3
Bal. of Garfield township	148	151	153	5	2	3.3	1.3
Bal. of Kaw township	242	244	247	5	3	2.0	1.2
Bal. of Maple Hill township	461	464	470	9	6	1.9	1.3
Mill Creek township	293	293	296	3	3	1.0	1.0
Mission Creek township	495	499	505	10	6	2.0	1.2
Bal. of Newbury township	563	566	575	12	9	2.1	1.6
Bal. of Plumb township	373	379	382	9	3	2.4	0.8
Rock Creek township	84	91	94	10	3	10.6	3.2
Wabaunsee township	455	458	463	8	5	1.7	1.1
Washington township	83	87	89	6	2	6.7	2.2
Bal. of Wilmington township	183	187	190	7	3	3.7	1.6
Wallace County	1,749	1,584	1,573	(176)	(11)	(11.2)	(0.7)
Sharon Springs city	835	741	733	(102)	(8)	(13.9)	(1.1)
Wallace city	67	62	62	(5)		(8.1)	
Bal. of Wallace County	847	781	778	(69)	(3)	(8.9)	(0.4)
Harrison township	85	78	78	(7)		(9.0)	
Bal. of Sharon Springs township	261	241	240	(21)	(1)	(8.8)	(0.4)
Bal. of Wallace township	108	100	99	(9)	(1)	(9.1)	(1.0)
Weskan township	393	362	361	(32)	(1)	(8.9)	(0.3)
Washington County	6,483	6,079	6,009	(474)	(70)	(7.9)	(1.2)
Barnes city	152	144	142	(10)	(2)	(7.0)	(1.4)
Clifton city (pt.)	323	293	288	(35)	(5)	(12.2)	(1.7)
Greenleaf city	357	332	328	(29)	(4)	(8.8)	(1.2)
Haddam city	169	159	158	(11)	(1)	(7.0)	(0.6)
Hanover city	653	600	593	(60)	(7)	(10.1)	(1.2)
Hollenberg city	31	29	29	(2)		(6.9)	
Linn city	425	394	388	(37)	(6)	(9.5)	(1.5)
Mahaska city	107	101	100	(7)	(1)	(7.0)	(1.0)
Morrowville city	168	159	157	(11)	(2)	(7.0)	(1.3)
Palmer city	108	102	101	(7)	(1)	(6.9)	(1.0)

<b>Kansas Certified Population</b>							
Certified to the Secretary of Stat	e by Division of	the Budget	on July 1, 20	006			
	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Washington County (cont'd)	4/1/2000	7/1/2004	7/1/2002	2000 2002	2004 2002	2000 2002	2004 2002
Vining city (pt.)	21	20	20	(1)		(5.0)	
Washington city	1,223	1,157	1,145	(78)	(12)	(6.8)	(1.0)
Bal. of Washington County	2,746	2,589	2,560	(186)	(29)	(7.3)	(1.1)
Bal. of Barnes township	81	77	76	(5)	(1)	(6.6)	(1.3)
Brantford township	91	86	85	(6)	(1)	(7.1)	(1.2)
Charleston township	99	94	93	(6)	(1)	(6.5)	(1.1)
Bal. of Clifton township	115	109	108	(7)	(1)	(6.5)	(0.9)
Coleman township	64	60	60	(4)		(6.7)	
Farmington township	192	177	175	(17)	(2)	(9.7)	(1.1)
Bal. of Franklin township	108	102	101	(7)	(1)	(6.9)	(1.0)
Grant township	25	24	23	(2)	(1)	(8.7)	(4.3)
Bal. of Greenleaf township	93	88	87	(6)	(1)	(6.7)	(1.1)
Bal. of Haddam township	77	72	72	(5)	(1)	(6.9)	(1.1)
Bal. of Hanover township	231	212	210	(21)	(2)	(0.9) $(10.0)$	(1.0)
Highland township	22	25	24	2		8.3	
Independence township					(1)		(4.2)
	169	160	158	(11)	(2)	(7.0)	(1.3)
Kimeo township	75	71	70	(5)	(1)	(7.1)	(1.4)
Lincoln township	84	79	78	(6)	(1)	(7.7)	(1.3)
Bal. of Linn township	174	164	162	(12)	(2)	(7.4)	(1.2)
Little Blue township	93	88	87	(6)	(1)	(6.9)	(1.1)
Logan township	109	103	102	(7)	(1)	(6.9)	(1.0)
Lowe township	76	72	71	(5)	(1)	(7.0)	(1.4)
Bal. of Mill Creek township	106	100	99	(7)	(1)	(7.1)	(1.0)
Sheridan township	119	112	111	(8)	(1)	(7.2)	(0.9)
Bal. of Sherman township	141	133	131	(10)	(2)	(7.6)	(1.5)
Strawberry township	130	122	121	(9)	(1)	(7.4)	(0.8)
Bal. of Union township	54	52	52	(2)		(3.8)	
Washington township	218	207	204	(14)	(3)	(6.9)	(1.5)
Wichita County	2,531	2,342	2,309	(222)	(33)	(9.6)	(1.4)
Leoti city	1,598	1,464	1,440	(158)	(24)	(11.0)	(1.7)
Bal. of Wichita County	933	878	869	(64)	(9)	(7.4)	(1.0)
Wilson County	10,332	9,893	9,834	(498)	(59)	(5.1)	(0.6)
Altoona city	485	474	472	(13)	(2)	(2.8)	(0.0)
Benedict city	103	100	100	(3)	(2)	(3.0)	(0.4)
Buffalo city	284	278	277	(7)	(1)	(2.5)	(0.4)
Coyville city	71	69	69	(2)		(2.3)	
Fredonia city	2,600				(20)	(5.9)	(0.8)
		2,475	2,455	(145)	(20)		
Neodesha city	2,848	2,676	2,652	(196)	(24)	(7.4)	(0.9)
New Albany city	73	71	71	(2)	(10)	(2.8)	(0.2)
Bal. of Wilson County	3,868	3,750	3,738	(130)	(12)	(3.5)	(0.3)
Bal. of Cedar township	216	211	210	(6)	(1)	(2.9)	(0.5)
Center township	618	576	574	(44)	(2)	(7.7)	(0.3)
Chetopa township	188	183	183	(5)		(2.7)	
Bal. of Clifton township	130	125	124	(6)	(1)	(4.8)	(0.8)
Colfax township	452	440	439	(13)	(1)	(3.0)	(0.2)
Duck Creek township	103	101	101	(2)		(2.0)	
Bal. of Fall River township	325	316	316	(9)		(2.8)	
Bal. of Guilford township	102	100	100	(2)		(2.0)	
Neodesha township	583	570	569	(14)	(1)	(2.5)	(0.2)

Appendix F (cont'd)

	Pop. 2000 4/1/2000	Pop. 2004 7/1/2004	Pop. 2005 7/1/2005	# Growth 2000-2005	# Growth 2004-2005	%Chg 2000-2005	%Chg 2004-2005
Wilson County (cont'd)							-
Newark township	226	220	219	(7)	(1)	(3.2)	(0.5)
Pleasant Valley township	201	196	196	(5)		(2.6)	
Prairie township	118	116	115	(3)	(1)	(2.6)	(0.9)
Talleyrand township	232	226	225	(7)	(1)	(3.1)	(0.4)
Bal. of Verdigris township	323	320	318	(5)	(2)	(1.6)	(0.6)
Webster township	51	50	49	(2)	(1)	(4.1)	(2.0)
Woodson County	3,788	3,549	3,572	(216)	23	(6.0)	0.6
Neosho Falls city	179	172	174	(5)	2	(2.9)	1.1
Toronto city	312	290	291	(21)	1	(7.2)	0.3
Yates Center city	1,599	1,486	1,493	(106)	7	(7.1)	0.5
Bal. of Woodson County	1,698	1,601	1,614	(84)	13	(5.2)	0.8
Center township	594	556	562	(32)	6	(5.7)	1.1
Liberty township	200	190	191	(9)	1	(4.7)	0.5
Bal. of Neosho Falls township	358	337	339	(19)	2	(5.6)	0.6
North township	71	68	69	(2)	1	(2.9)	1.4
Perry township	103	97	98	(5)	1	(5.1)	1.0
Bal. of Toronto township	372	353	355	(17)	2	(4.8)	0.6
Wyandotte County	157,882	155,981	155,750	(2,132)	(231)	(1.4)	(0.1)
Bonner Springs city (pt.)	6,767	6,861	6,933	166	72	2.4	1.0
Edwardsville city	4,146	4,481	4,503	357	22	7.9	0.5
Kansas City city	146,866	144,536	144,210	(2,656)	(326)	(1.8)	(0.2)
Lake Quivira city (pt.)	49	49	50	1	1	2.0	2.0
Bal. of Wyandotte County	54	54	54				

Sources: Kansas Division of the Budget at http://budget.ks.gov, Kansas Secretary of State's Office, & U.S. Census Bureau

Appendix G

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005		Percent	Change	:
Area:	(As of 7/1/01)	(As of 7/1/02)	(As of 7/1/03)	(As of 7/1/04)	(As of 7/1/05)	2002	2003	2004	2005
U.S.	284,796,887	287,973,924	290,809,777	293,655,404	296,410,404	1.1 %	1.0 %	1.0 %	0.9
Regions:									
Northeast	53,925,319	54,172,792	54,399,446	54,571,147	54,641,895	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.1
New England	14,047,999	14,134,420	14,205,480	14,238,888	14,239,724	0.6	0.5	0.2	0.0
(Connecticut, Maine	e, Massachusetts	, New Hampshire,	Rhode Island, Ve	ermont)					
Middle Atlantic (New Jersey, New Y	39,877,320 Tork, Pennsylvani	40,038,372 (ia)	40,193,966	40,332,259	40,402,171	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2
Midwest	64,807,370	65,098,828	65,406,134	65,729,852	65,971,974	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.4
East North Cent. (Illinois, Indiana, M	45,439,829	45,634,972	45,837,269	46,031,860	46,156,447	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3
West North Cent.	-	19,463,856	19,568,865	19,697,992	19,815,527	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.6
(Iowa, Kansas, Min.					19,013,327	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.0
South	101,854,183	103,197,968	104,538,348	105,944,965	107,505,413	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.5
South Atlantic	52,751,062	53,563,636	54,344,651	55,182,959	56,179,519	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.8
(Delaware, District		_			_	_		0.0	0.0
East South Cent. (Alabama, Kentucky	17,137,300 v, Mississippi, Te	17,225,247 nnessee)	17,341,608	17,480,032	17,615,260	0.5	0.7	0.8	0.8
West South Cent. (Arkansas, Louisian		32,409,085 exas)	32,852,089	33,281,974	33,710,634	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.3
West	64,506,941	65,504,336	66,465,849	67,409,440	68,291,122	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.3
Mountain	18,650,786	19,032,790	19,383,929	19,798,992	20,291,305	2.0	1.8	2.1	2.5
(Arizona, Colorado,	Idaho, Montana	ı, Nevada, New M	exico, Utah, Wyo	ming)					
Pacific	45,856,155	46,471,546	47,081,920	47,610,448	47,999,817	1.3	1.3	1.1	0.8
(Alaska, California,	Hawaii, Oregon	, Washington)							
States:	4.466.440	4 470 006	4.500.752	4 520 102	4 557 000	0.2	0.5	0.7	0.6
Alabama Alaska	4,466,440	4,478,896	4,500,752	4,530,182	4,557,808	0.3	0.5	0.7	0.6
Arizona	5,297,684	641,482 5,441,125	648,818 5,580,811	655,435 5,743,834	663,661 5,939,292	1.4 2.7	1.1 2.6	1.0 2.9	1.3 3.4
Arkansas	2,692,041	2,706,268	2,725,714	2,752,629	2,779,154	0.5	0.7	1.0	1.0
California	34,533,054	35,001,986	35,484,453	35,893,799	36,132,147	1.4	1.4	1.2	0.7
Colorado	4,428,786	4,501,051	4,550,688	4,601,403	4,665,177	1.6	1.1	1.1	1.4
Connecticut	3,432,550	3,458,587	3,483,372	3,503,604	3,510,297	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.2
Delaware	795,576	805,945	817,491	830,364	843,524	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.6
Dist. of Columbia		569,157	563,384	553,523	550,521	(0.6)	(1.0)	(1.8)	(0.5)
Florida	16,355,193	16,691,701	17,019,068	17,397,161	17,789,864	2.1	2.0	2.2	2.3
Georgia	8,394,795	8,544,005	8,684,715	8,829,383	9,072,576	1.8	1.6	1.7	2.8
Hawaii	1,225,038	1,240,663	1,257,608	1,262,840	1,275,194	1.3	1.4	0.4	1.0
Idaho	1,321,309	1,343,124	1,366,332	1,393,262	1,429,096	1.7	1.7	2.0	2.6
Illinois	12,517,168	12,586,447	12,653,544	12,713,634	12,763,371	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4
Indiana	6,126,470	6,156,913	6,195,643	6,237,569	6,271,973	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.6

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005				
Area:	(As of 7/1/01)	(As of 7/1/02)	(As of 7/1/03)	(As of 7/1/04)	(As of 7/1/05)	2002	2003	2004	2005
States (cont'd):									
Iowa	2,932,225	2,935,840	2,944,062	2,954,451	2,966,334	0.1 %	0.3 %	0.4 %	0.4
Kansas	2,700,453	2,711,769	2,723,507	2,735,502	2,744,687	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3
Kentucky	4,067,336	4,089,822	4,117,827	4,145,922	4,173,405	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7
Louisiana	4,466,001	4,476,192	4,496,334	4,515,770	4,523,628	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.2
Maine	1,284,691	1,294,894	1,305,728	1,317,253	1,321,505	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.3
Maryland	5,383,377	5,450,525	5,508,909	5,558,058	5,600,388	1.2	1.1	0.9	0.8
Massachusetts	6,399,869	6,421,800	6,433,422	6,416,505	6,398,743	0.3	0.2	(0.3)	(0.3)
Michigan	10,005,218	10,043,221	10,079,985	10,112,620	10,120,860	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.1
Minnesota	4,985,202	5,024,791	5,059,375	5,100,958	5,132,799	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.6
Mississippi	2,857,716	2,866,733	2,881,281	2,902,966	2,921,088	0.3	0.5	0.8	0.6
Missouri	5,636,220	5,669,544	5,704,484	5,754,618	5,800,310	0.6	0.6	0.9	0.8
Montana	905,954	910,372	917,621	926,865	935,670	0.5	0.8	1.0	0.9
Nebraska	1,719,000	1,727,564	1,739,291	1,747,214	1,758,787	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.7
Nevada	2,094,633	2,167,455	2,241,154	2,334,771	2,414,807	3.5	3.4	4.2	3.4
New Hampshire	1,258,974	1,274,405	1,287,687	1,299,500	1,309,940	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.8
New Jersey	8,504,114	8,575,252	8,638,396	8,698,879	8,717,925	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.2
New Mexico	1,829,110	1,852,044	1,874,614	1,903,289	1,928,384	1.3	1.2	1.5	1.3
New York	19,074,843	19,134,293	19,190,115	19,227,088	19,254,630	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1
North Carolina	8,195,249	8,305,820	8,407,248	8,541,221	8,683,242	1.3	1.2	1.6	1.7
North Dakota	636,285	633,911	633,837	634,366	636,677	(0.4)	(0.0)	0.1	0.4
Ohio	11,385,833	11,408,699	11,435,798	11,459,011	11,464,042	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0
Oklahoma	3,467,181	3,489,700	3,511,532	3,523,553	3,547,884	0.6	0.6	0.3	0.7
Oregon	3,472,629	3,520,355	3,559,596	3,594,586	3,641,056	1.4	1.1	1.0	1.3
Pennsylvania	12,298,363	12,328,827	12,365,455	12,406,292	12,429,616	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2
Rhode Island	1,058,992	1,068,326	1,076,164	1,080,632	1,076,189	0.9	0.7	0.4	(0.4)
South Carolina	4,059,818	4,103,770	4,147,152	4,198,068	4,255,083	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.4
South Dakota	758,156	760,437	764,309	770,883	775,933	0.3	0.5	0.9	0.7
Tennessee	5,745,808	5,789,796	5,841,748	5,900,962	5,962,959	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.1
Texas	21,340,598	21,736,925	22,118,509	22,490,022	22,859,968	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.6
Utah	2,279,590	2,318,789	2,351,467	2,389,039	2,469,585	1.7	1.4	1.6	3.4
Vermont	612,923	616,408	619,107	621,394	623,050	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.3
Virginia	7,192,697	7,287,829	7,386,330	7,459,827	7,567,465	1.3	1.4	1.0	1.4
Washington	5,992,760	6,067,060	6,131,445	6,203,788	6,287,759	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.4
West Virginia	1,801,641	1,804,884	1,810,354	1,815,354	1,816,856	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.1
Wisconsin	5,405,140	5,439,692	5,472,299	5,509,026	5,536,201	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.5
Wyoming	493,720	498,830	501,242	506,529	509,294	1.0	0.5	1.1	0.5

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005				
Area:	(As of 7/1/01)	(As of 7/1/02)	(As of 7/1/03)	(As of 7/1/04)	(As of 7/1/05)	2002	2003	2004	2005
<b>Kansas Counties:</b>									
Allen	14,196	14,111	13,907	13,949	13,787	(0.6) %	6 (1.4) %	0.3 %	(1.2) %
Anderson	8,193	8,140	8,208	8,191	8,182	(0.6)	0.8	(0.2)	(0.1)
Atchison	16,810	16,748	16,741	16,848	16,804	(0.4)	(0.0)	0.6	(0.3)
Barber	5,158	5,084	5,034	4,999	4,958	(1.4)	(1.0)	(0.7)	(0.8)
Barton	27,777	27,618	27,467	27,957	28,105	(0.6)	(0.5)	1.8	0.5
Bourbon	15,362	15,175	15,086	15,066	14,997	(1.2)	(0.6)	(0.1)	(0.5)
Brown	10,635	10,482	10,442	10,362	10,239	(1.4)	(0.4)	(0.8)	(1.2)
Butler	59,928	60,428	61,127	61,828	62,354	0.8	1.2	1.1	0.9
Chase	2,998	3,067	3,107	3,068	3,081	2.3	1.3	(1.3)	0.4
Chautauqua	4,263	4,193	4,185	4,178	4,109	(1.6)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(1.7)
Cherokee	22,263	21,982	21,815	21,950	21,555	(1.3)	(0.8)	0.6	(1.8)
Cheyenne	3,093	3,035	2,955	2,979	2,946	(1.9)	(2.6)	0.8	(1.1)
Clark	2,385	2,361	2,333	2,343	2,283	(1.0)	(1.2)	0.4	(2.6)
Clay	8,761	8,694	8,573	8,597	8,629	(0.8)	(1.4)	0.3	0.4
Cloud	10,081	9,950	9,859	9,779	9,759	(1.3)	(0.9)	(0.8)	(0.2)
Coffey	8,831	8,819	8,815	8,759	8,683	(0.1)	(0.0)	(0.6)	(0.9)
Comanche	1,986	1,964	1,915	1,903	1,935	(1.1)	(2.5)	(0.6)	1.7
Cowley	35,951	36,364	35,860	35,772	35,298	1.1	(1.4)	(0.2)	(1.3)
Crawford	38,289	38,109	38,398	38,060	38,222	(0.5)	0.8	(0.9)	0.4
Decatur	3,434	3,377	3,295	3,274	3,191	(1.7)	(2.4)	(0.6)	(2.5)
Dickinson	19,130	19,141	19,255	19,132	19,209	0.1	0.6	(0.6)	0.4
Doniphan	8,250	8,179	8,149	8,062	7,816	(0.9)	(0.4)	(1.1)	(3.1)
Douglas	101,076	102,200	102,983	102,786	102,914	1.1	0.8	(0.2)	0.1
Edwards	3,385	3,344	3,275	3,308	3,292	(1.2)	(2.1)	1.0	(0.5)
Elk	3,189	3,191	3,167	3,117	3,075	0.1	(0.8)	(1.6)	(1.3)
Ellis	27,403	27,311	27,212	27,060	26,767	(0.3)	(0.4)	(0.6)	(1.1)
Ellsworth	6,450	6,393	6,347	6,350	6,343	(0.9)	(0.7)	0.0	(0.1)
Finney	40,192	39,432	39,176	39,271	38,988	(1.9)	(0.6)	0.2	(0.7)
Ford	32,413	32,431	33,012	33,278	33,751	0.1	1.8	0.8	1.4
Franklin	25,036	25,291	25,540	26,049	26,247	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.8
Geary	27,204	26,417	26,313	25,111	24,585	(2.9)	(0.4)	(4.6)	(2.1)
Gove	3,008	2,992	2,910	2,845	2,763	(0.5)	(2.7)	(2.2)	(2.1) $(2.9)$
Graham	2,881	2,863	2,808	2,745	2,721	(0.6)	(1.9)	(2.2)	(0.9)
Grant	7,791	7,889	7,745	7,685	7,530	1.3	(1.8)	(0.8)	(2.0)
Gray	5,967	6,046	6,063	5,980	5,861	1.3	0.3	(1.4)	(2.0)
Greeley	1,532	1,461	1,420	1,415	1,349	(4.6)	(2.8)	(0.4)	(4.7)
Greenwood	7,726	7,628	7,485	7,538	7,338	(1.3)	(1.9)	0.7	(2.7)
Hamilton	2,677	2,661	2,666	2,654	2,604	(0.6)	0.2	(0.5)	(1.9)
Harper	6,448	6,288	6,206	6,238	6,081	(2.5)	(1.3)	0.5	(2.5)
Harvey	32,999	33,366	33,502	33,769	33,843	1.1	0.4	0.8	0.2

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005				
Area:	(As of 7/1/01)	(As of 7/1/02)	(As of 7/1/03)	(As of 7/1/04)	(As of 7/1/05)	2002	2003	2004	2005
Kansas Counties	(cont'd):								
Haskell	4,290	4,248	4,246	4,272	4,232	(1.0) %	(0.0) %	0.6 %	(0.9) 9
Hodgeman	2,135	2,154	2,151	2,089	2,110	0.9	(0.1)	(2.9)	1.0
Jackson	12,710	12,844	13,017	13,169	13,535	1.1	1.3	1.2	2.8
Jefferson	18,579	18,700	18,798	18,906	19,106	0.7	0.5	0.6	1.1
Jewell	3,621	3,508	3,433	3,422	3,352	(3.1)	(2.1)	(0.3)	(2.0)
Johnson	463,974	476,009	486,515	496,691	506,562	2.6	2.2	2.1	2.0
Kearny	4,600	4,607	4,591	4,515	4,516	0.2	(0.3)	(1.7)	0.0
Kingman	8,568	8,399	8,382	8,390	8,165	(2.0)	(0.2)	0.1	(2.7)
Kiowa	3,138	3,116	3,152	3,084	2,984	(0.7)	1.2	(2.2)	(3.2)
Labette	22,479	22,339	22,259	22,269	22,169	(0.6)	(0.4)	0.0	(0.4)
Lane	2,094	2,001	1,946	1,950	1,894	(4.4)	(2.7)	0.2	(2.9)
Leavenworth	69,863	70,959	71,546	72,439	73,113	1.6	0.8	1.2	0.9
Lincoln	3,554	3,503	3,498	3,416	3,411	(1.4)	(0.1)	(2.3)	(0.1)
Linn	9,700	9,705	9,722	9,775	9,914	0.1	0.2	0.5	1.4
Logan	2,989	2,945	2,855	2,827	2,794	(1.5)	(3.1)	(1.0)	(1.2)
Lyon	35,967	35,774	35,805	35,717	35,609	(0.5)	0.1	(0.2)	(0.3)
McPherson	29,524	29,362	29,346	29,413	12,952	(0.5)	(0.1)	0.2	(56.0)
Marion	13,396	13,311	13,299	13,010	10,405	(0.6)	(0.1)	(2.2)	(20.0)
Marshall	10,816	10,648	10,589	10,402	29,523	(1.6)	(0.6)		183.8
Meade	4,684	4,692	4,662	4,592	4,625	0.2	(0.6)	(1.5)	0.7
Miami	28,692	28,950	29,187	29,712	30,496	0.9	0.8	1.8	2.6
Mitchell	6,771	6,693	6,707	6,564	6,420	(1.2)	0.2	(2.1)	(2.2)
Montgomery	35,794	35,229	34,934	34,975	34,570	(1.6)	(0.8)	0.1	(1.2)
Morris	6,077	6,017	5,995	5,977	6,049	(1.0)	(0.4)	(0.3)	1.2
Morton	3,372	3,352	3,317	3,269	3,196	(0.6)	(1.0)	(1.4)	(2.2)
Nemaha	10,447	10,472	10,500	10,458	10,443	0.2	0.3	(0.4)	(0.1)
Neosho	16,883	16,712	16,580	16,555	16,529	(1.0)	(0.8)	(0.2)	(0.2)
Ness	3,354	3,285	3,158	3,080	3,009	(2.1)	(3.9)	(2.5)	(2.3)
Norton	5,862	5,830	5,796	5,799	5,664	(0.5)	(0.6)	0.1	(2.3)
Osage	16,721	16,804	16,784	17,091	17,150	0.5	(0.1)	1.8	0.3
Osborne	4,351	4,281	4,179	4,100	4,050	(1.6)	(2.4)	(1.9)	(1.2)
Ottawa	6,146	6,197	6,177	6,175	6,123	0.8	(0.3)	(0.0)	(0.8)
Pawnee	7,034	6,914	6,796	6,795	6,739	(1.7)	(1.7)	(0.0)	(0.8)
Phillips	5,871	5,760	5,657	5,583	5,504	(1.9)	(1.8)	(1.3)	(1.4)
Pottawatomie	18,336	18,429	18,714	18,871	19,129	0.5	1.5	0.8	1.4
Pratt	9,536	9,572	9,437	9,417	9,496	0.4	(1.4)	(0.2)	0.8
Rawlins	2,905	2,883	2,843	2,765	2,672	(0.8)	(1.4)	(2.7)	(3.4)
Reno	64,446	63,993	63,832	63,676	63,558	(0.7)	(0.3)	(0.2)	(0.2)
Republic	5,659	5,443	5,307	5,224	5,164	(3.8)	(2.5)	(1.6)	(1.1)
Rice	10,628	10,519	10,412	10,497	10,452	(1.0)	(1.0)	0.8	(0.4)

Appendix G (cont'd)

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005				
Area:	(As of 7/1/01)	(As of 7/1/02)	(As of 7/1/03)	(As of 7/1/04)	(As of 7/1/05)	2002	2003	2004	2005
Kansas Counties	s (cont'd):								
Riley	61,998	61,521	62,291	63,069	62,826	(0.8) %	1.3 %	1.2 %	(0.4) %
Rooks	5,581	5,487	5,417	5,386	5,351	(1.7)	(1.3)	(0.6)	(0.6)
Rush	3,516	3,464	3,418	3,466	3,406	(1.5)	(1.3)	1.4	(1.7)
Russell	7,144	7,025	6,907	6,978	6,845	(1.7)	(1.7)	1.0	(1.9)
Saline	53,785	53,902	53,737	53,943	53,919	0.2	(0.3)	0.4	(0.0)
Scott	5,086	4,923	4,806	4,691	4,600	(3.2)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(1.9)
Sedgwick	456,351	460,643	462,896	463,802	466,061	0.9	0.5	0.2	0.5
Seward	22,629	22,994	23,091	23,237	23,274	1.6	0.4	0.6	0.2
Shawnee	170,380	170,314	170,902	171,716	172,365	(0.0)	0.3	0.5	0.4
Sheridan	2,712	2,669	2,662	2,614	2,591	(1.6)	(0.3)	(1.8)	(0.9)
Sherman	6,638	6,422	6,277	6,218	6,153	(3.3)	(2.3)	(0.9)	(1.0)
Smith	4,425	4,294	4,181	4,179	4,121	(3.0)	(2.6)	(0.0)	(1.4)
Stafford	4,737	4,665	4,589	4,512	4,488	(1.5)	(1.6)	(1.7)	(0.5)
Stanton	2,414	2,428	2,404	2,374	2,245	0.6	(1.0)	(1.2)	(5.4)
Stevens	5,359	5,340	5,389	5,520	5,412	(0.4)	0.9	2.4	(2.0)
Sumner	25,739	25,484	25,256	25,272	24,797	(1.0)	(0.9)	0.1	(1.9)
Thomas	8,143	8,049	7,933	7,801	7,639	(1.2)	(1.4)	(1.7)	(2.1)
Trego	3,237	3,141	3,103	3,158	3,050	(3.0)	(1.2)	1.8	(3.4)
Wabaunsee	6,813	6,762	6,767	6,938	6,919	(0.7)	0.1	2.5	(0.3)
Wallace	1,700	1,685	1,621	1,579	1,573	(0.9)	(3.8)	(2.6)	(0.4)
Washington	6,304	6,213	6,131	6,107	6,009	(1.4)	(1.3)	(0.4)	(1.6)
Wichita	2,541	2,508	2,447	2,360	2,309	(1.3)	(2.4)	(3.6)	(2.2)
Wilson	10,248	10,115	10,080	9,946	9,834	(1.3)	(0.3)	(1.3)	(1.1)
Woodson	3,758	3,668	3,631	3,553	3,572	(2.4)	(1.0)	(2.1)	0.5
Wyandotte	158,474	157,715	157,091	156,487	155,750	(0.5)	(0.4)	(0.4)	(0.5)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

### Appendix H

### Poverty Thresholds in 2005, by Size of Family & Number of Related Children under 18 Years

			F	Related Chi	ldren unde	r 18 Years			
Size of Family Unit	None	One	Two	Three	Four	Five	Six	Seven	Eight or More
One person									
Under 65 Years	\$10,160								
65 Years and Over	9,367								
Two People									
Two with Householder:									
Under 65 Years	13,078	\$13,461							
65 Years and Over	11,805	13,410							
Three People	15,277	15,720	\$15,735						
Four People	20,144	20,474	19,806	\$19,874					
Five People	24,293	24,646	23,891	23,307	\$22,951				
Six People	27,941	28,052	27,474	26,920	26,096	\$25,608			
Seven People	32,150	32,350	31,658	31,176	30,277	29,229	\$28,079		
Eight People	35,957	36,274	35,621	35,049	34,237	33,207	32,135	\$31,862	
Nine People or More	43,254	43,463	42,885	42,400	41,603	40,507	39,515	39,270	\$37,757

 $Source: \ U.S.\ Census\ Bureau,\ http://www.census.gov/hhes/poverty/threshld/thresh04.html$ 

Appendix I

Kansas School District	Populations	2003			
District Name	Dist. No.	County	Ks Dept. of Education's Enrollment as of 2003	U.S. Census Bureau's Population of Relevant Children 5-17 Years of Age	Estimated No. of Relevant Children 5-17 Yrs of Age in Poverty Who Are Related to the Householder
Abilene	435	Dickinson	1,480	1,365	119
Altoona-Midway	387	Wilson	281	317	29
Andover	385	Butler	3313	3,408	191
Anthony-Harper	361	Harper	1014	885	132
Argonia	359	Sumner	247	234	26
Arkansas City	470	Cowley	3060	2,810	396
Ashland	220	Clark	254	219	26
Atchison County	377	Atchison	776	895	90
Atchison	409	Atchison	1,702	2,158	264
Attica	511	Harper	142	115	15
Auburn Washburn	437	Shawnee	5096	5,929	201
Augusta	402	Butler	2237	2,287	185
Axtell	488	Marshall	344	361	55
B & B	451	Nemaha	252	244	27
Baldwin City	348	Douglas	1372	1,296	134
Barber Co.	254	Barber	657	600	42
Barnes	223	Washington	461	453	44
Basehor-Linwood	458	Leavenworth	2075	1,886	149
Baxter Springs	508	Cherokee	901	879	145
Bazine	304	Ness	94	67	5
Belle Plaine	357	Sumner	833	719	79
Beloit	273	Mitchell	798	848	107
Blue Valley USD 229	229	Johnson	18489	20,870	439
Blue Valley USD 384	384	Riley	260	281	4
Bluestem	205	Butler	761	851	84
Bonner Springs	204	Wyandotte	2225	2,197	203
Brewster	314	Thomas	158	117	10
Bucklin	459	Ford	292	311	32
Buhler	313	Reno	2245	2,262	145
Burlingame Public School	454	Osage	362	293	34
Burlington	244	Coffey	895	758	77
Burrton	369	Harvey	275	270	37
Caldwell	360	Sumner	321	266	46
Caney Valley	436	Montgomery	948	772	101
Canton-Galva	419	McPherson	436	471	68
Cedar Vale	285	Chautauqua	201	187	44
Central Heights	288	Franklin	661	622	128
Central	462	Cowley	336	398	63
Centre	397	Marion	281	274	32
Chanute Public Schools	413	Neosho	1,919	1,790	286
Chapman	473	Dickinson	1045	1,105	88
Chase County	284	Chase	477	489	69

Kansas School District	Populations	, 2003		U.S. Census Bureau's	Estimated No. of
District Name	Dist. No.	County	Ks Dept. of Education's Enrollment as of 2003	Population of Relevant Children 5-17 Years of Age	Relevant Children 5-17 Yrs of Age in Poverty Who Are Related to the Householder
Chase-Raymond	401	Rice	155	177	42
Chautauqua Co. Community	286	Chautauqua	484	447	58
Cheney	268	Sedgwick	794	774	14
Cherokee	247	Crawford	848	901	128
Cherryvale	447	Montgomery	598	577	143
Chetopa	505	Labette	293	260	69
Cheylin	103	Cheyenne	177	179	24
Cimarron-Ensign	102	Gray	700	674	63
Circle	375	Butler	1519	1,788	230
Claflin	354	Barton	327	300	28
Clay Center	379	Clay	1,549	1,407	164
Clearwater	264	Sedgwick	1,349	1,189	58
	204	Washington	357	330	34
Clifton-Clyde	445	_			379
Coffeyville		Montgomery	2000	2,300	
Colby Public Schools	315	Thomas	1078	1,121	105
Columbus	493	Cherokee	1,347	1,405	233
Commanche County	300	Comanche	308	336	30
Concordia	333	Cloud	1213	1,044	120
Conway Springs	356	Sumner	594	638	33
Copeland	476	Gray	142	190	31
Crest	479	Anderson	254	277	38
Cunningham	332	Kingman	281	300	25
DeSoto	232	Johnson	4,104	3,770	158
Deerfield	216	Kearny	370	335	45
Derby	260	Sedgwick	6,729	7,479	406
Dexter	471	Cowley	196	154	27
Dighton	482	Lane	272	261	29
Dodge City	443	Ford	5,814	6,458	883
Douglass Public Schools	396	Butler	895	815	45
Durham-Hillsboro-Lehigh	410	Marion	711	686	69
Eastern Heights	324	Phillips	169	169	32
Easton	449	Leavenworth	730	772	54
El Dorado	490	Butler	2258	2,137	307
Elk Valley	283	Elk	224	183	43
Elkhart	218	Morton	689	485	60
Ell-Saline	307	Saline	459	357	41
Ellinwood Public Schools	355	Barton	605	545	27
Ellis	388	Ellis	401	357	43
Ellsworth	327	Ellsworth	660	562	48
Elwood	486	Doniphan	336	247	47
Emporia	253	Lyon	5029	4,720	685
Erie-St. Paul	101	Neosho	1120	1,058	121

District Name	Dist. No.	County	Ks Dept. of Education's Enrollment as of 2003	U.S. Census Bureau's Population of Relevant Children 5-17 Years of Age	Estimated No. of Relevant Children 5-17 Yrs of Age in Poverty Who Are Related to the Householder
Eudora	491	Douglas	1195	1,167	65
Eureka	389	Greenwood	735	768	107
Fairfield	310	Reno	391	496	91
Flinthills	492	Butler	329	273	26
Ft. Scott	234	Bourbon	2056	2,165	384
Fowler	225	Meade	195	175	11
Fredonia	484	Wilson	817	833	145
Frontenac Public Schools	249	Crawford	769	583	15
Ft. Larned	495	Pawnee	960	1,187	112
Ft. Leavenworth	207	Leavenworth	1989	1,962	49
Galena	499	Cherokee	794	682	161
Garden City	457	Finney	7749	8,315	1,239
Gardner-Edgerton	231	Johnson	3215	3,096	195
Garnett	365	Anderson	1146	1,248	182
Geary County Schools	475	Geary	6429	5,940	915
Girard Girard	248	Crawford	1128	956	160
Goddard	246 265	Sedgwick	3910	4,309	230
Goessel	411	Marion	303	301	18
			193	117	7
Golden Plains	316	Thomas			•
Goodland	352	Sherman	1,059	977	161
Great Bend	428	Barton	3127	3,106	457
Greeley County Schools	200	Greeley	314	273	29
Greensburg	422	Kiowa	324	277	41
Grinnell Public Schools	291	Gove	129	124	9
Halstead	440	Harvey	734	826	42
Hamilton	390	Greenwood	111	101	24
Hanston	228	Hodgeman	135	108	6
Haven Public Schools	312	Reno	1,143	1,279	167
Haviland	474	Kiowa	175	133	16
Hays	489	Ellis	3,301	3,230	327
Haysville	261	Sedgwick	4526	4,320	346
Healy Public Schools	468	Lane	113	69	2
Herington	487	Dickinson	510	496	59
Hesston	460	Harvey	829	853	44
Hiawatha	415	Brown	1041	999	140
Highland	425	Doniphan	280	201	8
Hill City	281	Graham	454	376	44
Hillcrest Rural Schools	455	Republic	136	103	14
Hoisington	431	Barton	663	651	124
Holcomb	363	Finney	917	874	79
Holton	336	Jackson	1189	1,009	126
Hoxie Community Schools	412	Sheridan	366	349	41

District Name	Dist. No.	County	Ks Dept. of Education's Enrollment as of 2003	U.S. Census Bureau's Population of Relevant Children 5-17 Years of Age	Estimated No. of Relevant Children 5-17 Yrs of Age in Poverty Who Are Related to the Householder
Hugoton Public Schools	210	Stevens	1,015	977	135
Humboldt	258	Allen	562	434	39
Hutchinson Public Schools	308	Reno	4,908	5,146	907
Independence	446	Montgomery	2062	2,294	366
Ingalls	477	Gray	253	212	17
Inman	448	McPherson	481	484	50
Iola	257	Allen	1536	1,522	274
Jayhawk	346	Linn	633	602	84
Jefferson County North	339	Jefferson	529	451	61
Jefferson West	340	Jefferson	991	882	29
Jetmore	227	Hodgeman	322	278	29
Jewell	279	Jewell	189	155	22
Kansas City	500	Wyandotte	20,775	23,142	5,201
Kaw Valley	321	Pottawatomie	1121	1,464	162
Kingman-Norwich	331	Kingman	1,277	1,239	158
Kinsely-Offerle	347	Edwards	321	375	41
Kismet-Plains	483	Seward	800	795	118
Labette County	506	Labette	1,707	1,415	149
LaCrosse	395	Rush	350	341	50
Lakin	215	Kearny	741	730	66
Lansing	469	Leavenworth	2083	2,117	29
Lawrence	497	Douglas	10,154	10,822	1,141
Leavenworth	453	Leavenworth	4,372	4,855	753
Lebo-Waverly	243	Coffey	603	508	39
Leoti	467	Wichita	499	476	62
LeRoy-Gridley	245	Coffey	315	300	34
Lewis	502	Edwards	167	156	32
Liberal	480	Seward	4468	4,463	719
Lincoln	298	Lincoln	411	383	46
Little River	444	Rice	293	329	44
Logan	326	Phillips	204	181	29
Lorraine	328	Ellsworth	508	451	46
Louisburg	416	Miami	1348	1,367	51
Lyndon	421	Osage	467	442	31
Lyons	405	Rice	940	721	86
Macksville	351	Stafford	289	261	40
Madison-Virgil	386	Greenwood	292	278	44
Maize	266	Sedgwick	5,585	6,202	193
Manhattan	383	Riley	5369	6,165	667
Mankato	278	Jewell	249	202	25
Marais Des Cygnes Valley	456	Osage	280	337	59
Marion-Florence	408	Marion	709	642	58

Kansas School District l  District Name	Populations  Dist. No.	County	Ks Dept. of Education's Enrollment as of 2003	U.S. Census Bureau's Population of Relevant Children 5-17 Years of Age	Estimated No. of Relevant Children 5-17 Yrs of Age in Poverty Who Are Related to the Householder
Marmaton Valley	256	Allen	392	337	49
Marysville	364	Marshall	878	871	46
McLouth	342	Jefferson	568	601	71
McPherson	418	McPherson	2567	2,641	137
Meade	226	Meade	516	440	41
Midway Schools	433	Doniphan	213	230	26
Mill Creek Valley	329	Wabaunsee	544	595	19
Minneola	219	Clark	277	203	28
Montezuma	371	Gray	241	322	34
Morris County	417	Morris	965	950	93
Moscow Public Schools	209	Stevens	296	184	16
Moundridge	423	McPherson	833	584	20
Mullinville	424	Kiowa	134	78	10
Mulvane	263	Sedgwick	1961	2,151	235
Nemaha Valley Schools	442	Nemaha	535	625	33
Neodesha	461	Wilson	841	724	108
Nes Tres La Go	301	Ness	40	58	11
Ness City	303	Ness	303	236	12
Newton	373	Harvey	3690	3,667	425
Nickerson	309	Reno	1,223	1,186	141
North Central	221		1,223	1,180	16
North Jackson	335	Washington Jackson	12 <del>4</del> 441	383	
					25
North Lyon Co.	251	Lyon	715	710	51
North Ottawa Co.	239	Ottawa	634	581	28
Northeast	246	Crawford	570	707	148
Northern Valley	212	Norton	178	157	20
Norton Community Schools	211	Norton	754	627	70
Oakley	274	Logan	431	466	47
Oberlin	294	Decatur	473	482	52
Olathe	233	Johnson	22023	24,264	1,228
Onaga-Havensville-Wheaton	322	Pottawatomie	384	369	44
Osage City	420	Osage	774	752	40
Osawatomie	367	Miami	1,229	1,313	241
Osborne County	392	Osborne	445	382	58
Oskaloosa Public Schools	341	Jefferson	708	694	40
Oswego	504	Labette	563	400	79
Otis-Bison	403	Rush	260	262	33
Ottawa	290	Franklin	2503	2,541	293
Oxford	358	Sumner	408	392	51
Palco	269	Rooks	160	136	10
Paola	368	Miami	2,116	2,212	157
Paradise	399	Russell	144	139	23

Kansas School District P  District Name	Dist. No.	County	Ks Dept. of Education's Enrollment as of 2003	U.S. Census Bureau's Population of Relevant Children 5-17 Years of Age	Estimated No. of Relevant Children 5-17 Yrs of Age in Poverty Who Are Related to the Householder
Parsons	503	Labette	1,646	1,887	324
Pawnee Heights	496	Pawnee	176	130	18
Peabody-Burns	398	Marion	440	474	47
Perry Public Schools	343	Jefferson	1034	1,054	60
Phillipsburg	325	Phillips	660	588	58
Pike Valley	426	Republic	279	230	33
Piper-Kansas City	203	Wyandotte	1313	1,410	37
Pittsburg	250	Crawford	2562	3,003	615
Plainville	270	Rooks	422	434	50
Pleasanton	344	Linn	422	393	90
Prairie Heights	295	Decatur	74	71	10
Prairie View	362	Linn	1018	937	81
Pratt	382	Pratt	1180	1,289	146
Pretty Prairie	311	Reno	329	317	25
Quinter Public Schools	293	Gove	383	289	26
Rawlins County	105	Rawlins	425	367	48
Remington-Whitewater	206	Butler	543	800	56
Renwick	267	Sedgwick	2,021	2,068	83
Republic County	427	Republic	534	444	55
Riley County	378	Riley	637	662	76
Riverton	404	Cherokee	865	702	115
Rock Creek	323	Pottawatomie	782	795	92
Rolla	217	Morton	243	172	21
Rose Hill Public Schools	394	Butler	1,799	1,893	114
Royal Valley	337	Jackson	938	910	76
Rural Vista	481	Dickinson	446	423	64
Russell County	407	Russell	1054	1,009	131
Sabetha	441	Nemaha	982	910	92
Salina	305	Saline	7,663	8,464	1,032
Santa Fe Trail	434	Osage	1312	1,265	139
Satanta	507	Haskell	441	429	51
Scott County	466	Scott	987	867	80
Seaman	345	Shawnee	3,481	3,603	232
Sedgwick Public Schools	439	Harvey	525	428	57
Shawnee Heights	450	Shawnee	3319	3,576	249
Shawnee Mission Pub. Schools	512	Johnson	29,824	38,819	1,738
Silver Lake	372	Shawnee	749	697	19
Skyline Schools	438	Pratt	420	213	38
Smith Center	237	Smith	508	455	53
Smoky Hill	302	Ness	129	107	19
Smoky Valley	400	McPherson	968	968	70
Solomon	393	Dickinson	440	391	64

Kansas School District P	opulations	, 2003			
District Name	Dist. No.	County	Ks Dept. of Education's Enrollment as of 2003	U.S. Census Bureau's Population of Relevant Children 5-17 Years of Age	Estimated No. of Relevant Children 5-17 Yrs of Age in Poverty Who Are Related to the Householder
South Barber Co.	255	Barber	299	270	66
South Brown County	430	Brown	668	677	109
South Haven	509	Sumner	233	195	30
Southeast of Saline	306	Saline	677	675	29
Southern Cloud	334	Cloud	205	247	48
Southern Lyon Co.	252	Lyon	607	632	37
Spearville	381	Ford	352	305	20
Spring Hill	230	Johnson	1558	1,545	67
St. Francis Community Schools		Cheyenne	405	371	33
St. John-Hudson	350	Stafford	403	344	26
Stafford Stafford	349	Stafford	347	270	57
Stanton County	452	Stanton	579 512	498	61
Sterling	376	Rice	512	493	56
Stockton	271	Rooks	395	362	63
Sublette	374	Haskell	485	516	66
Sylvan Grove	299	Lincoln	168	159	20
Syracuse	494	Hamilton	496	484	70
Tonganoxie	464	Leavenworth	1546	1,544	50
Topeka Public Schools	501	Shawnee	13,932	15,614	2,930
Triplains	275	Logan	90	80	13
Troy Public Schools	429	Doniphan	385	350	31
Turner-Kansas City	202	Wyandotte	3856	3,761	445
Twin Valley	240	Ottawa	646	472	47
Udall	463	Cowley	345	423	23
Ulysses	214	Grant	1794	1,641	204
Uniontown	235	Bourbon	480	436	74
Valley Center Pub. Schools	262	Sedgwick	2,374	2,627	35
Valley Falls	338	Jefferson	448	456	24
Valley Heights	498	Marshall	441	398	60
Vermillon	380	Marshall	577	509	90
Victoria	432	Ellis	302	256	17
Wabaunsee East	330	Wabaunsee	516	554	71
Waconda	272	Mitchell	480	444	30
WaKeeney	208	Trego	399	458	54
Wallace Co. Schools	241	Wallace	257	225	30
Wamego	320	Pottawatomie	1384	1,475	99
Washington Schools	222	Washington	356	334	38
Wathena	406	Doniphan	402	374	53
Wellington	353	Sumner	1,765	1,796	208
Wellsville	289	Franklin	799	837	59
Weskan	242	Wallace	131	83	18
West Elk	282	Elk	475	394	80

District Name	Dist. No.	County	Ks Dept. of Education's Enrollment as of 2003	U.S. Census Bureau's Population of Relevant Children 5-17 Years of Age	Estimated No. of Relevant Children 5-17 Yrs of Age in Poverty Who Are Related to the Householder
West Franklin	287	Franklin	947	1,043	67
West Smith Co.	238	Smith	207	154	9
West Solomon Valley Schools	213	Norton	70	96	3
Wheatland	292	Gove	188	153	25
White Rock	104	Jewell	134	162	20
Wichita	259	Sedgwick	48676	57,095	10,072
Winfield	465	Cowley	2,743	2,626	447
Woodson	366	Woodson	592	435	68

Sources: Kansas Department of Education and the U.S. Census Bureau [http://www.census.gov/cgi-bin/saipe/saipe.cgi]

Appendix J

Health Insurance Coverage Status & Type of Coverage for the U.S. & Kansas, 1988-2005 (Numbers in Thousands, Number of People as of March of the Following Year)

				Not Cove	ered		Covered b	y Private	e or Gov. H	ealth In
		Total Pop.	Number	Error	Percent	Error	Number	Error	Percent	Error
J <b>.S.</b>										
	2005	293,834	46,577	322	15.9 %	0.1	247,257	325	84.1 %	
	2004	291,166	45,820	320	15.7	0.1	245,860	330	84.3	0.1
	2003	288,280	44,961	318	15.6	0.1	243,320	335	84.4	0.1
	2002	285,933	43,574	314	15.2	0.1	242,360	338	84.8	0.1
	2001	282,082	41,207	307	14.6	0.1	240,875	341	85.4	0.1
	2000 1	279,517	39,804	300	14.2	0.1	239,714	247	85.8	0.1
	1999 <sup>2</sup>	276,804	40,228	423	14.5	0.2	236,576	412	85.5	0.2
	1999	274,087	42,554	433	15.5	0.2	231,533	434	84.5	0.2
	1998	271,743	44,281	440	16.3	0.2	227,462	450	83.7	0.2
	1997 3	269,094	43,448	437	16.1	0.2	225,646	457	83.9	0.2
	1996	266,792	41,716	429	15.6	0.2	225,077	459	84.4	0.2
	1995	264,314	40,582	424	15.4	0.2	223,733	463	84.6	0.2
	1994 4	262,105	39,718	408	15.2	0.2	222,387	531	84.8	0.2
	1993 5	259,753	39,713	421	15.3	0.2	220,040	476	84.7	0.2
	1992 <sup>6</sup>	256,830	38,641	416	15.0	0.2	218,189	482	85.0	0.2
	1991 <sup>7</sup>	251,447	35,445	401	14.1	0.2	216,003	489	85.9	0.2
	1990	248,886	34,719	398	13.9	0.2	214,167	495	86.1	0.2
	1989	246,191	33,385	379	13.6	0.2	212,807	550	86.4	0.2
	1988	243,685	32,680	362	13.4	0.1	211,005	532	86.6	0.1
Kansas										
	2005	2,695	290	22	10.8 %	0.8	2,405	22	89.2 %	
	2004	2,674	297	23	11.1	0.8	2,372	23	88.9	0.8
	2003	2,683	294	19	11.0	0.7	2,389	55	89.0	37.0
	2002	2,685	280	19	10.4	0.7	2,404	55	89.6	37.0
	2001	2,642	301	20	11.4	0.7	2,341	54	88.6	37.0
	$2000^{-1}$	2,653	289	19	10.9	0.7	2,364	55	89.1	37.0
	$1999^{-2}$	2,610	309	32	11.8	1.2	2,301	88	88.2	1.2
	1999	2,618	317	33	12.1	1.2	2,300	88	87.9	1.2
	1998	2,616	270	30	10.3	1.1	2,346	89	89.7	1.1
	$1997^{-3}$	2,590	304	32	11.7	1.2	2,286	88	88.3	1.2
	1996	2,572	292	31	11.4	1.2	2,280	88	88.6	1.2
	1995	2,539	316	33	12.4	1.2	2,223	87	87.6	1.2
	1994 <sup>4</sup>	2,527	326	32	12.9	1.2	2,201	83	87.1	1.2
	1993 <sup>5</sup>	2,500	318	33	12.7	1.2	2,182	86	87.3	1.2
	1992 <sup>6</sup>	2,510	274	31	10.9	1.2	2,236	87	89.1	1.2
	1991 <sup>7</sup>	2,581	295	32	11.4	1.2	2,286	88	88.6	1.2
	1990	2,512	272	31	10.8	1.1	2,240	87	89.2	1.1
	1989	2,434	229	26	9.4	1.0	2,205	80	90.6	1.0
	1988	2,399	216	26	9.0	1.1	2,183	84	91.0	1.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Implementation of a 28,000 household sample expansion.

Estimates reflect the results of follow-up verification questions and of Census 2000 based population controls.

Beginning with the March 1998 CPS, people with no coverage other than access to Indian Health Service are no longer considered to be uninsured. The effect of this change on the overall estimates of health insurance coverage is negligible; however, the decrease in the number of people covered by Medicaid may be particially due to this change.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Health insurance questions were redesigned. Increases in estimates of employment-based and military health care coverage may be partially due to questionnaire changes. Overall coverage estimates were not affected.

Data collection method changed for paper and pencil to computer-assisted interviewing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Implementation of Census 1990 based population controls.

Figures are revised to correct for omitted weights from the original March 1992 CPS file.

Appendix K

Kansas Resident Population	, 2000 thr	ough 2005					
By Age, Race, Gender, & Ethnicit							
	_	4/1/2000	F/1/2001	Popula		F /1 /2004	5/1/2005
	<u>Age</u>	<u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>7/1/2001</u>	7/1/2002	<u>7/1/2003</u>	7/1/2004	<u>7/1/2005</u>
Kansas	All Ages	2,688,418	2,700,879	2,712,454		2,733,697	
	< 5	188,708	187,221	188,796	188,667	188,536	187,949
	5-19	609,710	599,792	595,019	587,099	579,663	569,356
	20-64	1,533,771	1,558,697	1,574,715	1,594,275		1,630,377
	≥ 65	356,229	355,169	353,924	354,183	355,225	357,005
_	≥ 85*	51,770	52,981	53,279	54,259	55,798	57,665
Race			3 15 1 100	2 122 101	- 100 016		
White Alone	All Ages	2,414,428	2,424,103		2,438,246		
Black Alone	All Ages	157,389	158,301	159,687	160,434	161,016	
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	All Ages	25,984	25,292	25,441	25,555	25,554	25,786
Asian Alone	All Ages	48,239	50,092	52,451	54,775	56,415	
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	All Ages	1,623	1,635	1,708	1,784	1,830	1,867
Two or More Races	All Ages	40,755	41,456	42,471	43,430	44,253	45,114
White Alone	< 5	161,385	160,695	162,361	162,531	162,842	162,626
Black Alone	< 5	13,843	13,345	13,145	12,843	12,428	12,029
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	< 5	2,130	1,916	1,850	1,753	1,710	
Asian Alone	< 5	3,866	3,882	4,002	4,148	4,278	4,391
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	< 5	150	129	122	113	106	100
Two or More Races	< 5	7,334	7,254	7,316	7,279	7,172	7,099
White Alone	5-19	532,534	523,509	518,200	510,391	502,959	493,307
Black Alone	5-19	43,942	43,274	43,348	42,905	42,556	41,711
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	5-19	7,218	6,842	6,720	6,570	6,400	6,294
Asian Alone	5-19	10,601	10,485	10,645	10,763	10,885	10,887
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	5-19	456	442	445	458	463	461
Two or More Races	5-19	14,959	15,240	15,661	16,012	16,400	16,696
White Alone	20-64	1,381,462	1,402,082	1 413 878	1,429,197	1,442,118	1 458 871
Black Alone	20-64	87,606	89,647	91,122	92,562	93,806	
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	20-64	15,302	15,240	15,490	15,772	15,922	
Asian Alone	20-64	31,759	33,547	35,475	37,341	38,514	
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	20-64	956	998	1,072	1,138	1,177	1,216
Two or More Races	20-64	16,686	17,183	17,678	18,265	18,736	19,309
White Alone	≥ 65	339,047	337,817	336,257	336,127	336,710	337,853
Black Alone	$\geq 65$	11,998	12,035	12,072	12,124	12,226	12,432
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	$\geq 65$	1,334	1,294	1,381	1,460	1,522	1,637
				2,329		2,738	
Asian Alone	≥ 65	2,013	2,178		2,523		2,983
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	≥ 65	61	1 770	69	75	1 045	90
Two or More Races	≥ 65	1,776	1,779	1,816	1,874	1,945	2,010
White Alone	≥ 85*	49,882	51,023	51,272	52,208	53,655	55,328
Black Alone	≥ 85*	1,452	1,487	1,502	1,509	1,555	1,674
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	≥ 85*	112	120	130	140	154	179
Asian Alone	≥ 85*	121	143	158	179	195	223
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	≥ 85*	8	8	9	11	12	13
Two or More Races	≥ 85*	195	200	208	212	227	248
* The age category of $\geq$ 85 years is a subse	t of the $\geq$ 65 ye	ears age category.					

Kansas Resident Population	n, 2000 thr	ough 2005					
By Age, Race, Gender, & Ethnic	eity						
	<u> </u>	4/1/2000	7/1/2000	Popula		7/1/2002	7/1/2004
	<u>Age</u>	<u>4/1/2000</u>	<u>7/1/2000</u>	7/1/2001	7/1/2002	7/1/2003	<u>7/1/2004</u>
Gender							
Male	All Ages	1,328,474	1,336,664	1,343,636	1,351,169	1,357,412	1,363,613
Female	All Ages	1,359,944	1,364,215	1,368,818	1,373,055	1,376,285	1,381,074
Male	< 5	97,012	96,536	97,528	97,826	97,906	97,590
Female	< 5	91,696	90,685	91,268	90,841	90,630	90,359
Male	5-19	313,454	308,183	605,649	601,487	297,917	292,814
Female	5-19	296,256	291,609	289,370	285,612	281,746	276,542
Male	20-64	772,493	785,921	794,151	804,601	813,051	823,135
Female	20-64	761,278	772,776	780,554	789,674	797,222	807,242
Male	≥ 65	145,515	146,024	146,298	147,255	148,538	150,074
Female	<u>≥</u> 65	210,714	206,145	207,626	206,928	206,687	206,931
Male	≥ 85*	14,612	15,185	15,514	16,197	16,925	17,806
Female	<u>≥</u> 85*	37,158	37,796	37,765	38,062	38,873	39,859
Ethnicity							
Non-Hispanic	All Ages	2,500,166	2,503,224	2,507,069	2,510,858	2,512,886	2,516,437
Hispanic	All Ages	188,252	197,655	205,385	213,366	220,811	228,250
Non-Hispanic	< 5	163,929	132,390	163,759	163,570	163,227	162,782
Hispanic	< 5	24,779	24,831	25,037	25,097	25,309	25,167
Non-Hispanic	5-19	552,752	541,594	534,941	525,396	516,340	504,470
Hispanic	5-19	56,958	58,198	60,078	61,703	63,323	64,886
Non-Hispanic	20-64	1,433,739	1,450,957	1,461,685	1,475,334	1,486,158	1,500,727
Hispanic	20-64	100,032	107,740	113,030	118,941	124,115	129,650
Non-Hispanic	≥ 65	349,746	348,283	346,684	346,558	347,161	348,458
Hispanic	≥ 65	6,483	6,886	7,240	7,625	8,064	8,547
Non-Hispanic	≥ 85*	51,270	52,403	52,659	53,570	54,993	56,738
Hispanic	≥ 85*	500	578	620	689	805	927

<sup>\*</sup> The age category of  $\geq 85$  years is a subset of the  $\geq 65$  years age category.

Kansas Resident Population	, 2000 th	rough 200	5				
By Age, Race, Gender, & Ethnicit		Ü					
					Population		
	<u>Age</u>	4/1/2000	7/1/2001	7/1/2002	7/1/2003	7/1/2004	<u>7/1/2005</u>
Kansas	All Ages	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %
	< 5	7.0	6.9	7.0	6.9	6.9	6.8
	5-19	22.7	22.2	21.9	21.6	21.2	20.7
	20-64	57.1	57.7	58.1	58.5	58.9	59.4
	<u>≥</u> 65	13.3	13.2	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0
	≥ 85*	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.1
Race**							
White Alone	All Ages	89.8 %	89.8 %	89.6 %	89.5 %	89.4 %	89.4 %
Black Alone	All Ages	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	All Ages	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
Asian Alone	All Ages	1.8	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.1
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	All Ages	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Two or More Races	All Ages	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6
White Alone	< 5	85.5	85.8	86.0	86.1	86.4	86.5
Black Alone	< 5	7.3	7.1	7.0	6.8	6.6	6.4
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	< 5	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9
Asian Alone	< 5	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.3
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	< 5	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Two or More Races	< 5	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8
White Alone	5-19	87.3	87.3	87.1	86.9	86.8	86.6
Black Alone	5-19	7.2	7.2	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	5-19	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1
Asian Alone	5-19	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.9	1.9
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	5-19	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Two or More Races	5-19	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9
White Alone	20-64	90.1	90.0	89.8	89.6	89.6	89.5
Black Alone	20-64	5.7	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	20-64	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Asian Alone	20-64	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.4
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Two or More Races	20-64	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2
White Alone	<u>≥</u> 65	95.2	95.1	95.0	94.9	94.8	94.6
Black Alone	≥ 65	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.5
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	<u>≥</u> 65	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5
Asian Alone	<u>≥</u> 65	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	<u>≥</u> 65	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Two or More Races	<u>≥</u> 65	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6
White Alone	> 85*	96.4	96.3	96.2	96.2	96.2	95.9
Black Alone	<u>~</u> ≥85*	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.9
Amer. Ind. & AK Nat. Alone	<u>~</u> ≥ 85*	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3
Asian Alone	<u>~</u> ≥85*	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4
Nat. HI & Other Pac. Isl. Alone	<u>~</u> ≥85*	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Two or More Races	= > 85*	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
* The age category of > 85 years is a subse	_						

<sup>\*</sup> The age category of  $\geq 85$  years is a subset of the  $\geq 65$  years age category.

\*\* Percentage of total population for each age group by race is relative to the total population for that age group only.

\*\*\* Totals may not add because of rounding.

### **Kansas Resident Population, 2000 through 2005**

By Age, Race, Gender, & Ethnicity

by Age, Race, Genuel, & Eum	City		Asa	a % of Total	Population	***	
	<u>Age</u>	4/1/2000	7/1/2000	7/1/2001	7/1/2002	7/1/2003	7/1/2004
Gender							
Male	All Ages	49.4 %	49.5 %	49.5 %	49.6 %	49.7 %	49.7 %
Female	All Ages	50.6	50.5	50.5	50.4	50.3	50.3
Male	< 5	51.4	51.6	51.7	51.9	51.9	51.9
Female	< 5	48.6	48.4	48.3	48.1	48.1	48.1
Male	5-19	51.4	51.4	101.8	102.5	51.4	51.4
Female	5-19	48.6	48.6	48.6	48.6	48.6	48.6
Male	20-64	50.4	50.4	50.4	50.5	50.5	50.5
Female	20-64	49.6	49.6	49.6	49.5	49.5	49.5
Male	≥ 65	40.8	41.1	41.3	41.6	41.8	42.0
Female	<u>≥</u> 65	59.2	58.0	58.7	58.4	58.2	58.0
Male	≥ 85*	28.2	28.7	29.1	29.9	30.3	30.9
Female	<u>≥</u> 85*	71.8	71.3	70.9	70.1	69.7	69.1
Ethnicity							
Non-Hispanic	All Ages	93.0 %	92.7 %	92.4 %	92.2 %	91.9 %	91.7 %
Hispanic	All Ages	7.0	7.3	7.6	7.8	8.1	8.3
Non-Hispanic	< 5	86.9	70.7	86.7	86.7	86.6	86.6
Hispanic	< 5	13.1	13.3	13.3	13.3	13.4	13.4
Non-Hispanic	5-19	90.7	90.3	89.9	89.5	89.1	88.6
Hispanic	5-19	9.3	9.7	10.1	10.5	10.9	11.4
Non-Hispanic	20-64	93.5	93.1	92.8	92.5	92.3	92.0
Hispanic	20-64	6.5	6.9	7.2	7.5	7.7	8.0
Non-Hispanic	≥ 65	98.2	98.1	98.0	97.8	97.7	97.6
Hispanic	≥ 65	1.8	1.9	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.4
Non-Hispanic	≥ 85*	99.0	98.9	98.8	98.7	98.6	98.4
Hispanic	≥ 85*	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.6

<sup>\*</sup> The age category of  $\geq 85$  years is a subset of the  $\geq 65$  years age category.

Appendix L

Kansas Popul	auon P	rojecu	ons, by	Count	ty, by A	Age Col	nort io	r 2007	unroug	n 2018		
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Allen County												
Total Population	13,668	13,601	13,528	13,448	13,366	13,280	13,200	13,108	13,022	12,930	12,845	12,760
Age 0 thru 4	844	841	837	833	829	824	819	815	808	800	792	785
Age 5 thru 9	752	728	704	681	658	635	615	593	572	551	530	510
Age 10 thru 14	825	796	767	736	705	677	648	620	593	568	543	519
Age 15 thru 19	1,073	1,055	1,032	1,007	979	952	927	901	877	854	830	806
Age 20 thru 24	881	893	903	910	915	910	901	895	889	888	887	884
Age 25 thru 29	957	978	1,003	1,034	1,073	1,120	1,168	1,215	1,258	1,291	1,328	1,368
Age 30 thru 34	678	664	654	644	635	625	613	601	586	573	560	547
Age 35 thru 39	631	598	565	532	500	470	442	417	393	370	348	326
Age 40 thru 44	963	952	939	925	910	892	875	855	838	821	805	788
Age 45 thru 49	1,099	1,113	1,125	1,136	1,144	1,151	1,160	1,168	1,178	1,184	1,190	1,195
Age 50 thru 54	1,020	1,042	1,063	1,081	1,097	1,110	1,123	1,131	1,142	1,156	1,169	1,181
Age 55 thru 59	921	952	981	1,011	1,042	1,078	1,114	1,149	1,184	1,217	1,251	1,285
Age 60 thru 64	684	685	686	686	686	686	684	682	680	677	674	671
Age 65 thru 84	1,932	1,899	1,866	1,832	1,796	1,758	1,724	1,685	1,649	1,611	1,574	1,536
Age 85 & Older	408	405	403	400	397	392	387	381	375	369	364	359
Anderson County												
Total Population	8,240	8,266	8,292	8,322	8,346	8,369	8,391	8,414	8,432	8456	8473	8,492
Age 0 thru 4	494	494	493	492	490	488	484	479	475	472	468	464
Age 5 thru 9	479	469	458	445	433	420	410	400	389	378	368	357
Age 10 thru 14	559	549	539	528	517	507	494	483	471	460	449	438
Age 15 thru 19	599	597	594	590	585	581	579	576	572	568	563	558
Age 20 thru 24	490	510	532	557	581	598	616	635	651	673	694	717
Age 25 thru 29	556	573	593	618	648	680	715	748	779	808	838	871
Age 30 thru 34	419	415	412	410	408	404	399	395	393	389	384	379
Age 35 thru 39	411	395	378	360	341	324	310	295	281	268	255	243
Age 40 thru 44	644	651	657	664	670	673	676	677	682	686	688	691
Age 45 thru 49	626	641	659	677	697	718	734	749	763	779	796	812
Age 50 thru 54	519	528	537	545	552	559	569	581	591	600	608	616
Age 55 thru 59	481	491	500	507	513	521	528	537	545	553	560	566
Age 60 thru 64	411	413	413	413	412	413	412	412	412	412	411	409
Age 65 thru 84	1,242	1,227	1,212	1,197	1,178	1,159	1,138	1,117	1,096	1,077	1,057	1,036
Age 85 & Older	310	313	315	319	321	324	327	330	332	333	334	335
Atchison County												
Total Population	16,796	16,793	16,783	16,783	16,786	16,783	16,784	16,783	16,781	16781	16779	16,782
Age 0 thru 4	1,046	1,042	1,037	1,031	1,024	1,017	1,010	1,004	996	988	980	971
Age 5 thru 9	973	952	930	907	884	860	837	813	791	770	749	728
Age 10 thru 14	1,093	1,066	1,037	1,007	978	949	918	890	859	833	806	780
Age 15 thru 19	1,441	1,422	1,398	1,373	1,348	1,322	1,299	1,274	1,253	1,231	1,207	1,182
Age 20 thru 24	1,709	1,780	1,855	1,937	2,024	2,103	2,189	2,268	2,347	2,434	2,524	2,618
Age 25 thru 29	1,049	1,060	1,074	1,094	1,119	1,150	1,178	1,209	1,233	1,252	1,272	1,294
Age 30 thru 34	802	781	761	743	724	705	684	663	642	622	603	585
Age 35 thru 39	904	879	853	827	801	774	747	724	704	681	659	637
Age 40 thru 44	1,048	1,033	1,016	996	974	953	938	919	897	879	860	841

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Atchison County (c												
Age 45 thru 49	1,289	1,317	1,345	1,375	1,406	1,433	1,455	1,477	1,504	1,530	1,555	1,580
Age 50 thru 54	1,028	1,041	1,052	1,061	1,067	1,070	1,077	1,086	1,097	1,105	1,112	1,118
Age 55 thru 59	992	1,016	1,039	1,062	1,085	1,111	1,134	1,157	1,181	1,204	1,227	1,250
Age 60 thru 64	782	787	791	795	799	804	806	809	811	813	814	815
Age 65 thru 84	2,161	2,135	2,109	2,084	2,057	2,031	2,003	1,973	1,942	1,912	1,881	1,850
Age 85 & Older	479	482	486	491	496	501	509	517	524	527	530	533
Barber County												
Total Population	4,842	4,785	4,727	4,664	4,600	4,540	4,479	4,418	4,358	4294	4238	4,180
Age 0 thru 4	173	164	154	144	134	125	118	110	103	95	88	82
Age 5 thru 9	224	211	197	185	172	160	148	138	127	118	108	100
Age 10 thru 14	292	278	263	247	230	214	199	185	173	160	149	137
Age 15 thru 19	372	367	360	351	342	332	321	309	298	287	277	265
Age 20 thru 24	374	402	436	474	517	565	616	666	716	765	818	874
Age 25 thru 29	161	155	150	145	141	136	131	126	123	117	112	107
Age 30 thru 34	148	136	126	116	106	96	87	79	71	64	58	52
Age 35 thru 39	225	211	197	183	169	157	145	133	123	114	104	95
Age 40 thru 44	358	350	342	331	319	308	297	287	275	264	252	241
Age 45 thru 49	445	448	451	453	452	450	447	441	436	431	426	419
Age 50 thru 54	441	455	467	479	490	499	508	520	529	536	542	547
Age 55 thru 59	348	353	357	361	364	366	364	362	359	356	354	350
Age 60 thru 64	277	277	276	274	273	271	268	264	259	254	249	243
Age 65 thru 84	817	789	760	729	697	666	635	603	572	542	512	483
Age 85 & Older	187	189	191	192	194	195	195	195	194	191	189	185
Barton County												
Total Population	27,939	27,828	27,723	27,614	27,512	27,419	27,317	27,219	27,133	27065	26,999	26,927
Age 0 thru 4	1,664	1,641	1,618	1,593	1,567	1,546	1,520	1,493	1,467	1,442	1,417	1,391
Age 5 thru 9	1,689	1,650	1,609	1,569	1,528	1,490	1,453	1,416	1,379	1,342	1,306	1,270
Age 10 thru 14	1,815	1,767	1,717	1,664	1,609	1,558	1,510	1,462	1,417	1,373	1,329	1,285
Age 15 thru 19	2,225	2,209	2,187	2,157	2,126	2,090	2,055	2,022	1,990	1,964	1,935	1,904
Age 20 thru 24	1,834	1,871	1,909	1,945	1,978	2,006	2,026	2,053	2,088	2,119	2,150	2,179
Age 25 thru 29	1,581	1,584	1,594	1,611	1,636	1,669	1,708	1,738	1,761	1,776	1,793	1,812
Age 30 thru 34	1,203	1,157	1,116	1,077	1,039	1,002	961	921	883	847	813	780
Age 35 thru 39	1,288	1,216	1,144	1,072	1,003	935	876	822	773	726	680	637
Age 40 thru 44	2,103	2,080	2,053	2,021	1,986	1,952	1,917	1,881	1,848	1,818	1,787	1,754
Age 45 thru 49	2,417	2,463	2,510	2,556	2,602	2,641	2,673	2,707	2,742	2,782	2,821	2,858
Age 50 thru 54	2,293	2,376	2,467	2,566	2,670	2,771	2,873	2,978	3,082	3,193	3,307	3,422
Age 55 thru 59	1,663	1,699	1,735	1,774	1,815	1,863	1,910	1,955	1,998	2,039	2,081	2,123
Age 60 thru 64	1,300	1,298	1,297	1,296	1,296	1,298	1,296	1,295	1,291	1,286	1,282	1,277
Age 65 thru 84	3,954	3,893	3,830	3,765	3,698	3,629	3,563	3,494	3,425	3,361	3,295	3,227
Age 85 & Older	910	924	937	948	959	969	976	982	989	997	1,003	1,008
Bourbon County												
Total Population	15,009	15,016	15,014	15,012	15,004	14,977	14,940	14,906	14,877	14846	14,811	14,783
Age 0 thru 4	1,022	1,030	1,038	1,048	1,059	1,068	1,075	1,082	1,089	1,093	1,097	1,101

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Bourbon County (c	ont'd)											
Age 5 thru 9	835	812	786	759	731	704	677	652	628	605	582	560
Age 10 thru 14	1,000	986	971	955	938	919	897	874	854	835	816	79′
Age 15 thru 19	1,102	1,083	1,058	1,031	1,003	980	957	936	915	893	869	84
Age 20 thru 24	1,144	1,186	1,230	1,275	1,318	1,346	1,378	1,406	1,434	1,471	1,508	1,54
Age 25 thru 29	935	940	948	958	970	980	987	1,000	1,012	1,018	1,023	1,02
Age 30 thru 34	848	847	850	854	861	864	867	865	864	861	859	85
Age 35 thru 39	758	730	701	672	644	615	588	564	542	519	496	47
Age 40 thru 44	947	935	922	908	894	877	858	838	819	803	786	76
Age 45 thru 49	1,079	1,088	1,096	1,104	1,108	1,113	1,116	1,121	1,126	1,129	1,131	1,13
Age 50 thru 54	1,088	1,118	1,147	1,174	1,196	1,216	1,238	1,260	1,284	1,309	1,332	1,35
Age 55 thru 59	976	1,005	1,032	1,059	1,086	1,117	1,148	1,180	1,208	1,236	1,265	1,29
Age 60 thru 64	811	830	851	876	902	929	951	971	990	1,010	1,030	1,05
Age 65 thru 84	1,965	1,924	1,880	1,834	1,787	1,740	1,691	1,642	1,597	1,551	1,505	1,46
Age 85 & Older	499	502	504	505	507	509	512	515	515	513	512	51
Brown County												
Total Population	10,117	10,055	9,999	9,931	9,862	9,793	9,715	9,631	9,555	9,478	9,401	9,32
Age 0 thru 4	654	650	646	640	634	630	623	617	611	602	594	58
Age 5 thru 9	608	591	574	556	539	523	507	488	471	454	437	42
Age 10 thru 14	634	612	591	568	545	524	503	482	462	442	423	40
Age 15 thru 19	682	666	647	625	604	581	557	536	517	498	480	46
Age 20 thru 24	740	775	812	853	896	934	971	1,011	1,045	1,085	1,126	1,10
Age 25 thru 29	460	452	444	437	431	426	422	417	413	405	397	38
Age 30 thru 34	437	420	404	388	372	356	342	326	312	298	284	27
Age 35 thru 39	532	512	493	473	453	433	415	398	381	365	348	33
Age 40 thru 44	677	664	650	633	615	601	585	568	552	536	520	50
Age 45 thru 49	793	798	804	807	807	805	798	788	782	779	774	7
Age 50 thru 54	809	832	855	879	899	916	936	956	978	998	1,017	1,03
Age 55 thru 59	707	731	756	781	807	836	864	892	918	944	970	99
Age 60 thru 64	525	529	534	539	544	547	549	549	549	549	549	54
Age 65 thru 84	1,417	1,379	1,341	1,301	1,262	1,224	1,183	1,142	1,103	1,064	1,025	98
Age 85 & Older	442	444	448	451	454	457	460	461	461	459	457	4:
Butler County												
Total Population	63,473	64,278	64,951	65,196	65,044	65,219	65,810	66,305	66,762	67,160	67,523	67,8
Age 0 thru 4	3,547	3,524	3,487	3,415	3,314	3,230	3,166	3,099	3,032	2,961	2,888	2,8
Age 5 thru 9	4,071	4,037	3,989	3,910	3,815	3,747	3,714	3,682	3,647	3,609	3,573	3,5
Age 10 thru 14	4,484	4,439	4,380	4,285	4,168	4,070	4,006	3,945	3,885	3,830	3,778	3,7
Age 15 thru 19	5,288	5,377	5,450	5,485	5,501	5,537	5,614	5,689	5,766	5,850	5,937	6,0
Age 20 thru 24	4,737	4,874	5,032	5,180	5,297	5,461	5,645	5,787	5,908	6,025	6,129	6,2
Age 25 thru 29	4,308	4,458	4,624	4,797	4,983	5,213	5,490	5,757	5,992	6,214	6,458	6,7
Age 30 thru 34	3,336	3,294	3,254	3,201	3,134	3,095	3,073	3,038	2,994	2,944	2,900	2,8
Age 35 thru 39	3,786	3,700	3,600	3,478	3,344	3,238	3,158	3,074	2,985	2,895	2,809	2,7
Age 40 thru 44	5,197	5,253	5,291	5,297	5,275	5,276	5,313	5,329	5,344	5,358	5,375	5,3
Age 45 thru 49	5,538	5,642	5,720	5,739	5,680	5,579	5,505	5,435	5,375	5,320	5,236	5,1
Age 43 thru 54	4,956	5,124	5,279	5,387	5,423	5,422	5,470	5,534	5,601	5,648	5,665	5,6

Appendix L ( Kansas Popul		rojecti	ons. by	Count	tv. hv A	ge Co	hort fo	r 2007	throng	h 2018		
ixansas i opui	iation i	Tojecu	ons, by	Count	iy, by 1.	ige co	101610	2007	in oug	11 2010		
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
<b>Butler County (cont</b>	t'd)											
Age 55 thru 59	3,888	4,049	4,205	4,341	4,441	4,529	4,623	4,723	4,825	4,909	4,975	5,030
Age 60 thru 64	2,399	2,404	2,402	2,379	2,330	2,276	2,236	2,194	2,151	2,103	2,048	1,988
Age 65 thru 84	6,810	6,946	7,059	7,112	7,144	7,321	7,531	7,714	7,913	8111	8,328	8,552
Age 85 & Older	1,128	1,157	1,179	1,190	1,195	1,225	1,266	1,305	1,344	1,383	1,424	1,467
Chase County												
Total Population	3,098	3,108	3,114	3,122	3,129	3,137	3,146	3,152	3,161	3,170	3,179	3,189
Age 0 thru 4	253	261	269	279	289	298	300	300	304	309	313	317
Age 5 thru 9	164	161	157	153	149	144	141	137	134	130	125	121
Age 10 thru 14	171	165	158	152	145	138	132	125	119	113	107	102
Age 15 thru 19	204	202	199	196	192	188	186	183	179	176	171	167
Age 20 thru 24	254	277	304	333	365	403	438	480	522	565	612	663
Age 25 thru 29	137	134	131	127	123	120	120	118	115	112	109	105
Age 30 thru 34	163	161	160	159	158	156	153	151	148	145	142	139
Age 35 thru 39	140	132	123	113	103	95	87	80	74	68	62	57
Age 40 thru 44	271	275	278	281	284	285	287	289	290	291	291	291
Age 45 thru 49	243	247	249	251	253	252	253	251	250	249	248	246
Age 50 thru 54	217	219	222	223	222	221	221	221	222	221	221	219
Age 55 thru 59	203	208	211	214	217	220	221	223	224	225	227	227
Age 60 thru 64	158	159	159	160	161	162	164	165	165	165	164	163
Age 65 thru 84	419	407	395	382	370	357	344	330	317	304	291	278
Age 85 & Older	101	100	99	99	98	98	99	99	98	97	96	94
Chautauqua Count	v											
Total Population	4,074	4,055	4,032	4,012	3,985	3,956	3,927	3,894	3,862	3,832	3,802	3,775
Age 0 thru 4	165	162	157	153	148	144	140	135	130	125	120	115
Age 5 thru 9	172	163	154	144	134	125	116	108	100	93	86	79
Age 10 thru 14	252	244	234	224	213	202	191	181	171	162	152	143
Age 15 thru 19	308	308	305	302	297	291	287	282	278	272	266	259
Age 20 thru 24	331	361	395	434	479	525	568	612	655	703	756	812
Age 25 thru 29	172	173	174	176	179	181	183	184	184	183	183	182
Age 30 thru 34	130	122	115	108	100	94	87	81	75	70	65	60
Age 35 thru 39	186	178	169	160	151	141	133	124	117	109	102	95
Age 40 thru 44	292	291	289	287	283	279	274	268	262	257	250	244
Age 45 thru 49	305	309	314	319	324	328	331	331	331	331	331	330
Age 50 thru 54	255	251	247	243	236	229	224	220	216	210	203	197
Age 55 thru 59	325	336	346	357	366	375	381	389	397	404	410	415
Age 60 thru 64	217	211	203	194	184	173	163	152	397 141	133	125	117
Age 65 thru 84	770	752	736	717	697	677	658	639	619	597	574	551
Age 85 & Older	194	194	194	194	194	192	191	188	186	183	179	176
Charakas Count												
Cherokee County	21 570	21.570	21 540	21 511	21 442	21 262	21 267	21 167	21.065	20.000	20.000	20.002
Total Population	21,578	21,570	21,548	21,511	21,443	21,363	21,267	21,167	21,065	20,969	20,880	20,803
Age 0 thru 4	1,286	1,271	1,252	1,228	1,200	1,176	1,148	1,124	1,101	1,078	1,055	1,032
Age 5 thru 9	1,427	1,410	1,392	1,372	1,351	1,329	1,310	1,287	1,264	1,242	1,220	1,198
Age 10 thru 14	1,460	1,434	1,408	1,380	1,350	1,320	1,289	1,256	1,224	1,194	1,165	1,137

Kansas Popul		rojectio	ons, by	Count	y, by A	ge Col	ort for	2007 1	hrough	1 2018		
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
<b>Cherokee County (</b>	cont'd)											
Age 15 thru 19	1,526	1,510	1,491	1,469	1,446	1,424	1,399	1,375	1,352	1,329	1,306	1,283
Age 20 thru 24	1,179	1,180	1,179	1,175	1,167	1,149	1,127	1,107	1,085	1,072	1,059	1,046
Age 25 thru 29	1,447	1,464	1,485	1,510	1,538	1,572	1,616	1,652	1,682	1,705	1,730	1,758
Age 30 thru 34	1,111	1,081	1,050	1,017	981	944	906	871	839	808	778	749
Age 35 thru 39	1,396	1,369	1,339	1,308	1,276	1,246	1,215	1,185	1,154	1,124	1,095	1,066
Age 40 thru 44	1,606	1,604	1,601	1,597	1,592	1,581	1,572	1,564	1,555	1,545	1,534	1,524
Age 45 thru 49	1,632	1,650	1,671	1,694	1,714	1,730	1,738	1,745	1,754	1,763	1,773	1,784
Age 50 thru 54	1,580	1,617	1,654	1,689	1,718	1,741	1,767	1,797	1,828	1859	1,889	1,919
Age 55 thru 59	1,439	1,483	1,523	1,563	1,598	1,639	1,678	1,720	1,758	1,798	1,839	1,881
Age 60 thru 64	1,196	1,215	1,234	1,253	1,272	1,289	1,303	1,313	1,325	1,337	1,350	1,364
Age 65 thru 84	2,775	2,759	2,743	2,727	2,708	2,688	2,663	2,633	2,605	2,575	2,546	2,520
Age 85 & Older	518	523	526	529	532	535	536	538	539	540	541	542
<b>Cheyenne County</b>												
Total Population	2,904	2,887	2,867	2,843	2,821	2,797	2,769	2,745	2,716	2,685	2,657	2,629
Age 0 thru 4	109	105	101	97	93	88	82	78	74	70	66	62
Age 5 thru 9	133	127	121	114	107	100	94	89	83	78	72	67
Age 10 thru 14	190	185	179	172	166	160	154	147	140	133	127	121
Age 15 thru 19	203	201	199	196	192	187	182	177	171	167	162	157
Age 20 thru 24	170	183	197	213	232	252	275	297	319	340	363	388
Age 25 thru 29	63	59	55	50	46	42	38	35	32	29	26	24
Age 30 thru 34	101	96	91	85	79	73	67	61	56	52	48	44
Age 35 thru 39	167	163	159	155	151	148	144	142	137	133	128	123
Age 40 thru 44	191	186	180	173	165	159	150	143	137	130	124	117
Age 45 thru 49	259	263	268	272	275	275	274	274	273	272	271	270
Age 50 thru 54	236	244	251	258	265	271	278	286	294	299	304	309
Age 55 thru 59	198	202	206	210	215	219	222	223	223	225	226	226
Age 60 thru 64	155	157	159	163	168	173	177	181	185	186	188	190
Age 65 thru 84	550	532	513	492	470	448	425	401	379	358	338	318
Age 85 & Older	179	184	188	193	197	202	207	211	213	213	214	213
Clark County												
Total Population	2,267	2,259	2,248	2,233	2,222	2,208	2,190	2,176	2,160	2,146	2,130	2,115
Age 0 thru 4	102	99	95	91	87	81	76	72	67	64	60	56
Age 5 thru 9	131	127	123	117	112	106	101	96	92	87	82	78
Age 10 thru 14	166	164	162	159	156	153	150	148	145	141	137	133
Age 15 thru 19	155	152	148	144	138	133	128	122	118	113	108	103
Age 20 thru 24	150	166	184	206	231	255	278	303	328	357	388	421
Age 25 thru 29	74	71	68	64	61	58	55	52	48	46	43	40
Age 30 thru 34	95	91	88	84	81	77	73	69	66	62	59	55
Age 35 thru 39	104	99	94	89	83	78	74	70	66	62	58	54
Age 40 thru 44	157	154	150	146	142	138	133	128	123	118	113	108
Age 45 thru 49	184	186	188	190	191	192	192	191	190	190	188	186
Age 50 thru 54	170	172	173	173	173	172	171	170	170	169	167	165
Age 55 thru 59	169	175	181	186	193	201	208	216	222	227	232	237
Age 60 thru 64	110	109	107	105	103	100	208 96	92	88	85	82	79
Age oo uiru o4	110	109	107	105	103	100	90	92	88	83	82	19

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Clark County (cont	'd)											
Age 65 thru 84	389	383	376	368	360	352	343	334	324	313	302	291
Age 85 & Older	111	111	111	111	111	112	112	113	113	112	111	109
Clay County												
Total Population	8,567	8,522	8,481	8,438	8,393	8,339	8,294	8,255	8,215	8,175	8,137	8,101
Age 0 thru 4	400	390	380	369	358	346	334	322	311	301	290	280
Age 5 thru 9	414	396	378	360	341	323	306	292	277	263	249	236
Age 10 thru 14	523	504	484	463	442	421	401	380	362	345	328	312
Age 15 thru 19	594	588	581	572	562	551	541	530	519	509	499	488
Age 20 thru 24	631	662	697	737	780	820	858	898	939	981	1,025	1,071
Age 25 thru 29	481	484	489	495	503	515	530	549	562	569	577	585
Age 30 thru 34	406	393	381	370	359	346	335	324	313	301	290	279
Age 35 thru 39	418	398	378	358	339	320	303	288	273	258	244	230
Age 40 thru 44	580	571	560	549	536	522	508	496	483	471	458	445
Age 45 thru 49	664	664	661	656	648	638	627	615	605	597	588	578
Age 50 thru 54	748	774	801	828	853	881	911	941	971	999	1,028	1,056
Age 55 thru 59	592	610	629	647	667	683	700	715	729	745	761	777
Age 60 thru 64	425	426	428	430	432	434	433	433	433	432	430	429
Age 65 thru 84	1,295	1,261	1,229	1,193	1,156	1,117	1,079	1,040	1,003	968	933	898
Age 85 & Older	396	401	405	411	417	422	428	432	435	436	437	437
Cloud County												
Total Population	9,596	9,515	9,427	9,338	9,254	9,168	9,080	8,995	8,902	8,813	8,728	8,647
Age 0 thru 4	521	519	518	517	517	518	518	518	516	513	510	507
Age 5 thru 9	437	419	401	383	366	349	332	316	301	286	272	259
Age 10 thru 14	524	506	486	466	446	427	409	390	372	355	339	324
Age 15 thru 19	806	793	775	756	736	717	698	681	663	646	629	611
Age 20 thru 24	786	812	838	866	896	921	946	970	993	1,018	1,044	1,070
Age 25 thru 29	529	535	544	556	573	591	610	628	645	656	668	682
Age 30 thru 34	340	321	304	286	268	251	234	220	206	193	181	169
Age 35 thru 39	508	492	476	458	440	423	406	390	375	360	345	331
Age 40 thru 44	629	621	613	605	597	588	578	567	554	544	533	522
Age 45 thru 49	685	689	693	696	698	700	701	702	702	701	700	699
Age 50 thru 54	674	681	686	690	691	690	689	690	691	692	692	691
Age 55 thru 59	653	674	696	718	741	767	791	816	838	860	883	907
Age 60 thru 64	456	450	444	436	428	420	412	402	392	384	375	366
Age 65 thru 84	1,615	1,580	1,542	1,506	1,472	1,436	1,399	1,363	1,325	1,288	1,251	1,215
Age 85 & Older	433	423	411	399	385	370	357	342	329	317	306	294
Coffey County												
Total Population	8,719	8,727	8,729	8,728	8,724	8,718	8,709	8,704	8,696	8,684	8,672	8,662
Age 0 thru 4	493	490	485	479	473	466	460	454	447	438	430	420
Age 5 thru 9	476	460	443	425	407	391	372	353	336	320	304	288
Age 10 thru 14	576	562	548	532	516	499	482	353 467	451	435	419	403
Age 15 thru 19	583	570	554	536	517	496	474	454	434	417	399	382
11gc 13 unu 13	363	370	334	330	905	+20	7/4	+5+	+5+	+1/	377	362

Kansas Popu	lation P	rojecti	ons, by	/ Count	ty, by A	Age Col	hort fo	r 2007	throug	h 2018		
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Coffey County (cor	ıt'd)											
Age 25 thru 29	447	444	440	437	435	435	433	431	428	422	416	410
Age 30 thru 34	430	419	410	402	392	381	370	357	345	333	321	309
Age 35 thru 39	462	439	415	392	367	346	327	308	290	273	255	239
Age 40 thru 44	690	686	679	670	660	647	632	617	603	590	576	561
Age 45 thru 49	747	755	761	764	764	763	761	760	755	752	748	742
Age 50 thru 54	783	817	853	890	926	957	991	1,025	1,060	1,094	1,127	1,160
Age 55 thru 59	607	630	652	673	692	716	738	762	785	805	825	845
Age 60 thru 64	464	473	483	493	503	515	525	535	543	549	555	560
Age 65 thru 84	1,035	1,013	990	968	946	920	895	870	843	816	788	761
Age 85 & Older	243	239	234	227	221	216	211	207	202	196	190	184
Comanche County												
Total Population	1,888	1,866	1,848	1,827	1,807	1,784	1,766	1,751	1,735	1,718	1,700	1,682
Age 0 thru 4	66	62	58	54	50	46	42	38	36	33	30	28
Age 5 thru 9	106	103	100	97	95	92	90	88	85	83	80	78
Age 10 thru 14	108	105	101	97	94	90	86	82	78	75	72	68
Age 15 thru 19	121	121	121	122	122	122	123	122	121	121	120	120
Age 20 thru 24	105	112	121	131	141	149	159	171	182	193	206	219
Age 25 thru 29	73	70	68	65	62	60	59	58	56	54	52	50
Age 30 thru 34	100	100	101	103	106	107	109	110	112	112	113	114
Age 35 thru 39	81	76	72	68	63	60	57	54	52	49	46	43
Age 40 thru 44	124	123	121	119	117	114	110	108	104	102	99	96
Age 45 thru 49	136	135	135	134	133	131	130	129	129	127	126	124
Age 50 thru 54	143	144	144	143	141	141	141	141	141	141	140	139
Age 55 thru 59	145	146	147	148	149	150	149	149	149	149	149	149
Age 60 thru 64	128	128	128	127	127	125	124	124	123	122	121	119
Age 65 thru 84	360	350	340	329	318	310	303	295	287	278	269	260
Age 85 & Older	92	91	91	90	89	87	84	82	80	79	77	75
<b>Cowley County</b>												
Total Population	35,093	34,976	34,842	34,694	34,521	34,341	34,158	33,988	33,825	33,662	33,509	33,320
Age 0 thru 4	2,220	2,207	2,192	2,176	2,157	2,140	2,117	2,097	2,074	2,053	2,031	2,007
Age 5 thru 9	2,100	2,047	1,991	1,932	1,871	1,811	1,753	1,700	1,649	1,599	1,549	1,498
Age 10 thru 14	2,378	2,331	2,283	2,232	2,181	2,128	2,072	2,018	1,967	1,918	1,870	1,820
Age 15 thru 19	2,857	2,835	2,802	2,764	2,723	2,685	2,648	2,612	2,577	2,543	2,507	2,467
Age 20 thru 24	2,831	2,910	2,993	3,080	3,169	3,246	3,305	3,370	3,436	3511	3,590	3,665
Age 25 thru 29	2,049	2,038	2,031	2,028	2,030	2,041	2,043	2,046	2,040	2,032	2,025	2,017
Age 30 thru 34	1,870	1,828	1,791	1,757	1,720	1,684	1,647	1,612	1,575	1,537	1,500	1,463
Age 35 thru 39	1,877	1,798	1,718	1,636	1,556	1,482	1,411	1,345	1,282	1,221	1,162	1,105
Age 40 thru 44	2,561	2,543	2,522	2,499	2,473	2,439	2,406	2,371	2,340	2,311	2,281	2,248
Age 45 thru 49	2,665	2,687	2,708	2,727	2,742	2,749	2,758	2,768	2,781	2,791	2,800	2,805
Age 50 thru 54	2,574	2,631	2,689	2,748	2,802	2,859	2,928	2,997	3,060	3,121	3,184	3,242
Age 55 thru 59	2,150	2,200	2,247	2,292	2,337	2,387	2,435	2,476	2,527	2,573	2,620	2,664
Age 60 thru 64	1,690	1,704	1,719	1,733	1,747	1,760	1,778	1,794	1,804	1,813	1,823	1,831
Age 65 thru 84	4,305	4,244	4,178	4,107	4,027	3,943	3,867	3,791	3,718	3,644	3,572	3,494
Age 85 & Older	966	973	978	983	986	987	990	991	995	995	995	994

Kansas Popul	lation P	rojecti	ons, by	Count	ty, by A	Age Col	hort fo	r 2007	throug	h 2018		
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Crawford County												
Total Population	38,570	38,717	38,836	38,938	39,009	39,075	39,150	39,229	39,297	39,368	39,444	39,542
Age 0 thru 4	2,497	2,514	2,526	2,533	2,533	2,527	2,520	2,510	2,500	2,495	2,488	2,480
Age 5 thru 9	2,231	2,218	2,204	2,190	2,175	2,164	2,150	2,138	2,119	2,097	2,076	2,055
Age 10 thru 14	2,018	1,975	1,929	1,881	1,831	1,781	1,731	1,682	1,635	1,588	1,542	1,497
Age 15 thru 19	2,822	2,781	2,724	2,657	2,582	2,515	2,453	2,394	2,337	2,282	2,223	2,164
Age 20 thru 24	4,956	5,061	5,157	5,239	5,304	5,332	5,335	5,345	5,364	5410	5,450	5,485
Age 25 thru 29	3,782	3,942	4,124	4,335	4,578	4,855	5,174	5,473	5,761	6,031	6,321	6,634
Age 30 thru 34	2,095	2,072	2,052	2,035	2,014	1,988	1,961	1,932	1,904	1,873	1,843	1,814
Age 35 thru 39	1,942	1,890	1,836	1,781	1,727	1,675	1,626	1,576	1,528	1,479	1,430	1,383
Age 40 thru 44	2,208	2,174	2,136	2,093	2,046	1,997	1,947	1,904	1,861	1,818	1,774	1,731
Age 45 thru 49	2,524	2,548	2,569	2,584	2,594	2,596	2,597	2,598	2,597	2,600	2,601	2,601
Age 50 thru 54	2,327	2,366	2,401	2,430	2,448	2,465	2,489	2,511	2,537	2,562	2,583	2,602
Age 55 thru 59	2,110	2,178	2,241	2,302	2,361	2,426	2,485	2,550	2,619	2,683	2,747	2,812
Age 60 thru 64	1,574	1,587	1,600	1,613	1,625	1,638	1,641	1,641	1,638	1,640	1,642	1,644
Age 65 thru 84	4,250	4,164	4,078	3,993	3,907	3,824	3,740	3,664	3,580	3,490	3,402	3,316
Age 85 & Older	1,234	1,247	1,259	1,272	1,284	1,292	1,301	1,311	1,317	1,320	1,322	1,324
Decatur County												
Total Population	3,084	3,032	2,984	2,941	2,894	2,847	2,796	2,742	2,692	2,640	2,586	2,533
Age 0 thru 4	128	123	118	114	109	104	99	94	90	85	80	75
Age 5 thru 9	126	117	109	102	94	87	80	74	67	61	56	51
Age 10 thru 14	176	167	157	148	139	130	120	111	103	95	88	81
Age 15 thru 19	238	236	234	231	227	221	216	210	204	199	193	187
Age 20 thru 24	185	197	211	228	248	270	290	310	331	351	371	393
Age 25 thru 29	68	62	57	52	47	43	39	35	31	28	25	22
Age 30 thru 34	88	80	73	67	61	55	49	45	40	36	33	29
Age 35 thru 39	148	140	132	125	117	109	102	94	87	81	75	69
Age 40 thru 44	210	203	196	187	177	167	157	147	139	131	123	115
Age 45 thru 49	278	280	282	283	284	282	280	276	273	270	266	261
Age 50 thru 54	271	279	287	297	306	314	323	329	335	340	345	349
Age 55 thru 59	188	187	186	185	183	184	183	182	182	179	176	173
Age 60 thru 64	179	179	179	180	181	181	181	181	180	178	175	173
Age 65 thru 84	646	628	611	592	573	553	533	512	491	470	448	427
Age 85 & Older	155	154	152	150	148	147	144	142	139	136	132	128
Dickinson County												
Total Population	19,254	19,257	19,254	19,238	19,217	19,189	19,166	19,156	19,145	19,129	19,131	19,128
Age 0 thru 4	1,012	1,000	986	971	954	937	920	904	889	872	855	838
Age 5 thru 9	1,039	1,010	979	945	910	876	844	816	787	758	731	703
Age 10 thru 14	1,254	1,222	1,188	1,150	1,113	1,073	1,036	1,000	966	933	901	869
Age 15 thru 19	1,407	1,405	1,401	1,393	1,385	1,368	1,348	1,331	1,315	1,302	1,288	1,273
Age 20 thru 24	1,265	1,328	1,396	1,473	1,556	1,656	1,751	1,844	1,935	2028	2,129	2,235
Age 25 thru 29	1,036	1,037	1,041	1,048	1,058	1,073	1,085	1,094	1,106	1,109	1,113	1,118
Age 30 thru 34	881	855	831	807	782	756	729	701	676	652	629	606
Age 35 thru 39	1,049	1,007	962	915	867	824	788	753	717	683	650	618
Age 40 thru 44	1,538	1,536	1,531	1,522	1,508	1,486	1,461	1,443	1,429	1,414	1,398	1,380

	200=	2000	2000	2010	0011	2012	2012	2011	2015	2011	2017	0040
Dickinson County	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Age 45 thru 49	1,640	1,673	1,706	1,738	1,766	1,790	1,819	1,849	1,876	1,901	1,927	1,953
Age 50 thru 54	1,481	1,525	1,573	1,621	1,669	1,713	1,758	1,800	1,839	1,884	1,931	1,933
Age 55 thru 59	1,223	1,255	1,285	1,314	1,342	1,713	1,403	1,438	1,475	1,505	1,536	1,566
-	954	957	960	961	962	961	959	954	947	943	940	935
Age 60 thru 64 Age 65 thru 84												
Age 85 & Older	2,894 581	2,869 578	2,842 573	2,812 568	2,782 563	2,746 557	2,710 555	2,673 556	2,635 553	2,597 548	2,560 543	2,521 537
Daniahan Camata												
Doniphan County	7 715	7 657	7.506	7 527	7 116	7 274	7.210	7 267	7.210	7 170	7 1 1 5	7 115
Total Population	7,715 380	7,657 371	7,596 360	7,527 348	7,446 334	7,374 321	7,319 309	7,267 299	7,219 289	7,179 279	7,145 269	7,115 260
Age 0 thru 4												
Age 5 thru 9	473	463	454	443	433	423	416	410	404	399	396	392
Age 10 thru 14	519	511	503	494	486	479	474	470	465	462	460	458
Age 15 thru 19	761	756	750	743	737	732	730	731	735	738	743	748
Age 20 thru 24	489	475	463	452	438	426	412	396	379	364	350	338
Age 25 thru 29	432	429	427	426	428	431	436	437	437	438	441	444
Age 30 thru 34	390	380	371	364	358	352	346	340	334	328	323	319
Age 35 thru 39	425	411	399	387	377	368	361	354	346	338	330	324
Age 40 thru 44	493	481	468	454	441	428	417	406	395	386	378	370
Age 45 thru 49	645	648	648	647	640	629	620	612	605	599	590	580
Age 50 thru 54	629	639	648	655	656	653	651	650	652	653	652	650
Age 55 thru 59	512	526	541	556	568	575	581	590	598	607	615	622
Age 60 thru 64	343	341	339	337	333	328	323	318	313	308	302	296
Age 65 thru 84	1,009	1,007	1,003	996	989	996	1,004	1,009	1,016	1,023	1,032	1,043
Age 85 & Older	215	219	222	225	228	233	239	245	251	257	264	271
<b>Douglas County</b>												
Total Population	104,385	105,572	106,566	107,276	107,932	108,964	109,957	110,741	111,444	112,048	112,644	113,250
Age 0 thru 4	5,908	5,985	6,052	6,099	6,140	6,195	6,240	6,264	6,276	6,276	6,271	6,264
Age 5 thru 9	4,968	4,973	4,967	4,946	4,932	4,929	4,938	4,952	4,964	4,973	4,990	5,005
Age 10 thru 14	4,964	4,958	4,940	4,901	4,865	4,840	4,813	4,788	4,766	4,755	4,750	4,740
Age 15 thru 19	9,864	9,947	10,005	10,033	10,086	10,209	10,354	10,490	10,621	10,740	10,878	11,012
Age 20 thru 24	16,244	16,352	16,454	16,526	16,565	16,672	16,758	16,771	16,748	16739	16,712	16,730
Age 25 thru 29	13,599	14,088	14,601	15,151	15,792	16,515	17,243	17,909	18,515	19,067	19,661	20,273
Age 30 thru 34	7,049	6,985	6,915	6,833	6,742	6,671	6,585	6,472	6,336	6,204	6,079	5,953
Age 35 thru 39	5,709	5,531	5,333	5,120	4,913	4,740	4,578	4,408	4,241	4,061	3,889	3,719
Age 40 thru 44	6,002	5,889	5,746	5,581	5,408	5,256	5,088	4,909	4,729	4,568	4,410	4,249
Age 45 thru 49	6,469	6,496	6,487	6,430	6,312	6,147	5,976	5,817	5,673	5,523	5,342	5,148
Age 50 thru 54	6,496	6,697	6,869	6,997	7,057	7,024	7,013	7,018	7,034	7,034	6,989	6,917
Age 55 thru 59	5,376	5,650	5,920	6,184	6,429	6,631	6,795	6,972	7,156	7,321	7,451	7,563
Age 60 thru 64	3,204	3,265	3,322	3,368	3,398	3,409	3,416	3,415	3,409	3,388	3,352	3,308
Age 65 thru 84	7,343	7,527	7,690	7,811	7,959	8,319	8,678	9,004	9,349	9,698	10,086	10,497
Age 85 & Older	1,190	1,229	1,265	1,296	1,334	1,407	1,482	1,552	1,627	1,701	1,784	1,872
Edwards County												
Total Population	3,228	3,198	3,169	3,134	3,102	3,069	3,038	3,009	2,976	2,946	2,915	2,887
Age 0 thru 4	200	198	197	196	194	192	190	187	185	183	180	177

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Edwards County (c		_000	_00>	_010			_020		_020	_010		2020
Age 5 thru 9	182	177	172	167	162	157	151	146	140	136	131	126
Age 10 thru 14	194	187	179	171	163	156	150	144	136	130	124	118
Age 15 thru 19	231	228	225	220	215	209	203	198	193	188	184	179
Age 20 thru 24	201	209	220	231	244	258	269	284	296	309	322	336
Age 25 thru 29	113	107	101	95	89	83	79	74	71	66	62	59
Age 30 thru 34	157	152	149	145	141	137	134	130	125	121	117	113
Age 35 thru 39	188	182	177	171	165	160	156	150	145	140	135	130
Age 40 thru 44	243	240	236	230	224	217	210	204	197	192	186	181
Age 45 thru 49	285	292	297	302	306	310	314	319	323	327	330	334
Age 50 thru 54	258	265	273	282	292	302	312	320	329	337	345	354
Age 55 thru 59	193	196	199	201	204	206	208	211	213	214	216	217
Age 60 thru 64	157	154	150	145	141	136	132	128	125	121	117	113
Age 65 thru 84	498	482	466	450	433	417	401	386	371	356	342	327
Age 85 & Older	128	129	128	128	129	129	129	128	127	126	124	123
Elk County												
Total Population	3,050	3,040	3,024	3,004	2,988	2,965	2,942	2,912	2,886	2,863	2,842	2,819
Age 0 thru 4	128	126	125	123	122	119	115	112	109	106	103	100
Age 5 thru 9	119	114	108	101	95	90	84	79	74	70	65	61
Age 10 thru 14	168	163	156	149	141	133	126	118	112	106	101	95
Age 15 thru 19	220	220	220	219	218	216	213	208	204	201	198	195
Age 20 thru 24	193	202	213	224	236	246	256	264	273	283	294	304
Age 25 thru 29	202	212	223	238	256	275	294	315	336	354	374	395
Age 30 thru 34	116	113	110	106	103	99	95	91	87	84	80	77
Age 35 thru 39	134	129	123	117	111	104	99	94	89	84	79	75
Age 40 thru 44	203	203	202	201	199	198	197	194	191	188	186	183
Age 45 thru 49	221	221	220	218	216	212	207	203	198	194	190	186
Age 50 thru 54	222	223	223	222	221	219	218	217	216	214	212	210
Age 55 thru 59	226	229	232	234	236	238	239	237	236	237	237	236
Age 60 thru 64	199	199	198	197	196	195	197	197	197	195	194	192
Age 65 thru 84	566	555	543	531	518	505	491	477	462	448	434	419
Age 85 & Older	133	131	128	124	120	116	111	106	102	99	95	91
Ellis County												
Total Population	26,870	26,908	26,934	26,939	26,925	26,908	26,856	26,797	26,739	26,692	26,644	26,602
Age 0 thru 4	1,621	1,622	1,622	1,621	1,618	1,617	1,610	1,599	1,584	1,570	1,555	1,540
Age 5 thru 9	1,303	1,269	1,237	1,204	1,173	1,142	1,107	1,071	1,039	1,003	969	935
Age 10 thru 14	1,196	1,138	1,079	1,020	959	904	850	800	752	707	663	622
Age 15 thru 19	2,077	2,027	1,966	1,898	1,825	1,758	1,691	1,625	1,563	1,504	1,444	1,384
Age 20 thru 24	3,994	4,081	4,157	4,219	4,263	4,265	4,251	4,240	4,257	4276	4288	4,293
Age 25 thru 29	2,685	2,795	2,925	3,077	3,256	3,458	3,661	3,860	4,025	4,194	4,374	4,565
Age 30 thru 34	1,255	1,217	1,183	1,150	1,117	1,083	1,049	1,014	974	937	901	866
Age 35 thru 39	1,258	1,199	1,140	1,082	1,025	972	922	871	825	779	734	692
Age 40 thru 44	1,515	1,474	1,429	1,379	1,326	1,273	1,221	1,173	1,124	1,079	1,034	989
Age 45 thru 49	1,859	1,863	1,862	1,851	1,832	1,805	1,775	1,746	1,720	1,698	1,673	1,645
Age 50 thru 54	1,933	2,008	2,084	2,162	2,237	2,316	2,393	2,469	2,548	2,623	2,698	2,773

	2005	2000	2000	2010	2011	2012	2012	2014	2015	2016	2015	2010
Ellis County (cont'o	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Age 55 thru 59	1,428	1,478	1,530	1,585	1,643	1,704	1,764	1,818	1,872	1,923	1,975	2,027
Age 60 thru 64	901	894	886	875	864	854	842	830	816	801	786	771
Age 65 thru 84	3,232	3,222	3,208	3,187	3,158	3,128	3,094	3,058	3,020	2,979	2,934	2,888
Age 85 & Older	613	621	626	629	629	629	626	623	620	619	616	612
Ellsworth County												
Total Population	6,303	6,287	6,264	6,245	6,225	6,204	6,182	6,160	6,138	6,111	6,089	6,067
Age 0 thru 4	211	204	197	190	183	176	168	159	151	144	137	131
Age 5 thru 9	244	234	223	213	202	193	184	176	168	160	152	144
Age 10 thru 14	312	299	285	271	256	243	229	217	204	193	182	172
Age 15 thru 19	442	438	432	425	418	408	399	389	380	372	364	355
Age 20 thru 24	525	549	579	613	651	688	727	766	802	838	878	919
Age 25 thru 29	311	303	296	289	283	277	272	265	258	251	244	236
Age 30 thru 34	344	338	333	328	324	321	317	312	306	299	292	286
Age 35 thru 39	378	366	353	339	325	311	297	286	277	265	253	242
Age 40 thru 44	441	432	420	407	391	376	361	347	334	322	310	297
Age 45 thru 49	611	626	639	653	665	674	682	690	696	704	712	719
Age 50 thru 54	517	534	550	567	582	596	611	625	640	655	669	683
Age 55 thru 59	437	452	466	480	495	512	526	540	557	570	584	598
Age 60 thru 64	332	334	336	338	341	341	340	338	336	334	333	331
Age 65 thru 84	968	951	933	915	898	882	866	849	832	811	791	771
Age 85 & Older	230	227	222	217	211	206	203	201	197	193	188	183
Finney County												
Total Population	39,740	40,073	40,392	40,693	40,974	41,236	41,463	41,638	41,757	41,833	41,940	42,113
Age 0 thru 4	3,703	3,685	3,655	3,613	3,561	3,500	3,437	3,374	3,307	3,239	3,170	3,103
Age 5 thru 9	3,763	3,776	3,780	3,774	3,761	3,746	3,724	3,693	3,652	3,611	3,570	3,530
Age 10 thru 14	3,562	3,578	3,594	3,605	3,613	3,622	3,616	3,606	3,589	3,563	3,536	3,513
Age 15 thru 19	3,346	3,353	3,349	3,340	3,328	3,316	3,302	3,278	3,246	3,211	3,174	3,139
Age 20 thru 24	2,347	2,311	2,267	2,213	2,145	2,078	2,024	1,970	1,909	1848	1787	1,729
Age 25 thru 29	1,972	1,877	1,778	1,678	1,576	1,479	1,386	1,298	1,218	1,140	1,065	996
Age 30 thru 34	2,414	2,353	2,288	2,216	2,134	2,050	1,968	1,885	1,799	1,722	1,648	1,577
Age 35 thru 39	3,000	2,985	2,968	2,950	2,930	2,897	2,867	2,835	2,796	2,748	2,702	2,658
Age 40 thru 44	3,242	3,301	3,358	3,415	3,468	3,521	3,567	3,601	3,629	3,650	3,672	3,695
Age 45 thru 49	2,999	3,088	3,180	3,274	3,369	3,455	3,533	3,598	3,663	3,724	3,786	3,852
Age 50 thru 54	2,732	2,884	3,051	3,227	3,411	3,598	3,782	3,969	4,155	4,341	4,537	4,744
Age 55 thru 59	2,146	2,276	2,415	2,568	2,735	2,911	3,085	3,265	3,447	3,621	3,806	4,007
Age 60 thru 64	1,429	1,491	1,559	1,631	1,710	1,788	1,866	1,938	2,006	2,072	2,141	2,217
Age 65 thru 84	2,673	2,694	2,719	2,748	2,781	2,812	2,833	2,846	2,852	2,849	2,846	2,847
Age 85 & Older	412	421	431	441	452	463	473	482	489	494	500	506
Ford County												
Total Population	34,591	35,001	35,428	35,812	36,182	36,545	36,921	37,274	37,628	37,964	38,330	38,724
Age 0 thru 4	3,375	3,448	3,518	3,580	3,639	3,704	3,766	3,831	3,892	3,954	4,019	4,085
Age 5 thru 9	2,953	2,991	3,026	3,051	3,073	3,095	3,119	3,142	3,167	3,189	3,212	3,236
Age 10 thru 14	2,832	2,865	2,901	2,933	2,965	2,992	3,020	3,043	3,064	3,086	3,111	3,137

Kansas Popu	iauon r	rojecu	ons, by	Count	ty, by A	ige Col	HOLT 101	2007	unroug	11 2010		
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Ford County (cont	'd)											
Age 15 thru 19	2,587	2,590	2,587	2,577	2,567	2,564	2,563	2,562	2,560	2,554	2,547	2,540
Age 20 thru 24	2,421	2,432	2,439	2,434	2,418	2,402	2,386	2,356	2,335	2322	2309	2,296
Age 25 thru 29	2,721	2,748	2,775	2,803	2,830	2,859	2,892	2,922	2,947	2,968	2,992	3,017
Age 30 thru 34	2,542	2,566	2,590	2,609	2,619	2,628	2,638	2,646	2,649	2,656	2,664	2,674
Age 35 thru 39	2,479	2,491	2,508	2,522	2,538	2,552	2,573	2,588	2,604	2,612	2,622	2,634
Age 40 thru 44	2,441	2,475	2,512	2,550	2,588	2,627	2,661	2,693	2,725	2,755	2,787	2,821
Age 45 thru 49	2,122	2,156	2,191	2,224	2,255	2,277	2,299	2,325	2,348	2,373	2,398	2,425
Age 50 thru 54	2,071	2,150	2,236	2,324	2,412	2,498	2,589	2,680	2,780	2,877	2,980	3,087
Age 55 thru 59	1,603	1,669	1,740	1,818	1,903	1,994	2,082	2,174	2,270	2,361	2,459	2,564
Age 60 thru 64	1,109	1,120	1,134	1,149	1,166	1,179	1,194	1,206	1,216	1,225	1,236	1,249
Age 65 thru 84	2,826	2,792	2,764	2,734	2,708	2,678	2,647	2,617	2,586	2,550	2,516	2,484
Age 85 & Older	509	508	507	504	501	496	492	489	485	482	478	475
Franklin County												
Total Population	26,756	27,008	27,172	27,276	27,344	27,423	27,519	27,607	27,671	27,694	27,709	27,744
Age 0 thru 4	1,692	1,694	1,691	1,683	1,671	1,656	1,636	1,616	1,594	1,571	1,547	1,523
Age 5 thru 9	1,626	1,605	1,576	1,541	1,505	1,476	1,453	1,435	1,415	1,393	1,372	1,351
Age 10 thru 14	1,805	1,787	1,761	1,727	1,691	1,660	1,629	1,599	1,571	1,547	1,524	1,501
Age 15 thru 19	2,061	2,084	2,098	2,105	2,112	2,117	2,128	2,144	2,166	2,183	2,202	2,219
Age 20 thru 24	2,094	2,126	2,160	2,198	2,231	2,252	2,261	2,251	2,228	2210	2,189	2,182
Age 25 thru 29	2,068	2,138	2,213	2,302	2,414	2,540	2,678	2,823	2,952	3,065	3,190	3,329
Age 30 thru 34	1,554	1,550	1,546	1,543	1,544	1,544	1,547	1,543	1,536	1,526	1,519	1,515
Age 35 thru 39	1,555	1,522	1,481	1,435	1,391	1,350	1,315	1,285	1,251	1,215	1,181	1,148
Age 40 thru 44	2,078	2,100	2,110	2,116	2,121	2,130	2,135	2,136	2,139	2,143	2,147	2,153
Age 45 thru 49	2,141	2,182	2,216	2,245	2,257	2,246	2,240	2,234	2,224	2,212	2,190	2,163
Age 50 thru 54	1,801	1,841	1,872	1,897	1,905	1,890	1,881	1,876	1,877	1,870	1,854	1,833
Age 55 thru 59	1,455	1,478	1,491	1,497	1,490	1,478	1,460	1,449	1,440	1,425	1,403	1,378
Age 60 thru 64	1,220	1,236	1,247	1,253	1,252	1,239	1,229	1,217	1,204	1,190	1,170	1,149
Age 65 thru 84	3,000	3,044	3,076	3,091	3,108	3,174	3,237	3,292	3,351	3,403	3,461	3,521
Age 85 & Older	606	621	634	643	652	671	690	707	723	741	760	779
Geary County												
Total Population	23,809	23,139	22,530	21,844	21,184	20,700	20,231	19,702	19,136	18,565	17,991	17,427
Age 0 thru 4	2,772	2,738	2,714	2,685	2,656	2,650	2,640	2,619	2,587	2,545	2,503	2,460
Age 5 thru 9	1,936	1,873	1,813	1,747	1,681	1,628	1,577	1,521	1,460	1,402	1,343	1,286
Age 10 thru 14	1,625	1,560	1,498	1,747	1,358	1,301	1,247	1,321	1,135	1,402	1,025	972
-												
Age 15 thru 19	1,501	1,433	1,363	1,286	1,210	1,155	1,103	1,049	994	940	888	836
Age 20 thru 24	1,694	1,579	1,470	1,356	1,245	1,144	1,048	959	881	809	741	678
Age 25 thru 29	2,013	1,938	1,872	1,804	1,744	1,705	1,669	1,617	1,561	1,501	1,442	1,385
Age 30 thru 34	1,527	1,458	1,397	1,335	1,276	1,227	1,179	1,125	1,072	1,018	966	916
Age 35 thru 39	1,414	1,331	1,251	1,168	1,090	1,025	963	903	846	790	736	685
Age 40 thru 44	1,604	1,552	1,501	1,442	1,386	1,336	1,287	1,235	1,179	1,130	1,080	1,031
Age 45 thru 49	1,596	1,576	1,556	1,527	1,498	1,476	1,452	1,426	1,395	1,365	1,333	1,300
Age 50 thru 54	1,559	1,586	1,618	1,643	1,670	1,705	1,744	1,774	1,800	1,822	1,841	1,859
Age 55 thru 59	1,050	1,046	1,042	1,032	1,022	1,022	1,018	1,011	999	988	975	960
Age 60 thru 64	822	807	794	778	763	753	746	734	719	702	685	668

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Geary County (cont	t' <b>d</b> )											
Age 65 thru 84	2,296	2,257	2,228	2,193	2,158	2,136	2,111	2,083	2,047	2,007	1,964	1,920
Age 85 & Older	400	405	413	420	427	437	447	455	461	466	469	471
<b>Gove County</b>												
Total Population	2,702	2,667	2,635	2,597	2,557	2,521	2,479	2,435	2,391	2,349	2,304	2,260
Age 0 thru 4	139	135	130	125	120	115	110	105	100	95	90	85
Age 5 thru 9	149	142	134	126	118	110	103	96	89	83	77	71
Age 10 thru 14	187	181	175	168	161	154	146	139	132	125	119	112
Age 15 thru 19	187	183	178	172	165	158	151	145	139	132	126	120
Age 20 thru 24	169	180	194	211	229	253	273	293	314	334	354	377
Age 25 thru 29	53	49	44	40	36	32	28	25	22	20	18	15
Age 30 thru 34	74	68	64	59	54	50	47	44	40	37	34	31
Age 35 thru 39	93	85	78	70	62	56	49	44	39	35	31	27
Age 40 thru 44	192	187	182	177	170	161	153	146	139	132	126	119
Age 45 thru 49	241	243	245	247	248	247	246	243	241	239	235	232
Age 50 thru 54	232	236	240	243	246	248	249	250	250	250	249	247
Age 55 thru 59	194	198	203	207	212	216	221	224	227	229	230	231
Age 60 thru 64	139	136	134	130	127	125	122	118	114	110	106	102
Age 65 thru 84	535	527	518	508	498	487	475	461	446	432	416	401
Age 85 & Older	118	117	116	114	111	109	106	102	99	96	93	90
Graham County												
Total Population	2,612	2,562	2,509	2,459	2,408	2,356	2,304	2,250	2,200	2,153	2,104	2,052
Age 0 thru 4	89	84	78	73	68	64	60	56	52	49	45	42
Age 5 thru 9	105	97	90	83	76	70	65	59	55	50	46	42
Age 10 thru 14	128	120	111	103	95	88	80	73	66	60	55	50
Age 15 thru 19	163	157	149	142	134	125	118	110	104	98	92	85
Age 20 thru 24	176	185	196	208	221	233	244	254	266	277	288	299
Age 25 thru 29	96	93	91	89	88	88	86	83	80	78	75	73
Age 30 thru 34	65	59	54	48	43	39	35	31	27	24	22	19
Age 35 thru 39	100	91	81	72	63	55	48	43	38	33	29	26
Age 40 thru 44	245	243	241	239	237	232	226	219	212	207	201	195
Age 45 thru 49	218	215	211	206	201	195	188	182	177	171	165	158
Age 50 thru 54	236	242	251	260	271	281	293	305	313	321	328	335
Age 55 thru 59	137	133	128	123	117	112	107	102	97	92	87	82
Age 60 thru 64	152	147	141	135	128	121	114	107	101	96	90	84
Age 65 thru 84	594	590	584	578	570	560	550	539	528	516	503	488
Age 85 & Older	108	106	103	100	96	93	90	87	84	81	78	74
Grant County												
Total Population	7,576	7,586	7,592	7,585	7,574	7,563	7,538	7,500	7,468	7,443	7,421	7,387
Age 0 thru 4	660	660	658	654	649	645	639	629	619	611	602	592
Age 5 thru 9	567	553	539	523	507	491	475	458	442	426	411	392
Age 10 thru 14		579				509	490			437		403
Age 15 thru 19	593 622	616	563 606	545 505	527 583	509 571	556	472 540	454 526		420 499	
Age 13 unu 19	622	010	000	595	202	3/1	220	540	526	513	499	485

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Grant County (cont												
Age 25 thru 29	268	249	229	209	190	172	154	137	123	112	101	91
Age 30 thru 34	453	446	439	432	423	416	407	399	392	382	373	363
Age 35 thru 39	428	409	391	371	351	331	312	293	276	260	245	230
Age 40 thru 44	622	625	627	629	630	628	625	617	609	604	599	592
Age 45 thru 49	601	608	614	617	618	618	614	609	606	604	601	596
Age 50 thru 54	613	639	664	690	716	742	768	796	820	845	871	895
Age 55 thru 59	474	494	515	538	564	594	626	658	688	715	743	771
Age 60 thru 64	321	330	340	350	363	371	380	385	393	401	408	415
Age 65 thru 84	690	692	695	696	695	694	692	685	679	673	668	661
Age 85 & Older	87	88	90	90	91	92	93	94	94	95	95	95
Gray County												
Total Population	5,927	5,955	5,994	6,027	6,059	6,088	6,115	6,138	6,155	6,174	6,190	6,201
Age 0 thru 4	461	464	467	468	470	470	468	466	463	461	459	455
Age 5 thru 9	433	425	418	410	401	390	380	370	360	350	340	330
Age 10 thru 14	475	467	460	452	443	435	427	419	409	400	390	380
Age 15 thru 19	467	464	461	455	450	443	436	429	422	416	408	400
Age 20 thru 24	444	465	487	510	532	560	587	612	637	662	688	714
Age 25 thru 29	357	357	357	356	354	353	350	346	341	337	334	329
Age 30 thru 34	335	329	323	317	311	303	295	289	282	275	267	259
Age 35 thru 39	342	331	321	311	302	293	286	279	270	261	252	243
Age 40 thru 44	404	401	399	396	393	386	378	369	360	353	347	339
Age 45 thru 49	434	438	443	448	451	454	455	456	460	462	462	462
Age 50 thru 54	432	449	466	484	503	520	540	557	574	592	610	628
Age 55 thru 59	356	370	383	398	412	430	446	462	478	493	509	524
Age 60 thru 64	283	297	313	332	353	375	399	424	447	469	492	517
Age 65 thru 84	577	573	572	569	566	561	556	550	544	537	529	521
Age 85 & Older	127	125	124	121	118	115	112	110	108	106	103	100
<b>Greeley County</b>												
Total Population	1,296	1,271	1,245	1,218	1,184	1,153	1,124	1,092	1,064	1,032	997	965
Age 0 thru 4	48	44	40	36	32	28	25	22	19	17	15	13
Age 5 thru 9	84	79	75	70	66	62	59	55	52	48	45	41
Age 10 thru 14	91	86	82	77	71	67	62	58	54	50	46	42
Age 15 thru 19	105	104	102	99	96	93	90	87	84	81	78	74
Age 20 thru 24	69	69	69	69	68	67	67	66	65	64	62	61
Age 25 thru 29	41	39	36	34	31	29	28	27	25	23	21	19
Age 30 thru 34	36	33	30	27	25	22	20	18	16	14	12	11
Age 35 thru 39	61	55	50	45	39	34	30	26	23	20	18	15
Age 40 thru 44	126	124	121	118	114	111	106	102	99	95	91	87
Age 45 thru 49	144	149	154	159	164	167	168	170	171	173	173	174
Age 50 thru 54	109	113	115	119	121	124	127	129	131	132	133	134
Age 55 thru 59	78	78	79	79	79	80	81	81	81	80	79	78
Age 60 thru 64	68	68	68	69	70	69	69	68	68	67	66	65
Age 65 thru 84	206	201	196	190	183	177	170	163	157	150	142	136
Age 85 & Older	30	29	28	27	25	23	22	20	19	18	16	15

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
<b>Greenwood County</b>												
Total Population	7,269	7,229	7,185	7,141	7,099	7,044	6,995	6,938	6,886	6,831	6,772	6,717
Age 0 thru 4	358	351	344	337	328	319	311	303	294	286	278	270
Age 5 thru 9	383	373	363	353	343	332	321	309	298	287	277	267
Age 10 thru 14	413	399	384	368	352	337	323	309	296	283	270	258
Age 15 thru 19	480	472	462	452	441	428	416	404	393	382	371	360
Age 20 thru 24	439	456	473	492	512	527	541	552	563	579	593	608
Age 25 thru 29	497	511	528	548	573	596	621	650	677	698	720	743
Age 30 thru 34	315	308	301	295	290	283	276	268	262	254	247	240
Age 35 thru 39	313	296	278	259	241	224	209	195	182	170	158	147
Age 40 thru 44	530	527	522	517	511	501	491	481	472	463	454	445
Age 45 thru 49	548	553	556	560	563	567	569	571	574	575	575	575
Age 50 thru 54	541	550	556	560	562	563	567	572	576	579	581	582
Age 55 thru 59	523	537	550	564	579	595	608	620	630	642	653	664
Age 60 thru 64	399	399	400	400	401	401	402	400	399	397	394	392
Age 65 thru 84	1,245	1,215	1,188	1,159	1,129	1,101	1,074	1,044	1,017	987	957	927
Age 85 & Older	285	282	280	277	274	270	266	260	253	249	244	239
Hamilton County												
Total Population	2,637	2,653	2,666	2,687	2,702	2,717	2,729	2,735	2,738	2,742	2,747	2,750
Age 0 thru 4	199	204	210	215	219	223	225	227	228	230	232	234
Age 5 thru 9	158	155	151	147	143	140	137	134	130	126	122	118
Age 10 thru 14	171	166	161	155	148	142	136	129	124	118	113	107
Age 15 thru 19	217	221	225	227	229	230	230	231	231	232	232	231
Age 20 thru 24	194	210	227	245	263	279	298	318	339	361	384	408
Age 25 thru 29	131	129	125	123	120	117	114	110	106	102	99	95
Age 30 thru 34	149	150	150	152	154	155	155	154	153	151	150	149
Age 35 thru 39	135	129	123	118	112	107	101	96	91	86	82	77
Age 40 thru 44	181	181	180	180	179	178	175	173	171	168	166	163
Age 45 thru 49	211	215	218	222	225	228	233	236	237	239	240	241
Age 50 thru 54	200	208	216	227	239	250	262	274	285	295	305	316
Age 55 thru 59	134	137	139	142	145	150	152	154	157	159	160	162
Age 60 thru 64	111	110	109	108	107	105	103	100	97	95	93	90
Age 65 thru 84	349	340	332	325	317	310	304	296	287	278	268	259
Age 85 & Older	97	98	100	101	102	103	104	103	102	102	101	100
Harper County												
Total Population	5,942	5,883	5,821	5,762	5,696	5,632	5,564	5,493	5,418	5,346	5,274	5,204
Age 0 thru 4	309	302	295	288	279	272	265	257	249	241	233	226
Age 5 thru 9	321	311	300	290	279	269	259	250	240	230	221	211
Age 10 thru 14	349	334	319	304	288	274	260	247	234	222	210	199
Age 15 thru 19	408	404	397	390	381	369	356	344	333	324	314	304
Age 20 thru 24	362	373	385	397	410	420	430	436	444	453	462	470
Age 25 thru 29	340	347	355	366	380	395	411	428	439	449	459	47
Age 30 thru 34	200	188	333 177	167	156	145	135	125	116	108	100	9.
Age 35 thru 39	282	269	256	243	231	219	209	199	188	178	168	15
Age 40 thru 44	410	403	394	385	376	364	353	342	332	322	312	30

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Harper County (con	t'd)											
Age 45 thru 49	454	454	453	451	446	442	435	428	423	417	411	405
Age 50 thru 54	498	512	527	542	558	572	588	605	618	632	645	658
Age 55 thru 59	401	410	419	429	439	454	464	473	481	489	497	504
Age 60 thru 64	302	297	291	285	278	271	263	255	248	240	233	226
Age 65 thru 84	1,052	1,028	1,006	983	958	934	909	883	857	830	804	778
Age 85 & Older	254	251	247	242	237	232	227	221	216	211	205	199
Harvey County												
Total Population	34,189	34,538	34,810	34,823	34,629	34,659	34,920	35,138	35,347	35,527	35,702	35,885
Age 0 thru 4	2,168	2,193	2,212	2,212	2,195	2,191	2,196	2,198	2,197	2,193	2,187	2,180
Age 5 thru 9	2,084	2,076	2,063	2,035	1,999	1,976	1,972	1,969	1,966	1,960	1,956	1,952
Age 10 thru 14	2,174	2,152	2,124	2,078	2,023	1,984	1,959	1,936	1,911	1,889	1,869	1,848
Age 15 thru 19	2,587	2,602	2,607	2,594	2,570	2,554	2,563	2,574	2,591	2,604	2,620	2,633
Age 20 thru 24	2,574	2,611	2,658	2,694	2,710	2,753	2,803	2,834	2,851	2869	2882	2,912
Age 25 thru 29	2,202	2,262	2,328	2,392	2,460	2,551	2,657	2,756	2,842	2,926	3,019	3,118
Age 30 thru 34	1,763	1,751	1,739	1,720	1,693	1,677	1,669	1,660	1,647	1,630	1,617	1,604
Age 35 thru 39	1,931	1,896	1,856	1,805	1,751	1,709	1,683	1,651	1,618	1,583	1,550	1,518
Age 40 thru 44	2,360	2,366	2,363	2,344	2,312	2,294	2,292	2,281	2,272	2,263	2,255	2,247
Age 45 thru 49	2,650	2,678	2,695	2,683	2,636	2,573	2,526	2,490	2,457	2,421	2,372	2,318
Age 50 thru 54	2,499	2,568	2,629	2,665	2,666	2,650	2,662	2,671	2,685	2,695	2,691	2,679
Age 55 thru 59	2,007	2,068	2,123	2,160	2,177	2,191	2,208	2,230	2,251	2,267	2,274	2,275
Age 60 thru 64	1,403	1,403	1,397	1,377	1,342	1,303	1,274	1,248	1,222	1,193	1,160	1,125
Age 65 thru 84	4,618	4,700	4,764	4,782	4,786	4,889	5,024	5,142	5,271	5,400	5,541	5,689
Age 85 & Older	1,169	1,212	1,252	1,282	1,309	1,364	1,432	1,498	1,566	1,634	1,709	1,787
Haskell County												
Total Population	4,277	4,298	4,317	4,334	4,351	4,372	4,385	4,400	4,411	4,416	4,427	4,440
Age 0 thru 4	330	327	322	316	309	303	296	290	284	277	271	265
Age 5 thru 9	376	377	379	380	380	381	381	383	384	383	382	381
Age 10 thru 14	335	329	324	316	309	302	295	287	278	271	263	256
Age 15 thru 19	340	338	335	331	327	322	317	311	307	302	297	292
Age 20 thru 24	329	340	349	360	370	380	391	402	410	419	429	439
Age 25 thru 29	236	229	222	214	207	202	196	191	185	178	172	166
Age 30 thru 34	294	296	300	306	314	319	322	324	325	327	329	331
Age 35 thru 39	202	193	184	174	164	154	146	139	132	124	117	111
Age 40 thru 44	294	291	286	282	275	269	263	257	252	246	241	235
Age 45 thru 49	349	356	364	372	380	387	392	398	405	410	415	421
Age 50 thru 54	312	325	337	352	365	377	388	402	415	428	442	456
Age 55 thru 59	237	247	259	270	282	298	315	329	344	358	373	388
Age 60 thru 64	170	174	179	183	188	194	199	203	206	210	214	218
Age 65 thru 84	407	409	409	409	411	412	412	411	410	409	407	405
Age 85 & Older	66	67	68	69	70	72	72	73	74	74	75	76
Hodgeman County												
Total Population	2,100	2,096	2,098	2,093	2,089	2,084	2,082	2,081	2,078	2,079	2,074	2,070
Age 0 thru 4	124	124	126	127	127	128	128	130	130	129	127	126

Kansas Popul	lation P	rojecti	ons, by	Count	ty, by A	age Col	hort fo	r <b>2007</b> 1	throug	h 2018		
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
<b>Hodgeman County</b>	(cont'd)											
Age 5 thru 9	87	81	75	69	62	57	52	48	43	39	35	31
Age 10 thru 14	148	142	136	128	120	112	105	98	92	86	80	74
Age 15 thru 19	184	184	183	181	178	174	170	165	161	157	153	147
Age 20 thru 24	164	182	205	232	266	297	327	360	396	435	477	522
Age 25 thru 29	92	90	89	87	85	83	81	79	77	74	71	68
Age 30 thru 34	81	78	75	72	69	66	63	60	57	54	51	48
Age 35 thru 39	91	84	77	70	63	56	50	45	40	36	32	28
Age 40 thru 44	182	182	181	179	176	172	169	166	162	159	154	149
Age 45 thru 49	185	189	192	194	196	198	199	200	199	199	197	195
Age 50 thru 54	174	180	186	192	197	202	208	212	217	221	223	225
Age 55 thru 59	118	119	120	121	121	122	124	124	124	123	122	120
Age 60 thru 64	88	86	84	81	78	75	72	68	65	62	59	56
Age 65 thru 84	305	298	292	283	275	268	261	254	245	236	226	216
Age 85 & Older	77	77	77	77	76	74	73	72	70	69	67	65
Jackson County												
Total Population	13,864	14,064	14,247	14,400	14,543	14,715	14,851	14,970	15,063	15,138	15,190	15,239
Age 0 thru 4	918	931	942	950	956	967	975	981	982	980	976	971
Age 5 thru 9	895	894	891	884	881	879	875	872	868	864	860	855
Age 10 thru 14	942	933	922	907	892	880	868	857	846	835	824	811
Age 15 thru 19	1,027	1,041	1,051	1,058	1,067	1,076	1,086	1,095	1,103	1,113	1,123	1,131
Age 20 thru 24	1,132	1,187	1,251	1,326	1,404	1,488	1,561	1,624	1,684	1742	1,795	1,862
Age 25 thru 29	822	848	877	910	947	997	1,051	1,100	1,143	1,180	1,219	1,260
Age 30 thru 34	704	699	694	688	681	675	665	653	640	628	616	604
Age 35 thru 39	822	814	803	792	781	774	762	752	741	727	713	699
Age 40 thru 44	1,030	1,042	1,053	1,062	1,072	1,082	1,094	1,104	1,109	1,112	1,115	1,117
Age 45 thru 49	1,090	1,103	1,115	1,122	1,121	1,110	1,099	1,087	1,073	1,059	1,039	1,016
Age 50 thru 54	982	1,006	1,025	1,038	1,041	1,036	1,023	1,017	1,010	1,004	992	976
Age 55 thru 59	929	967	1,002	1,034	1,060	1,079	1,093	1,108	1,125	1,139	1,147	1,152
Age 60 thru 64	651	660	667	670	670	662	655	648	640	631	619	605
Age 65 thru 84	1,583	1,595	1,603	1,604	1,609	1,638	1,661	1,678	1,695	1,711	1,729	1,747
Age 85 & Older	337	344	351	355	361	372	383	394	404	413	423	433
Jefferson County												
Total Population	19,371	19,541	19,663	19,748	19,819	19,924	20,011	20,072	20,117	20,135	20,141	20,147
Age 0 thru 4	1,018	1,008	994	975	953	936	918	898	878	854	830	805
Age 5 thru 9	1,149	1,133	1,113	1,091	1,070	1,051	1,034	1,019	1,005	988	972	955
Age 10 thru 14	1,283	1,265	1,241	1,213	1,184	1,159	1,133	1,109	1,087	1,066	1,045	1,024
Age 15 thru 19	1,412	1,416	1,413	1,402	1,393	1,390	1,388	1,385	1,382	1,380	1,379	1,374
Age 20 thru 24	1,497	1,554	1,620	1,693	1,770	1,838	1,895	1,940	1,974	2014	2,048	2,095
Age 25 thru 29	1,231	1,277	1,330	1,394	1,475	1,570	1,672	1,767	1,859	1,936	2,022	2,114
Age 30 thru 34	822	793	763	732	701	674	645	617	588	561	535	510
Age 35 thru 39	1,155	1,127	1,092	1,055	1,018	986	954	923	892	860	829	798
Age 40 thru 44	1,537	1,543	1,543	1,542	1,540	1,538	1,532	1,520	1,502	1,490	1,479	1,465
Age 45 thru 49	1,693	1,709	1,719	1,722	1,713	1,690	1,663	1,639	1,616	1,590	1,555	1,517
Age 50 thru 54	1,519	1,544	1,563	1,576	1,577	1,565	1,557	1,549	1,541	1,528	1,507	1,482

Appendix L ( Kansas Popu	` ′		ions, by	y Coun	ty, by A	Age Co	hort fo	r 2007	throug	h 2018		
-												2010
Jefferson County (	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Age 55 thru 59	1,339	1,379	1,410	1,436	1,453	1,457	1,457	1,460	1,463	1,463	1,457	1,445
Age 60 thru 64	1,040	1,057	1,071	1,079	1,081	1,081	1,077	1,070	1,064	1,054	1,040	1,023
Age 65 thru 84	2,290	2,339	2,384	2,421	2,464	2,544	2,620	2,691	2,764	2,832	2,906	2,983
Age 85 & Older	386	397	407	417	427	445	466	485	502	519	537	557
Jewell County												
Total Population	3,237	3,182	3,127	3,072	3,009	2,940	2,872	2,801	2,733	2,661	2,597	2,538
Age 0 thru 4	93	87	80	74	67	61	56	52	48	43	39	36
Age 5 thru 9	141	133	125	118	110	102	93	86	79	72	67	61
Age 10 thru 14	176	167	158	149	140	130	121	113	105	98	90	84
Age 15 thru 19	221	216	210	204	198	189	181	172	164	156	149	142
Age 20 thru 24	159	168	177	188	200	218	235	251	264	276	289	303
Age 25 thru 29	66	61	55	50	45	40	37	33	30	27	24	21
Age 30 thru 34	89	82	76	70	64	59	53	48	43	39	35	31
Age 35 thru 39	105	95	86	76	67	59	51	44	39	34	29	26
Age 40 thru 44	241	236	230	223	216	208	201	193	186	178	171	163
Age 45 thru 49	271	267	263	256	248	237	226	213	203	194	185	176
Age 50 thru 54	353	364	376	389	400	409	420	430	437	443	450	455
Age 55 thru 59	271	276	282	289	296	303	306	307	309	309	310	311
Age 60 thru 64	216	214	213	212	210	206	202	198	194	188	183	179
Age 65 thru 84	690	674	656	637	615	591	567	543	520	496	473	451
Age 85 & Older	145	142	140	137	133	128	123	118	112	108	103	99
Johnson County												
Total Population	526,862	536,736	545,212	552,605	559,441	565,898	572,521	578,987	585,030	590,110	594,942	599,916
Age 0 thru 4	36,643	37,189	37,606	37,885	38,071	38,217	38,359	38,451	38,486	38,446	38,353	38,242
Age 5 thru 9	35,399	35,681	35,840	35,871	35,894	35,947	36,071	36,249	36,430	36,589	36,778	36,948
Age 10 thru 14	35,813	36,067	36,210	36,223	36,224	36,231	36,295	36,408	36,531	36,648	36,796	36,924
Age 15 thru 19	35,604	36,411	37,115	37,748	38,457	39,089	39,821	40,642	41,505	42,329	43,207	44,086
Age 20 thru 24	33,540	34,092	34,686	35,312	35,818	36,431	36,909	37,059	36,864	36656	36,412	36,413
Age 25 thru 29	36,712	37,210	37,661	38,149	38,785	39,514	40,235	40,869	41,396	41,816	42,302	42,845
Age 30 thru 34	37,827	38,092	38,358	38,648	38,978	39,255	39,509	39,690	39,781	39,824	39,936	40,097
Age 35 thru 39	38,621	38,437	38,125	37,782	37,557	37,369	37,239	37,091	36,872	36,512	36,194	35,909
Age 40 thru 44	43,678	44,232	44,676	45,128	45,690	46,231	46,811	47,342	47,751	48,092	48,488	48,929
Age 45 thru 49	43,007	43,575	43,943	44,097	43,892	43,249	42,601	42,029	41,523	40,945	40,167	39,297
Age 50 thru 54	39,388	40,547	41,485	42,170	42,430	42,159	42,007	42,013	42,091	42,105	41,882	41,510
Age 55 thru 59	34,893	36,881	38,815	40,755	42,582	44,136	45,527	47,026	48,623	50,121	51,444	52,681
Age 60 thru 64	21,289	21,953	22,598	23,225	23,773	24,113	24,454	24,766	25,078	25,284	25,396	25,461
Age 65 thru 84	46,418	47,901	49,218	50,357	51,630	53,717	55,827	57,869	59,957	61,957	64,110	66,366
Age 85 & Older	8,030	8,468	8,876	9,255	9,660	10,240	10,856	11,483	12,142	12,786	13,477	14,208
<b>Kearny County</b>												
Total Population	4,586	4,616	4,648	4,671	4,690	4,705	4,719	4,740	4,754	4,770	4,783	4,798
Age 0 thru 4	331	326	321	314	305	297	288	280	271	264	257	249
Age 5 thru 9	377	373	369	363	357	351	346	340	334	328	321	315
Age 10 thru 14	396	392	386	379	370	361	353	345	338	330	322	314

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Kearny County (con	nt'd)											
Age 15 thru 19	404	408	410	412	414	416	415	415	413	414	413	412
Age 20 thru 24	350	366	384	400	419	432	445	461	476	493	510	527
Age 25 thru 29	259	259	260	262	264	265	267	271	272	271	271	271
Age 30 thru 34	239	233	227	221	214	208	202	195	189	182	176	170
Age 35 thru 39	250	241	231	221	210	198	187	179	170	162	154	146
Age 40 thru 44	351	352	353	354	354	353	351	348	346	344	341	339
Age 45 thru 49	365	375	385	395	405	414	423	433	442	450	458	467
Age 50 thru 54	316	326	338	349	360	373	384	395	406	418	429	440
Age 55 thru 59	264	277	290	304	319	336	353	370	387	404	421	439
Age 60 thru 64	174	176	179	182	185	186	189	191	193	194	196	197
Age 65 thru 84	466	469	472	473	473	475	477	479	481	481	480	479
Age 85 & Older	44	43	43	42	41	40	39	38	36	35	34	33
Kingman County												
Total Population	8,145	8,138	8,122	8,103	8,075	8,049	8,017	7,979	7,936	7,886	7,842	7,803
Age 0 thru 4	375	362	348	333	317	302	287	273	259	246	232	220
Age 5 thru 9	463	449	433	417	399	382	365	348	332	316	301	285
Age 10 thru 14	549	531	512	491	468	446	424	402	381	362	343	325
Age 15 thru 19	668	670	671	670	669	664	656	649	640	631	622	613
Age 20 thru 24	530	564	603	647	695	750	815	870	927	981	1,040	1,103
Age 25 thru 29	317	309	302	295	287	280	272	262	252	242	233	224
Age 30 thru 34	310	296	282	269	256	242	229	217	205	193	181	170
Age 35 thru 39	398	379	358	338	317	296	276	257	239	223	208	193
Age 40 thru 44	618	611	602	591	576	560	543	528	511	496	481	465
Age 45 thru 49	773	801	829	859	890	921	944	966	988	1,009	1,030	1,051
Age 50 thru 54	603	617	631	644	655	666	683	698	710	718	726	733
Age 55 thru 59	556	577	598	620	644	670	689	710	731	748	766	784
Age 60 thru 64	378	373	366	357	347	336	324	313	301	291	280	269
Age 65 thru 84	1,298	1,286	1,271	1,254	1,236	1,217	1,196	1,175	1,151	1,124	1,096	1,069
Age 85 & Older	309	313	316	318	319	317	314	311	309	306	303	299
Kiowa County												
Total Population	2,900	2,851	2,800	2,745	2,691	2,631	2,577	2,522	2,467	2,413	2,363	2,317
Age 0 thru 4	178	176	174	171	169	166	164	160	157	154	151	148
Age 5 thru 9	141	133	125	117	110	103	96	90	84	78	73	68
Age 10 thru 14	177	170	163	156	149	143	135	128	122	116	110	105
Age 15 thru 19	200	194	187	180	173	165	158	152	145	138	132	126
Age 20 thru 24	178	181	181	181	181	180	179	177	174	173	172	170
Age 25 thru 29	140	137	135	133	132	130	129	126	124	121	118	116
Age 30 thru 34	109	103	98	93	88	84	81	77	73	69	65	62
Age 35 thru 39	103	95	86	78	71	64	58	53	48	44	39	36
Age 40 thru 44	170	164	158	151	144	136	128	120	113	107	101	96
Age 45 thru 49	234	233	231	227	223	217	212	207	202	197	193	188
Age 50 thru 54	265	269	273	275	276	277	278	278	279	279	280	280
Age 55 thru 59	234	242	251	261	272	283	295	308	319	329	340	351
Age 60 thru 64	138	134	131	128	124	120	116	112	108	104	100	97

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Kiowa County (cor	ıt'd)											
Age 65 thru 84	509	495	481	466	450	434	418	404	389	375	360	346
Age 85 & Older	124	125	126	128	129	129	130	130	130	129	129	128
Labette County												
Total Population	21,969	21,855	21,753	21,643	21,535	21,423	21,302	21,183	21,060	20,949	20,845	20,745
Age 0 thru 4	1,283	1,266	1,249	1,230	1,210	1,189	1,166	1,144	1,121	1,101	1,080	1,060
Age 5 thru 9	1,294	1,259	1,223	1,186	1,150	1,115	1,083	1,049	1,015	984	953	922
Age 10 thru 14	1,451	1,415	1,379	1,339	1,299	1,258	1,214	1,176	1,138	1,103	1,069	1,034
Age 15 thru 19	1,677	1,659	1,638	1,616	1,596	1,574	1,553	1,529	1,508	1,487	1,465	1,442
Age 20 thru 24	1,481	1,508	1,537	1,567	1,595	1,621	1,638	1,654	1,674	1696	1,718	1,740
Age 25 thru 29	1,449	1,460	1,477	1,500	1,531	1,569	1,616	1,659	1,694	1,718	1,745	1,774
Age 30 thru 34	1,024	986	952	918	883	848	812	777	742	712	682	654
Age 35 thru 39	1,279	1,235	1,190	1,143	1,096	1,051	1,007	969	932	895	859	824
Age 40 thru 44	1,698	1,696	1,696	1,697	1,700	1,698	1,699	1,695	1,689	1,683	1,678	1,673
Age 45 thru 49	1,585	1,590	1,596	1,601	1,604	1,609	1,609	1,608	1,607	1,606	1,605	1,604
Age 50 thru 54	1,572	1,599	1,622	1,640	1,653	1,661	1,670	1,684	1,695	1,712	1,727	1,740
Age 55 thru 59	1,514	1,559	1,603	1,646	1,690	1,735	1,777	1,821	1,868	1,914	1,961	2,008
Age 60 thru 64	1,169	1,192	1,219	1,250	1,285	1,321	1,353	1,381	1,408	1,435	1,464	1,495
Age 65 thru 84	2,778	2,712	2,650	2,586	2,518	2,448	2,378	2,310	2,242	2,178	2,116	2,054
Age 85 & Older	715	719	722	724	725	726	727	727	727	725	723	721
Lane County												
Total Population	1,830	1,800	1,766	1,730	1,693	1,659	1,624	1,582	1,541	1,502	1,462	1,425
Age 0 thru 4	92	89	86	84	81	78	76	73	70	67	64	61
Age 5 thru 9	81	76	70	64	59	53	48	43	39	36	32	29
Age 10 thru 14	119	115	110	105	100	95	90	84	79	74	69	65
Age 15 thru 19	120	117	113	109	104	99	94	90	86	82	78	74
Age 20 thru 24	113	117	122	128	134	142	151	159	166	172	178	184
Age 25 thru 29	52	49	47	44	41	39	38	36	34	32	30	28
Age 30 thru 34	49	44	39	34	30	26	22	19	16	14	12	11
Age 35 thru 39	106	101	96	90	85	80	75	71	66	62	58	54
Age 40 thru 44	148	146	142	139	135	131	127	122	117	112	108	103
Age 45 thru 49	163	164	166	166	166	166	166	164	163	161	159	158
Age 50 thru 54	160	161	163	164	165	165	164	163	161	160	159	157
Age 55 thru 59	134	137	138	140	142	144	143	141	140	140	139	138
Age 60 thru 64	109	109	108	108	107	107	107	107	106	105	103	101
Age 65 thru 84	306	298	289	279	270	261	252	241	231	220	210	201
Age 85 & Older	78	77	77	76	74	73	71	69	67	65	63	61
Leavenworth Cour	ntv											
Total Population	74,480	75,188	75,657	75,945	76,165	76,379	76,610	76,827	76,971	76,984	76,977	77,007
Age 0 thru 4	4,553	4,551	4,529	4,485	4,426	4,365	4,308	4,249	4,187	4,115	4,040	3,963
Age 5 thru 9	4,720	4,679	4,621	4,545	4,468	4,395	4,329	4,271	4,213	4,113	4,107	4,055
Age 10 thru 14	5,125	5,108	5,075	5,025	4,408	4,933	4,888	4,850	4,815	4,780	4,751	4,720
Age 15 thru 19	5,261	5,317	5,350	5,367	5,388	5,405	5,443	5,492	5,544	5,585	5,632	5,677
Age 20 thru 24	5,548	5,657	5,778	5,905	6,021	6,138	6,204	6,218	6,207	6189	5,052	6,180

Kansas Popu		-,,,,	, ~ <i>y</i>	_ 0	.J,~J 1	e, 201		_00.	· ~ •• <del>••</del>	010		
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Leavenworth Cour	•											
Age 25 thru 29	5,269	5,363	5,455	5,559	5,700	5,846	6,005	6,160	6,293	6,398	6,518	6,651
Age 30 thru 34	4,731	4,656	4,581	4,513	4,458	4,413	4,369	4,312	4,239	4,159	4,088	4,024
Age 35 thru 39	5,484	5,333	5,159	4,980	4,816	4,650	4,490	4,331	4,174	4,024	3,882	3,746
Age 40 thru 44	7,023	7,131	7,222	7,317	7,436	7,563	7,700	7,828	7,928	8,014	8,112	8,221
Age 45 thru 49	6,135	6,194	6,219	6,211	6,150	6,026	5,895	5,779	5,681	5,576	5,444	5,300
Age 50 thru 54	5,485	5,627	5,740	5,821	5,849	5,812	5,796	5,803	5,812	5,804	5,766	5,710
Age 55 thru 59	4,466	4,633	4,775	4,902	5,000	5,062	5,109	5,166	5,226	5,276	5,303	5,316
Age 60 thru 64	3,019	3,069	3,105	3,129	3,134	3,116	3,100	3,088	3,076	3,049	3,010	2,965
Age 65 thru 84	6,761	6,943	7,099	7,221	7,364	7,634	7,910	8,171	8,427	8,671	8,934	9,211
Age 85 & Older	900	927	949	965	981	1,021	1,064	1,109	1,149	1,186	1,226	1,268
Lincoln County												
Total Population	3,385	3,373	3,361	3,351	3,335	3,322	3,304	3,289	3,270	3,248	3,228	3,210
Age 0 thru 4	164	162	159	157	153	149	145	140	135	130	126	121
Age 5 thru 9	169	164	159	154	148	142	135	129	124	118	112	106
Age 10 thru 14	181	172	163	154	145	137	128	120	113	105	98	91
Age 15 thru 19	224	222	218	214	209	203	197	191	184	178	172	166
Age 20 thru 24	228	245	264	285	307	332	358	384	411	437	464	493
Age 25 thru 29	170	173	176	180	185	189	196	199	202	204	206	208
Age 30 thru 34	127	121	115	110	105	100	94	87	82	77	72	68
Age 35 thru 39	155	148	141	135	128	121	114	109	103	97	92	86
Age 40 thru 44	216	209	201	193	183	173	163	153	144	136	128	120
Age 45 thru 49	330	337	343	347	350	354	356	359	360	361	361	361
Age 50 thru 54	338	354	372	390	409	427	442	458	474	489	505	520
Age 55 thru 59	234	240	247	253	260	267	274	281	286	291	294	298
Age 60 thru 64	170	167	165	163	160	158	155	153	149	145	140	136
Age 65 thru 84	578	564	548	532	515	498	481	465	447	429	411	393
Age 85 & Older	101	95	90	84	78	72	66	61	56	51	47	43
Linn County												
Total Population	10,077	10,141	10,165	10,180	10,194	10,220	10,237	10,246	10,246	10,227	10,211	10,200
Age 0 thru 4	529	525	517	508	497	485	471	458	447	434	422	409
Age 5 thru 9	602	599	593	587	582	581	583	583	579	576	573	570
Age 10 thru 14	606	594	580	564	549	534	518	505	494	482	470	458
Age 15 thru 19	650	648	642	635	629	622	616	610	604	599	594	589
Age 20 thru 24	719	747	778	813	850	886	919	948	970	988	1006	1,031
•												
Age 25 thru 29	715	747 510	781 520	823 523	876 528	932	993	1,054	1,114	1,167	1,226	1,291
Age 30 thru 34	517	519	520	523	528	535	539	542	542	542	543	544
Age 35 thru 39	489	473	454	434	415	398	383	370	357	342	328	314
Age 40 thru 44	749	756	760	765	771	777	782	783	781	782	784	786
Age 45 thru 49	750	749	743	734	719	698	674	652	634	614	592	569
Age 50 thru 54	756	764	767	766	756	739	725	715	706	694	679	661
Age 55 thru 59	720	734	742	748	748	747	741	735	730	723	713	701
Age 60 thru 64	574	577	578	577	573	562	551	539	527	515	502	487
Age 65 thru 84	1,445	1,450	1,448	1,439	1,434	1,449	1,461	1,466	1,472	1,476	1,481	1,487
Age 85 & Older	256	259	262	264	267	275	281	286	289	293	298	303

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Logan County												
Total Population	2,755	2,726	2,700	2,667	2,630	2,595	2,563	2,526	2,492	2,456	2,419	2,384
Age 0 thru 4	148	143	139	134	128	123	118	114	109	104	100	95
Age 5 thru 9	170	166	163	159	155	153	150	147	144	140	136	133
Age 10 thru 14	161	154	147	139	131	124	117	111	104	99	93	87
Age 15 thru 19	207	205	202	198	194	189	184	178	174	169	165	160
Age 20 thru 24	77	74	70	65	61	57	52	48	44	41	38	36
Age 25 thru 29	144	142	140	138	136	132	129	125	122	119	116	113
Age 30 thru 34	132	130	128	127	126	126	125	123	121	119	116	114
Age 35 thru 39	108	100	92	84	76	69	64	59	54	50	45	41
Age 40 thru 44	209	206	203	198	192	186	180	174	169	164	159	154
Age 45 thru 49	273	281	289	298	305	311	318	325	332	338	344	350
Age 50 thru 54	237	242	249	256	263	269	276	280	285	290	295	300
Age 55 thru 59	167	170	173	177	181	186	190	194	199	202	205	208
Age 60 thru 64	127	124	121	118	114	111	108	104	100	96	93	89
Age 65 thru 84	494	487	481	472	463	453	445	436	427	417	406	396
Age 85 & Older	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	108	108	108	108
Lyon County												
Total Population	35,726	35,780	35,796	35,820	35,830	35,842	35,866	35,889	35,897	35,893	35,888	35,898
Age 0 thru 4	2,544	2,554	2,562	2,568	2,572	2,574	2,577	2,576	2,571	2,566	2,561	2,555
Age 5 thru 9	2,154	2,115	2,071	2,025	1,977	1,933	1,890	1,848	1,808	1,765	1,721	1,679
Age 10 thru 14	2,158	2,114	2,065	2,015	1,963	1,912	1,862	1,812	1,761	1,713	1,665	1,618
Age 15 thru 19	2,842	2,794	2,734	2,667	2,598	2,534	2,470	2,407	2,346	2,289	2,229	2,169
Age 20 thru 24	4,176	4,209	4,231	4,246	4,248	4,221	4,190	4,171	4,153	4143	4,129	4,112
Age 25 thru 29	3,087	3,143	3,207	3,283	3,373	3,483	3,607	3,713	3,802	3,881	3,964	4,053
Age 30 thru 34	1,909	1,873	1,840	1,811	1,777	1,738	1,699	1,655	1,609	1,569	1,530	1,493
Age 35 thru 39	1,860	1,788	1,714	1,640	1,567	1,499	1,435	1,377	1,320	1,262	1,205	1,151
Age 40 thru 44	2,395	2,373	2,343	2,311	2,275	2,236	2,201	2,164	2,127	2,092	2,056	2,019
Age 45 thru 49	2,644	2,691	2,736	2,780	2,822	2,857	2,888	2,916	2,948	2,981	3,011	3,042
Age 50 thru 54	2,442	2,529	2,615	2,704	2,789	2,874	2,955	3,042	3,133	3,226	3,320	3,414
Age 55 thru 59	1,939	2,009	2,076	2,147	2,220	2,301	2,386	2,475	2,569	2,651	2,736	2,824
Age 60 thru 64	1,433	1,469	1,506	1,546	1,590	1,635	1,678	1,718	1,754	1,791	1,829	1,869
Age 65 thru 84	3,275	3,239	3,204	3,171	3,139	3,111	3,080	3,052	3,019	2,978	2,937	2,896
Age 85 & Older	868	880	892	906	920	934	948	963	977	986	995	1,004
Marion County												
Total Population	12,963	12,966	12,954	12,932	12,890	12,840	12,791	12,744	12,702	12,660	12,609	12,563
Age 0 thru 4	605	596	585	572	556	540	525	511	497	484	470	457
Age 5 thru 9	651	629	605	579	550	525	499	476	452	431	411	390
Age 10 thru 14	865	852	837	820	801	781	761	739	722	704	685	666
Age 15 thru 19	1,022	1,024	1,022	1,019	1,015	1,004	992	981	972	963	953	943
Age 20 thru 24	950	989	1,032	1,079	1,128	1,175	1,219	1,263	1,309	1355	1,402	1,451
Age 25 thru 29	611	614	618	623	631	641	651	659	666	669	672	676
Age 30 thru 34	535	519	504	489	473	455	438	420	405	390	374	360
Age 35 thru 39	635	609	583	555	526	497	471	447	424	403	382	361
Age 40 thru 44	908	903	893	880	863	846	826	809	792	777	761	744

M	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Marion County (co		1 110	1 146	1 174	1 100	1 227	1.055	1.270	1 202	1 226	1 240	1 271
Age 45 thru 49	1,091	1,118	1,146	1,174	1,199	1,227	1,255	1,279	1,302	1,326	1,348	1,371
Age 50 thru 54	963	993	1,024	1,054	1,082	1,107	1,139	1,172	1,205	1,235	1,265	1,294
Age 55 thru 59	795	813	828	841	852	862	870	881	891	901	910	918
Age 60 thru 64	688	691	695	698	700	705	708	707	705	704	702	701
Age 65 thru 84	2,064	2,034	1,999	1,966	1,933	1,895	1,858	1,821	1,784	1,744	1,704	1,665
Age 85 & Older	580	582	583	583	581	580	579	579	576	574	570	566
Marshall County												
Total Population	10,242	10,163	10,084	10,008	9,920	9,830	9,744	9,656	9,566	9,475	9,383	9,300
Age 0 thru 4	522	513	505	498	492	485	477	466	457	446	435	425
Age 5 thru 9	460	437	415	394	372	352	334	317	299	281	265	249
Age 10 thru 14	558	527	495	463	429	397	366	337	312	290	268	248
Age 15 thru 19	806	803	794	783	770	753	736	722	706	693	678	662
Age 20 thru 24	674	703	733	764	796	832	875	908	940	973	1,006	1,041
Age 25 thru 29	495	495	498	505	515	526	536	550	562	565	568	573
Age 30 thru 34	339	319	300	283	265	248	232	216	201	187	174	162
Age 35 thru 39	421	390	360	329	299	270	244	221	201	183	166	150
Age 40 thru 44	831	827	821	813	801	787	773	758	743	730	715	700
Age 45 thru 49	912	924	937	948	954	956	955	955	955	956	955	954
Age 50 thru 54	899	932	969	1,008	1,047	1,088	1,132	1,171	1,211	1,249	1,287	1,326
Age 55 thru 59	627	635	641	647	652	656	656	660	661	661	661	660
Age 60 thru 64	549	551	552	554	557	560	562	562	559	556	553	550
Age 65 thru 84	1,759	1,722	1,685	1,647	1,606	1,565	1,520	1,477	1,432	1,387	1,343	1,299
Age 85 & Older	390	385	379	372	365	355	346	336	327	318	309	301
McPherson County	,											
Total Population	29,824	29,959	30,087	30,195	30,290	30,385	30,479	30,572	30,647	30,716	30,796	30,893
Age 0 thru 4	1,658	1,649	1,638	1,624	1,608	1,591	1,569	1,551	1,532	1,511	1,490	1,468
-												
Age 5 thru 9	1,616	1,583	1,548	1,511	1,473	1,438	1,405	1,369	1,333	1,296	1,259	1,224
Age 10 thru 14	1,739	1,688	1,633	1,572	1,508	1,443	1,382	1,323	1,264	1,213	1,162	1,112
Age 15 thru 19	2,462	2,461	2,450	2,432	2,409	2,379	2,345	2,313	2,285	2,259	2,230	2,200
Age 20 thru 24	2,519	2,596	2,678	2,762	2,846	2,926	3,011	3,104	3,191	3268	3,349	3,433
Age 25 thru 29	1,837	1,869	1,906	1,950	2,004	2,068	2,122	2,164	2,202	2,238	2,276	2,317
Age 30 thru 34	1,390	1,360	1,335	1,312	1,289	1,266	1,248	1,229	1,207	1,178	1,151	1,126
Age 35 thru 39	1,440	1,378	1,315	1,250	1,185	1,123	1,066	1,014	963	914	866	820
Age 40 thru 44	2,068	2,045	2,017	1,981	1,938	1,892	1,846	1,798	1,753	1,714	1,674	1,633
Age 45 thru 49	2,517	2,579	2,639	2,695	2,746	2,793	2,837	2,879	2,919	2,963	3,006	3,049
Age 50 thru 54	2,348	2,444	2,544	2,642	2,738	2,830	2,934	3,039	3,143	3,248	3,356	3,465
Age 55 thru 59	1,918	2,003	2,090	2,182	2,276	2,379	2,470	2,563	2,658	2,755	2,856	2,962
Age 60 thru 64	1,357	1,380	1,405	1,430	1,457	1,487	1,517	1,544	1,567	1,586	1,606	1,627
Age 65 thru 84	4,063	4,033	4,002	3,971	3,940	3,906	3,871	3,833	3,789	3,741	3,692	3,644
Age 85 & Older	892	891	887	881	873	864	856	849	841	832	823	813
Meade County												
Total Population	4,674	4,703	4,723	4,745	4,765	4,781	4,799	4,813	4,829	4,840	4,848	4,862
Age 0 thru 4	326	326	324	320	315	310	306	301	296	292	286	281

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Meade County (cor	nt'd)											
Age 5 thru 9	364	363	361	358	354	350	344	339	334	329	324	319
Age 10 thru 14	375	374	372	370	368	366	364	361	357	353	348	344
Age 15 thru 19	339	342	343	344	345	343	340	337	336	334	332	329
Age 20 thru 24	297	312	327	343	359	377	395	416	438	456	475	495
Age 25 thru 29	262	262	261	261	261	260	261	262	261	259	257	255
Age 30 thru 34	241	235	229	223	215	206	198	189	181	174	167	160
Age 35 thru 39	299	298	296	295	294	296	298	299	300	298	295	293
Age 40 thru 44	297	293	288	281	273	263	252	242	234	227	219	211
Age 45 thru 49	393	405	418	431	444	460	474	487	498	510	522	534
Age 50 thru 54	354	375	398	426	456	484	516	547	579	611	645	681
Age 55 thru 59	187	189	190	191	193	193	193	193	193	192	191	191
Age 60 thru 64	161	158	154	150	145	140	136	131	127	122	118	114
Age 65 thru 84	628	620	611	602	593	584	574	563	551	540	528	516
Age 85 & Older	151	151	151	150	150	149	148	146	144	143	141	139
Miami County												
Total Population	31,373	31,739	32,037	32,310	32,570	32,794	32,986	33,151	33,279	33,347	33,395	33,451
Age 0 thru 4	2,061	2,082	2,097	2,106	2,110	2,110	2,113	2,109	2,105	2,091	2,075	2,057
Age 5 thru 9	1,936	1,917	1,891	1,860	1,829	1,797	1,769	1,745	1,719	1,693	1,668	1,641
Age 10 thru 14	2,130	2,107	2,076	2,037	1,997	1,959	1,918	1,882	1,847	1,815	1,783	1,750
Age 15 thru 19	2,260	2,272	2,274	2,269	2,265	2,260	2,257	2,255	2,257	2,256	2,256	2,253
Age 20 thru 24	2,585	2,716	2,867	3,045	3,239	3,430	3,597	3,738	3,847	3961	4,076	4,223
Age 25 thru 29	2,126	2,196	2,275	2,371	2,492	2,633	2,791	2,955	3,108	3,228	3,361	3,506
Age 30 thru 34	1,590	1,557	1,521	1,485	1,449	1,416	1,382	1,344	1,307	1,268	1,232	1,197
Age 35 thru 39	2,023	1,984	1,936	1,884	1,835	1,782	1,736	1,693	1,644	1,596	1,550	1,504
Age 40 thru 44	2,639	2,667	2,683	2,697	2,712	2,725	2,727	2,719	2,713	2,712	2,711	2,709
Age 45 thru 49	2,684	2,717	2,742	2,759	2,755	2,725	2,692	2,659	2,630	2,593	2,543	2,486
Age 50 thru 54	2,421	2,491	2,556	2,613	2,650	2,646	2,640	2,637	2,637	2,638	2,624	2,600
Age 55 thru 59	1,856	1,895	1,923	1,944	1,951	1,947	1,938	1,937	1,937	1,924	1,903	1,875
Age 60 thru 64	1,469	1,492	1,510	1,525	1,532	1,518	1,499	1,481	1,462	1,444	1,419	1,390
Age 65 thru 84	3,067	3,110	3,143	3,167	3,200	3,279	3,347	3,405	3,464	3,515	3,570	3,626
Age 85 & Older	526	536	543	548	554	567	580	592	602	613	624	634
Mitchell County												
Total Population	6,315	6,253	6,194	6,134	6,066	5,999	5,933	5,861	5,785	5,711	5,639	5,569
Age 0 thru 4	281	273	265	257	249	242	234	226	218	210	202	195
Age 5 thru 9	299	286	274	262	249	237	226	215	204	193	183	173
Age 10 thru 14	374	359	343	327	310	293	277	261	246	233	220	208
Age 15 thru 19	651	647	643	637	630	622	614	606	596	587	577	567
Age 20 thru 24	319	323	328	332	336	342	345	348	350	352	354	355
Age 25 thru 29	219	211	203	196	190	182	177	170	164	157	150	144
Age 30 thru 34	233	222	212	203	193	184	176	165	155	147	139	131
Age 35 thru 39	268	251	234	218	201	186	171	159	147	136	126	116
Age 40 thru 44	477	472	467	462	456	448	440	431	421	412	403	395
Age 45 thru 49	518	522	527	530	531	531	532	532	532	531	530	528
Age 50 thru 54	547	562	577	591	603	613	623	632	643	653	663	672

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Mitchell County (co												
Age 55 thru 59	476	494	513	532	553	577	601	625	647	667	689	710
Age 60 thru 64	354	358	363	369	375	380	384	388	391	393	395	39′
Age 65 thru 84	1,035	1,012	988	965	942	920	897	874	849	823	797	77
Age 85 & Older	264	261	257	253	248	242	236	229	222	217	211	20:
Montgomery Coun	ty											
Total Population	34,000	33,739	33,468	33,192	32,909	32,626	32,338	32,040	31,741	31,442	31,152	30,875
Age 0 thru 4	2,059	2,038	2,016	1,995	1,973	1,952	1,923	1,894	1,866	1,838	1,810	1,782
Age 5 thru 9	1,902	1,843	1,781	1,718	1,656	1,595	1,540	1,484	1,432	1,379	1,328	1,278
Age 10 thru 14	2,177	2,124	2,069	2,011	1,950	1,891	1,832	1,774	1,713	1,660	1,607	1,550
Age 15 thru 19	2,480	2,436	2,384	2,330	2,274	2,221	2,166	2,115	2,066	2,017	1,968	1,918
Age 20 thru 24	2,249	2,291	2,335	2,379	2,420	2,447	2,469	2,479	2,491	2518	2,544	2,570
Age 25 thru 29	1,982	1,976	1,974	1,977	1,987	2,008	2,036	2,068	2,085	2,087	2,091	2,098
Age 30 thru 34	1,629	1,577	1,528	1,481	1,431	1,379	1,329	1,278	1,232	1,186	1,142	1,099
Age 35 thru 39	1,888	1,820	1,753	1,685	1,620	1,560	1,501	1,445	1,393	1,338	1,285	1,233
Age 40 thru 44	2,339	2,308	2,274	2,238	2,201	2,160	2,121	2,081	2,036	1,997	1,958	1,919
Age 45 thru 49	2,463	2,453	2,442	2,428	2,410	2,389	2,368	2,344	2,322	2,300	2,276	2,254
Age 50 thru 54	2,616	2,670	2,723	2,773	2,820	2,861	2,909	2,962	3,016	3,064	3,112	3,16
Age 55 thru 59	2,279	2,323	2,362	2,399	2,433	2,475	2,504	2,536	2,570	2,603	2,636	2,66
Age 60 thru 64	1,984	2,024	2,067	2,116	2,172	2,228	2,281	2,325	2,368	2,410	2,454	2,50
Age 65 thru 84	4,816	4,714	4,613	4,510	4,405	4,301	4,198	4,094	3,992	3,889	3,788	3,689
Age 85 & Older	1,137	1,142	1,147	1,152	1,157	1,159	1,161	1,161	1,159	1,156	1,153	1,150
Morris County												
Total Population	6,023	6,007	5,992	5,971	5,951	5,931	5,912	5,901	5,889	5,875	5,860	5,849
Age 0 thru 4	277	269	260	251	241	231	223	215	208	199	191	182
Age 5 thru 9	315	305	293	281	269	258	247	237	225	215	205	194
Age 10 thru 14	366	353	339	324	309	293	277	261	248	235	222	210
Age 15 thru 19	443	442	440	436	432	426	420	417	411	405	398	39
Age 20 thru 24	438	473	515	564	621	680	743	808	868	935	1,007	1,08
Age 25 thru 29	298	298	299	301	304	308	312	318	323	323	323	32.
Age 30 thru 34	228	216	206	195	184	175	165	157	149	140	132	124
Age 35 thru 39	316	302	288	273	259	244	230	217	205	194	182	17
Age 40 thru 44	442	439	435	429	420	411	401	393	386	378	369	35
Age 45 thru 49	493	495	497	496	492	490	486	481	478	474	468	46
Age 50 thru 54	533	553	573	594	614	630	644	656	669	685	700	71
Age 55 thru 59	390	395	400	403	407	410	413	417	421	422	422	42
Age 60 thru 64	341	345	350	354	359	364	370	373	376	378	379	38
Age 65 thru 84	889	865	838	810	779	750	721	692	664	636	609	58
Age 85 & Older	254	257	259	260	261	261	260	259	258	256	253	25
Morton County												
Total Population	3,162	3,140	3,121	3,096	3,075	3,055	3,025	2,992	2,958	2,924	2,893	2,86
Age 0 thru 4	228	224	220	214	208	201	193	186	178	172	166	16
Age 5 thru 9	249	245	241	237	234	229	224	218	213	207	202	19
Age 10 thru 14	242	236	231	225	220	215	210	206	200	193	187	18

2008  2008  220  183  2 105  3 131  162  215  3 331  3 215  3 153  4 153  4 35  7 2	2009  215 185 98 125 155 208 346 217 218 153 436 73	2010 209 187 91 119 147 200 363 218 223 152 436 75	2011  203 188 84 113 140 192 382 218 229 151 436	2012 196 192 78 107 132 184 402 218 236 151 435	2013  189 194 71 101 126 176 417 217 243 150	2014  181 195 65 95 120 166 433 217 250	2015  173 196 59 90 115 157 450 216	2016  167 197 54 85 109 150 466	2017  161 197 50 80 103 143 482	2018 154 198 45 75 98 136
183 105 131 10 162 1 215 13 331 14 153 14 153 15 435	185 98 125 155 208 346 217 218 153 436	187 91 119 147 200 363 218 223 152 436	188 84 113 140 192 382 218 229 151	192 78 107 132 184 402 218 236 151	194 71 101 126 176 417 217 243	195 65 95 120 166 433 217	196 59 90 115 157 450	197 54 85 109 150 466	197 50 80 103 143	198 45 75 98
183 105 131 10 162 1 215 13 331 14 153 14 153 15 435	185 98 125 155 208 346 217 218 153 436	187 91 119 147 200 363 218 223 152 436	188 84 113 140 192 382 218 229 151	192 78 107 132 184 402 218 236 151	194 71 101 126 176 417 217 243	195 65 95 120 166 433 217	196 59 90 115 157 450	197 54 85 109 150 466	197 50 80 103 143	198 45 75 98
2 105 3 131 0 162 1 215 3 331 3 215 3 213 4 153 5 435	98 125 155 208 346 217 218 153 436	91 119 147 200 363 218 223 152 436	84 113 140 192 382 218 229 151	78 107 132 184 402 218 236 151	71 101 126 176 417 217 243	65 95 120 166 433 217	59 90 115 157 450	54 85 109 150 466	50 80 103 143	45 75 98
3 131 0 162 1 215 3 331 3 215 3 213 4 153 5 435	125 155 208 346 217 218 153 436	119 147 200 363 218 223 152 436	113 140 192 382 218 229 151	107 132 184 402 218 236 151	101 126 176 417 217 243	95 120 166 433 217	90 115 157 450	85 109 150 466	80 103 143	75 98
162 1215 3331 331 215 3 213 4 153 4 435	155 208 346 217 218 153 436	147 200 363 218 223 152 436	140 192 382 218 229 151	132 184 402 218 236 151	126 176 417 217 243	120 166 433 217	115 157 450	109 150 466	103 143	98
215 3 331 3 215 3 213 4 153 5 435	208 346 217 218 153 436	200 363 218 223 152 436	192 382 218 229 151	184 402 218 236 151	176 417 217 243	166 433 217	157 450	150 466	143	
3 331 3 215 3 213 4 153 5 435	346 217 218 153 436	363 218 223 152 436	382 218 229 151	402 218 236 151	417 217 243	433 217	450	466		136
3 215 3 213 4 153 5 435	217 218 153 436	218 223 152 436	218 229 151	218 236 151	217 243	217			482	
3 213 4 153 5 435	218 153 436	223 152 436	229 151	236 151	243		216	215		499
153 5 435	153 436	152 436	151	151		250		215	214	213
5 435	436	436			150		255	259	263	268
			436	135	150	148	148	146	144	142
72	73	75		733	432	429	424	419	415	410
		15	77	79	82	83	84	85	86	87
10,432	10,424	10,407	10,389	10,368	10,352	10,332	10,306	10,281	10,271	10,256
	590	574	555	537	518	499	482	466	449	432
	673	657	641	623	605	588	570	552	535	517
	709	690	669	647	626	606	587	568	548	529
	783	776	767		742	728			691	677
										1,557
										415
										195
										293
										815
								964		989
								1,011		1,073
										663
										359
										1,414
	423	412	399	386	375	366	358	348	339	328
16,406	16,358	16,297	16,238	16,181	16,113	16,046	15,974	15,911	15,847	15,788
		997	994		988	988	986			968
	855	826	797		746	720	694		647	623
	983	952	920		856	825	796	769		715
										1,057
										1,389
										1,308
										569
										625
										928
										1,511
										1,438
										1,504
										769
	8 605 2 688 3 727 0 788 0 777 0 444 3 364 5 512 4 831 6 836 4 761 1 552 7 423 1 1,694 5 430	8     605     590       2     688     673       3     727     709       0     788     783       0     777     832       0     444     439       3     364     346       5     512     488       4     831     836       6     836     856       4     761     791       1     552     564       7     423     420       1     1,694     1,674       5     430     423       1     16,406     16,358       8     1,004     1,001       8     882     855       2     1,013     983       4     1,264     1,248       5     1,154     1,184       9     1,050     1,065       1     777     755       2     913     883       6     1,130     1,113       7     1,330     1,353       4     1,229     1,254       4     1,110     1,147	8       605       590       574         2       688       673       657         3       727       709       690         0       788       783       776         0       777       832       894         0       444       439       435         3       364       346       327         5       512       488       462         4       831       836       840         6       836       856       875         4       761       791       822         1       552       564       576         7       423       420       415         1       1,694       1,674       1,652         5       430       423       412         1       16,406       16,358       16,297         8       1,004       1,001       997         8       882       855       826         2       1,013       983       952         4       1,264       1,248       1,229         5       1,154       1,184       1,212         9       1,050	8       605       590       574       555         2       688       673       657       641         3       727       709       690       669         0       788       783       776       767         0       777       832       894       964         0       444       439       435       433         3       364       346       327       308         5       512       488       462       437         4       831       836       840       842         6       836       856       875       893         4       761       791       822       854         1       552       564       576       588         7       423       420       415       411         1       1,694       1,674       1,652       1,628         5       430       423       412       399         1       16,406       16,358       16,297       16,238         8       1,004       1,001       997       994         8       882       855       826       797	8       605       590       574       555       537         2       688       673       657       641       623         3       727       709       690       669       647         0       788       783       776       767       754         0       777       832       894       964       1,049         0       444       439       435       433       433         3       364       346       327       308       290         5       512       488       462       437       411         4       831       836       840       842       838         6       836       856       875       893       908         4       761       791       822       854       887         1       552       564       576       588       600         7       423       420       415       411       405         1       1,694       1,674       1,652       1,628       1,600         5       430       423       412       399       386         1       16,406 <td< td=""><td>8       605       590       574       555       537       518         2       688       673       657       641       623       605         3       727       709       690       669       647       626         0       788       783       776       767       754       742         0       777       832       894       964       1,049       1,131         0       444       439       435       433       433       435         3       364       346       327       308       290       271         5       512       488       462       437       411       388         4       831       836       840       842       838       837         6       836       856       875       893       908       922         4       761       791       822       854       887       920         1       552       564       576       588       600       612         7       423       420       415       411       405       397         1       1,694       1,674       1,</td><td>8         605         590         574         555         537         518         499           2         688         673         657         641         623         605         588           3         727         709         690         669         647         626         606           0         788         783         776         767         754         742         728           0         777         832         894         964         1,049         1,131         1,211           0         444         439         435         433         433         435         434           3         364         346         327         308         290         271         254           5         512         488         462         437         411         388         367           4         831         836         840         842         838         837         833           6         836         856         875         893         908         922         937           4         761         791         822         854         887         920         951</td><td>8         605         590         574         555         537         518         499         482           2         688         673         657         641         623         605         588         570           3         727         709         690         669         647         626         606         587           0         788         783         776         767         754         742         728         714           0         777         832         894         964         1,049         1,131         1,211         1,291           0         444         439         435         433         433         435         434         431           3         364         346         327         308         290         271         254         237           5         512         488         462         437         411         388         367         349           4         831         836         840         842         838         837         833         827           6         836         856         875         893         908         922         937</td><td>8         605         590         574         555         537         518         499         482         466           2         688         673         657         641         623         605         588         570         552           3         727         709         690         669         647         626         606         587         568           0         788         783         776         767         754         742         728         714         703           0         777         832         894         964         1,049         1,131         1,211         1,291         1372           0         4444         439         435         433         433         435         434         431         425           3         364         346         327         308         290         271         254         237         222           5         512         488         462         437         411         388         367         349         329           4         831         836         856         875         893         908         922         937         951</td><td>8         605         590         574         555         537         518         499         482         466         449           2         688         673         657         641         623         605         588         570         552         535           3         727         709         690         669         647         626         606         587         568         548           0         788         783         776         767         754         742         728         714         703         691           0         777         832         894         964         1,049         1,131         1,211         1,291         1372         1462           0         4444         439         435         433         433         435         434         431         425         420           3         364         346         327         308         290         271         254         237         222         208           5         512         488         462         437         411         388         367         349         329         311           4         831</td></td<>	8       605       590       574       555       537       518         2       688       673       657       641       623       605         3       727       709       690       669       647       626         0       788       783       776       767       754       742         0       777       832       894       964       1,049       1,131         0       444       439       435       433       433       435         3       364       346       327       308       290       271         5       512       488       462       437       411       388         4       831       836       840       842       838       837         6       836       856       875       893       908       922         4       761       791       822       854       887       920         1       552       564       576       588       600       612         7       423       420       415       411       405       397         1       1,694       1,674       1,	8         605         590         574         555         537         518         499           2         688         673         657         641         623         605         588           3         727         709         690         669         647         626         606           0         788         783         776         767         754         742         728           0         777         832         894         964         1,049         1,131         1,211           0         444         439         435         433         433         435         434           3         364         346         327         308         290         271         254           5         512         488         462         437         411         388         367           4         831         836         840         842         838         837         833           6         836         856         875         893         908         922         937           4         761         791         822         854         887         920         951	8         605         590         574         555         537         518         499         482           2         688         673         657         641         623         605         588         570           3         727         709         690         669         647         626         606         587           0         788         783         776         767         754         742         728         714           0         777         832         894         964         1,049         1,131         1,211         1,291           0         444         439         435         433         433         435         434         431           3         364         346         327         308         290         271         254         237           5         512         488         462         437         411         388         367         349           4         831         836         840         842         838         837         833         827           6         836         856         875         893         908         922         937	8         605         590         574         555         537         518         499         482         466           2         688         673         657         641         623         605         588         570         552           3         727         709         690         669         647         626         606         587         568           0         788         783         776         767         754         742         728         714         703           0         777         832         894         964         1,049         1,131         1,211         1,291         1372           0         4444         439         435         433         433         435         434         431         425           3         364         346         327         308         290         271         254         237         222           5         512         488         462         437         411         388         367         349         329           4         831         836         856         875         893         908         922         937         951	8         605         590         574         555         537         518         499         482         466         449           2         688         673         657         641         623         605         588         570         552         535           3         727         709         690         669         647         626         606         587         568         548           0         788         783         776         767         754         742         728         714         703         691           0         777         832         894         964         1,049         1,131         1,211         1,291         1372         1462           0         4444         439         435         433         433         435         434         431         425         420           3         364         346         327         308         290         271         254         237         222         208           5         512         488         462         437         411         388         367         349         329         311           4         831

Kansas Popu	lation P	rojecti	ons, by	Count	y, by A	ige Col	nort to	r 2007 1	through	h 2018		
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	201
Neosho County (co	nt'd)											
Age 65 thru 84	2,274	2,239	2,203	2,166	2,128	2,090	2,050	2,007	1,966	1,925	1,885	1,84
Age 85 & Older	506	511	515	519	523	528	531	534	536	537	538	539
Ness County												
Total Population	2,873	2,807	2,740	2,667	2,598	2,534	2,470	2,394	2,322	2,247	2,173	2,10
Age 0 thru 4	128	123	117	110	105	99	94	88	83	78	73	6
Age 5 thru 9	129	120	112	104	96	89	82	75	68	62	57	5
Age 10 thru 14	160	151	142	134	126	119	112	104	98	90	84	7
Age 15 thru 19	161	154	146	137	127	117	108	99	91	84	77	7
Age 20 thru 24	140	145	150	156	163	173	181	190	197	202	208	21
Age 25 thru 29	41	36	31	26	22	18	15	12	10	9	7	
Age 30 thru 34	91	85	79	73	68	64	60	56	53	48	44	4
Age 35 thru 39	95	84	74	64	55	47	40	34	29	25	21	1
Age 40 thru 44	259	254	249	244	237	229	221	212	202	194	186	17
Age 45 thru 49	275	275	274	272	269	267	264	259	255	250	245	23
Age 50 thru 54	266	272	278	284	290	293	298	300	302	303	303	30
Age 55 thru 59	203	205	206	207	208	211	211	211	211	209	206	20
Age 60 thru 64	159	154	150	144	139	135	130	124	117	112	106	10
=	621	606	592	575	560	545	530	512	493	472	452	43
Age 65 thru 84	145	143	140	137	133	128	124	118		109	104	43
Age 85 & Older	143	143	140	137	133	120	124	116	113	109	104	9.
Norton County												
Total Population	5,631	5,616	5,601	5,579	5,554	5,526	5,491	5,455	5,420	5,387	5,355	5,32
Age 0 thru 4	230	225	220	214	209	203	196	189	182	177	171	16
Age 5 thru 9	253	245	236	227	218	208	198	188	179	171	163	15
Age 10 thru 14	316	308	300	291	282	273	265	256	247	238	230	22
Age 15 thru 19	372	369	367	364	362	356	350	345	340	335	330	32
Age 20 thru 24	459	478	498	518	538	563	590	614	639	662	686	71
Age 25 thru 29	327	324	320	317	315	312	309	305	300	296	291	28
Age 30 thru 34	318	311	305	299	292	285	276	267	259	251	244	23
Age 35 thru 39	362	352	341	329	316	303	289	277	267	256	246	23
Age 40 thru 44	466	467	467	465	461	459	458	457	454	451	448	44
Age 45 thru 49	478	488	499	510	519	526	529	533	539	545	551	55
Age 50 thru 54	394	400	406	411	415	419	422	424	425	428	430	43
Age 55 thru 59	358	367	376	384	393	401	408	415	422	429	436	44
Age 60 thru 64	293	296	300	304	308	313	318	324	328	331	333	33
Age 65 thru 84	728	706	683	661	638	615	592	569	546	525	504	48
Age 85 & Older	277	280	283	285	288	290	291	292	293	292	292	29
Osage County												
Total Population	17,344	17,476	17,589	17,664	17,740	17,837	17,923	17,987	18,045	18,080	18,112	18,14
Age 0 thru 4	842	826	807	783	758	734	714	691	668	645	621	59
Age 5 thru 9	1,066	1,056	1,044	1,028	1,015	1,004	993	984	975	965	957	94
Age 10 thru 14	1,185	1,170	1,153	1,132	1,113	1,004	1,077	1,060	1,044	1,030	1,017	1,00
Age 15 thru 19	1,323	1,343	1,361	1,377	1,113	1,421	1,077	1,469	1,495	1,520	1,547	1,57
Age 20 thru 24	1,323	1,343	1,260	1,377	1,339	1,381	1,445	1,469	1,495	1,520	1,493	1,51

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Osage County (cont	<b>'d</b> )											
Age 25 thru 29	1,129	1,165	1,207	1,256	1,319	1,396	1,470	1,543	1,613	1,672	1,738	1,809
Age 30 thru 34	852	844	839	837	836	837	837	836	830	821	815	808
Age 35 thru 39	903	871	836	798	761	727	695	665	637	608	581	554
Age 40 thru 44	1,418	1,434	1,446	1,456	1,466	1,475	1,484	1,488	1,485	1,488	1,492	1,49
Age 45 thru 49	1,494	1,518	1,540	1,557	1,565	1,561	1,551	1,540	1,536	1,526	1,510	1,49
Age 50 thru 54	1,302	1,332	1,359	1,380	1,393	1,390	1,392	1,397	1,403	1,404	1,398	1,38
Age 55 thru 59	1,114	1,139	1,159	1,175	1,186	1,193	1,192	1,195	1,200	1,199	1,193	1,18
Age 60 thru 64	886	891	893	892	885	870	853	834	817	801	782	76
Age 65 thru 84	2,173	2,190	2,203	2,205	2,210	2,244	2,275	2,300	2,330	2,357	2,389	2,42
Age 85 & Older	466	474	482	488	495	510	526	538	552	565	579	594
Osborne County												
Total Population	3,941	3,888	3,831	3,772	3,708	3,646	3,579	3,511	3,447	3,382	3,315	3,25
Age 0 thru 4	166	160	154	148	142	137	131	125	119	113	107	10
Age 5 thru 9	166	156	146	135	125	115	106	98	90	83	76	7
Age 10 thru 14	237	226	214	201	188	176	164	153	141	132	122	11
Age 15 thru 19	314	314	312	310	307	302	296	290	286	280	274	26
Age 20 thru 24	229	240	251	265	279	297	315	336	355	370	386	40
Age 25 thru 29	114	108	103	98	92	87	81	75	70	66	61	5
Age 30 thru 34	110	103	95	89	82	75	69	64	59	54	49	4
Age 35 thru 39	149	137	124	112	101	90	80	71	63	57	51	4
Age 40 thru 44	323	321	318	313	306	301	295	287	280	273	266	25
Age 45 thru 49	353	355	357	358	359	358	356	352	349	345	341	33
Age 50 thru 54	355	369	385	401	418	430	441	451	461	473	483	49
Age 55 thru 59	228	226	223	219	215	211	207	203	200	195	190	18
Age 60 thru 64	240	242	244	246	248	252	256	257	257	256	255	25
Age 65 thru 84	743	720	697	673	647	621	595	568	542	516	491	46
Age 85 & Older	214	211	208	204	199	194	187	181	175	169	163	15
Ottawa County												
Total Population	6,198	6,238	6,279	6,317	6,347	6,374	6,399	6,421	6,442	6,459	6,479	6,49
Age 0 thru 4	322	320	318	316	312	309	304	298	292	286	281	27
Age 5 thru 9	328	319	310	300	289	278	267	257	246	236	226	21
Age 10 thru 14	393	385	377	367	357	347	338	330	321	311	301	29
Age 15 thru 19	455	456	456	454	449	439	429	419	412	405	398	39
Age 20 thru 24	412	446	486	531	581	638	687	743	797	856	921	99
Age 25 thru 29	300	297	294	292	289	288	287	285	283	279	274	27
Age 30 thru 34	325	323	321	320	319	317	314	310	306	301	296	29
Age 35 thru 39	312	297	281	264	247	230	215	201	189	177	165	15
Age 40 thru 44	541	548	554	559	560	562	563	563	562	562	560	55
Age 45 thru 49	551	565	578	590	600	607	615	622	630	636	642	64
Age 50 thru 54	516	535	555	575	596	613	632	650	667	684	701	71
Age 55 thru 59	397	406	413	420	426	431	438	446	452	456	460	4
=												4:
Age 60 thru 64	359	367	376	385	394	406	418	424	432	438	444	
Age 65 thru 84 Age 85 & Older	829 158	821 153	814 146	805 139	796 132	784 125	774 118	762 111	749 104	734 98	718 92	70

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Pawnee County												
Total Population	6,625	6,558	6,476	6,398	6,317	6,244	6,168	6,092	6,018	5,933	5,859	5,790
Age 0 thru 4	297	287	276	265	253	241	230	219	210	200	191	181
Age 5 thru 9	363	355	346	338	331	325	318	312	304	296	288	280
Age 10 thru 14	354	338	321	304	287	271	255	240	226	212	200	188
Age 15 thru 19	572	568	561	553	545	537	527	517	507	497	487	477
Age 20 thru 24	463	481	498	516	535	558	582	608	635	655	677	701
Age 25 thru 29	308	299	289	280	271	266	260	253	245	236	228	221
Age 30 thru 34	326	316	307	298	289	277	265	253	243	232	223	213
Age 35 thru 39	335	315	295	275	256	239	224	211	198	185	172	161
Age 40 thru 44	506	500	493	485	476	470	462	452	442	432	423	413
Age 45 thru 49	530	525	517	509	499	486	472	459	448	437	426	415
Age 50 thru 54	603	622	642	664	686	705	730	752	774	793	814	835
Age 55 thru 59	429	434	438	441	444	450	453	455	457	458	459	461
Age 60 thru 64	384	388	391	395	398	401	403	404	403	403	403	404
Age 65 thru 84	864	834	802	770	738	707	676	645	614	586	558	531
Age 85 & Older	291	296	300	305	309	311	311	312	312	311	310	309
Phillips County												
Total Population	5,362	5,289	5,221	5,142	5,066	4,990	4,912	4,832	4,752	4,670	4,589	4,511
Age 0 thru 4	272	265	258	250	243	235	229	220	212	204	196	189
Age 5 thru 9	282	272	262	252	242	232	222	212	203	194	185	176
Age 10 thru 14	310	296	281	265	250	234	219	204	191	179	168	157
Age 15 thru 19	407	404	401	397	393	387	382	378	372	366	359	353
Age 20 thru 24	246	251	256	260	264	271	279	283	285	288	292	295
Age 25 thru 29	159	149	139	130	120	112	103	96	90	83	77	71
Age 30 thru 34	201	189	179	169	158	149	139	129	120	112	104	97
Age 35 thru 39	271	257	243	228	215	201	188	177	167	156	146	137
Age 40 thru 44	398	392	387	381	376	368	360	351	342	334	325	317
Age 45 thru 49	417	414	411	406	400	395	387	380	372	365	357	349
Age 50 thru 54	485	494	504	512	519	523	526	529	532	536	539	541
Age 55 thru 59	443	460	478	497	518	542	565	590	611	631	651	672
Age 60 thru 64	293	290	287	283	279	275	270	264	260	254	249	243
Age 65 thru 84	965	948	932	915	897	880	864	846	827	806	785	764
Age 85 & Older	213	208	203	197	192	186	179	173	168	162	156	150
Pottawatomie Cou	nty											
Total Population	19,532	19,721	19,912	20,105	20,306	20,498	20,691	20,878	21,068	21,253	21,449	21,650
Age 0 thru 4	1,339	1,338	1,334	1,328	1,321	1,319	1,314	1,309	1,302	1,293	1,284	1,274
Age 5 thru 9	1,295	1,277	1,257	1,234	1,209	1,184	1,158	1,134	1,109	1,085	1,060	1,035
Age 10 thru 14	1,399	1,381	1,361	1,337	1,310	1,283	1,254	1,226	1,201	1,175	1,149	1,123
Age 15 thru 19	1,500	1,506	1,507	1,506	1,504	1,496	1,489	1,480	1,472	1,466	1,458	1,448
Age 20 thru 24	1,483	1,562	1,648	1,744	1,849	1,954	2,063	2,165	2,269	2379	2,496	2,620
Age 25 thru 29	1,287	1,307	1,332	1,363	1,402	1,444	1,488	1,529	1,565	1,593	1,624	1,656
Age 30 thru 34	1,032	1,012	995	979	963	947	927	904	884	862	842	822
Age 35 thru 39	1,110	1,074	1,036	996	957	920	887	858	829	797	766	735
Age 40 thru 44	1,538	1,545	1,550	1,554	1,555	1,550	1,542	1,537	1,530	1,525	1,519	1,512

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Pottawatomie Cou			2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2013	2010	2017	2010
Age 45 thru 49	1,568	1,607	1,645	1,680	1,713	1,741	1,766	1,788	1,815	1,843	1,870	1,896
Age 50 thru 54	1,474	1,535	1,598	1,660	1,720	1,778	1,842	1,912	1,981	2,051	2,121	2,191
Age 55 thru 59	1,225	1,286	1,350	1,419	1,492	1,569	1,646	1,726	1,807	1,888	1,973	2,062
Age 60 thru 64	809	825	840	855	869	882	896	904	914	925	936	946
Age 65 thru 84	2,063	2,053	2,043	2,032	2,022	2,007	1,993	1,978	1,961	1,941	1,921	1,900
Age 85 & Older	410	413	416	418	420	424	426	428	429	430	430	430
Pratt County												
Total Population	9,476	9,463	9,456	9,440	9,418	9,394	9,369	9,342	9,320	9,298	9,283	9,264
Age 0 thru 4	525	519	513	504	494	484	473	463	453	442	431	419
Age 5 thru 9	523	511	499	486	474	462	450	438	425	411	398	384
Age 10 thru 14	528	508	487	466	444	422	401	379	359	340	322	304
Age 15 thru 19	718	701	682	659	634	612	589	569	548	528	507	486
Age 20 thru 24	928	991	1,061	1,138	1,220	1,302	1,381	1,462	1,547	1635	1,727	1,823
Age 25 thru 29	466	464	462	460	460	460	459	458	457	452	447	441
Age 30 thru 34	391	377	365	354	342	330	317	304	292	279	267	255
Age 35 thru 39	445	422	399	376	354	333	313	295	278	261	244	228
Age 40 thru 44	639	626	610	591	569	546	524	503	482	463	444	425
Age 45 thru 49	812	820	825	827	824	820	818	813	808	803	796	788
Age 50 thru 54	899	948	1,004	1,064	1,129	1,192	1,255	1,313	1,373	1,436	1,501	1,567
Age 55 thru 59	492	495	498	500	500	501	500	501	501	498	495	491
Age 60 thru 64	432	431	429	426	421	416	411	404	397	390	383	375
Age 65 thru 84	1,324	1,293	1,262	1,227	1,189	1,148	1,110	1,072	1,034	996	959	920
Age 85 & Older	354	357	360	362	364	366	368	368	366	364	362	358
Rawlins County												
Total Population	2,576	2,527	2,477	2,423	2,373	2,315	2,260	2,204	2,150	2,098	2,043	1,989
Age 0 thru 4	77	71	65	60	54	48	43	38	33	30	26	23
Age 5 thru 9	103	95	87	79	71	63	56	50	45	40	35	30
Age 10 thru 14	146	137	127	118	108	99	90	82	73	66	59	52
Age 15 thru 19	173	167	159	151	142	131	121	112	104	96	88	80
Age 20 thru 24	209	233	262	297	341	386	430	471	514	558	604	652
Age 25 thru 29	29	25	22	18	15	13	11	9	7	6	5	4
Age 30 thru 34	60	54	49	43	38	33	29	25	21	18	15	13
Age 35 thru 39	97	88	80	71	63	55	48	42	36	32	27	23
Age 40 thru 44	189	182	175	167	158	148	139	129	120	111	103	94
Age 45 thru 49	230	227	224	219	213	205	198	191	183	174	164	154
Age 50 thru 54	246	250	254	257	259	258	257	254	251	246	240	233
Age 55 thru 59	192	191	190	188	185	184	179	175	170	164	157	149
Age 60 thru 64	165	163	160	157	155	150	146	142	137	131	124	117
Age 65 thru 84	524	508	488	465	441	415	390	366	343	318	294	269
Age 85 & Older	136	136	135	133	130	127	123	118	113	108	102	96
Reno County												
Total Population	63,714	63,792	63,855	63,915	63,948	63,953	63,950	63,916	63,850	63,795	63,750	63,732
Age 0 thru 4	3,969	3,959	3,946	3,928	3,906	3,878	3,848	3,817	3,786	3,754	3,721	3,688

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	201
Reno County (cont	<b>d</b> )											
Age 5 thru 9	3,777	3,730	3,681	3,631	3,581	3,535	3,484	3,431	3,373	3,315	3,259	3,20
Age 10 thru 14	3,745	3,661	3,575	3,484	3,390	3,298	3,209	3,118	3,029	2,943	2,858	2,77
Age 15 thru 19	4,308	4,261	4,200	4,131	4,058	3,989	3,918	3,847	3,777	3,710	3,640	3,57
Age 20 thru 24	4,511	4,609	4,703	4,791	4,867	4,913	4,962	5,004	5,040	5099	5158	5,2
Age 25 thru 29	4,255	4,284	4,325	4,380	4,451	4,537	4,626	4,711	4,783	4,828	4,878	4,9
Age 30 thru 34	3,401	3,333	3,278	3,229	3,180	3,128	3,072	3,010	2,948	2,883	2,820	2,7
Age 35 thru 39	3,482	3,351	3,218	3,085	2,955	2,830	2,713	2,605	2,503	2,397	2,293	2,1
Age 40 thru 44	4,566	4,522	4,467	4,404	4,333	4,255	4,181	4,105	4,027	3,959	3,888	3,8
Age 45 thru 49	5,018	5,071	5,121	5,163	5,194	5,225	5,250	5,262	5,276	5,297	5,315	5,3
Age 50 thru 54	5,004	5,165	5,330	5,498	5,660	5,805	5,960	6,122	6,286	6,453	6,623	6,7
Age 55 thru 59	4,176	4,330	4,486	4,649	4,821	5,002	5,171	5,345	5,522	5,698	5,880	6,0
Age 60 thru 64	3,028	3,060	3,093	3,127	3,163	3,202	3,241	3,273	3,295	3,317	3,340	3,3
Age 65 thru 84	8,569	8,511	8,448	8,389	8,320	8,247	8,165	8,078	7,983	7,889	7,794	7,7
Age 85 & Older	1,905	1,945	1,984	2,026	2,069	2,109	2,150	2,188	2,222	2,253	2,283	2,3
Republic County												
Cotal Population	4,989	4,904	4,822	4,730	4,637	4,535	4,435	4,329	4,225	4,119	4,020	3,9
Age 0 thru 4	204	197	190	182	174	166	158	149	142	134	126	1
Age 5 thru 9	213	203	193	183	173	163	154	145	135	126	118	1
Age 10 thru 14	245	230	214	198	181	165	150	137	124	113	103	
Age 15 thru 19	353	348	341	334	326	313	300	286	272	261	249	2
Age 20 thru 24	289	309	332	358	387	419	462	504	546	579	616	6
Age 25 thru 29	96	87	79	71	64	57	50	44	39	35	31	
Age 30 thru 34	165	155	146	137	128	119	110	101	93	85	78	
Age 35 thru 39	182	167	152	137	123	110	98	87	78	69	62	
Age 40 thru 44	359	349	338	326	313	298	283	269	255	242	229	2
Age 45 thru 49	448	449	450	448	443	437	429	419	409	400	390	3
Age 50 thru 54	470	480	491	501	511	518	525	532	539	541	543	5
Age 55 thru 59	361	364	367	368	370	369	364	358	350	344	338	3
Age 60 thru 64	302	299	296	292	287	285	282	276	269	261	254	2
Age 65 thru 84	1,082	1,053	1,025	994	963	929	891	852	812	774	736	$\epsilon$
Age 85 & Older	220	214	208	201	194	187	179	170	162	155	147	1
ice County												
otal Population	10,439	10,436	10,421	10,413	10,392	10,368	10,330	10,291	10,257	10,225	10,198	10,1
Age 0 thru 4	590	585	580	575	569	561	550	540	530	521	512	4
Age 5 thru 9	554	537	520	503	485	470	455	439	423	408	393	3
Age 10 thru 14	620	603	585	567	548	528	505	484	464	447	429	4
Age 15 thru 19	950	948	939	928	913	897	881	865	852	839	826	8
age 20 thru 24	1,197	1,245	1,294	1,344	1,394	1,446	1,500	1,560	1,616	1672	1731	1,7
age 25 thru 29	529	531	534	539	547	556	562	564	563	564	565	4
age 30 thru 34	434	421	410	399	389	378	368	359	348	337	326	3
Age 35 thru 39	442	420	396	373	350	329	309	290	274	257	241	2
Age 40 thru 44	674	666	655	643	628	612	595	578	564	550	536	
Age 45 thru 49	840	859	877	897	915	932	947	960	973	987	1,000	1,0
Age 50 thru 54	742	764	787	814	839	861	883	905	929	951	974	1,0

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Rice County (cont'		2000	2005	2010	2011		2010		_010	2010	_01/	2010
Age 55 thru 59	590	601	611	622	634	647	656	666	674	682	690	699
Age 60 thru 64	479	476	472	468	463	460	455	448	442	435	429	423
Age 65 thru 84	1,469	1,449	1,428	1,406	1,381	1,352	1,324	1,294	1,268	1,239	1,211	1,184
Age 85 & Older	329	331	333	335	337	339	340	339	337	336	335	334
Riley County												
Total Population	62,370	61,988	61,624	61,170	60,669	60,348	60,103	59,897	59,694	59,491	59,298	59,101
Age 0 thru 4	4,035	4,025	4,027	4,034	4,046	4,061	4,069	4,072	4,072	4,070	4,071	4,073
Age 5 thru 9	2,696	2,610	2,528	2,446	2,364	2,292	2,227	2,162	2,094	2,028	1,965	1,902
Age 10 thru 14	2,322	2,222	2,124	2,021	1,916	1,825	1,738	1,656	1,580	1,508	1,438	1,370
Age 15 thru 19	6,491	6,371	6,231	6,064	5,889	5,758	5,632	5,518	5,409	5,298	5,184	5,066
Age 20 thru 24	16,635	16,675	16,695	16,661	16,574	16,502	16,425	16,365	16,340	16322	16296	16,255
Age 25 thru 29	7,456	7,545	7,670	7,825	8,020	8,254	8,531	8,798	9,000	9,186	9,387	9,602
Age 30 thru 34	3,691	3,613	3,550	3,493	3,437	3,386	3,332	3,269	3,212	3,151	3,094	3,038
Age 35 thru 39	2,631	2,515	2,404	2,295	2,190	2,095	2,005	1,926	1,848	1,768	1,691	1,617
Age 40 thru 44	2,464	2,366	2,266	2,161	2,053	1,958	1,875	1,792	1,714	1,641	1,570	1,500
Age 45 thru 49	2,699	2,677	2,651	2,612	2,563	2,523	2,484	2,448	2,417	2,389	2,358	2,325
Age 50 thru 54	2,490	2,514	2,530	2,530	2,518	2,501	2,491	2,488	2,490	2,499	2,504	2,504
Age 55 thru 59	2,158	2,225	2,290	2,351	2,410	2,485	2,562	2,641	2,727	2,808	2,891	2,976
Age 60 thru 64	1,292	1,282	1,271	1,257	1,240	1,226	1,214	1,201	1,186	1,174	1,161	1,149
Age 65 thru 84	4,306	4,313	4,321	4,323	4,321	4,324	4,325	4,332	4,340	4,348	4,352	4,354
Age 85 & Older	1,004	1,035	1,066	1,097	1,128	1,158	1,193	1,229	1,265	1,301	1,336	1,370
Rooks County												
Total Population	5,260	5,211	5,161	5,105	5,052	4,999	4,945	4,893	4,838	4,783	4,731	4,679
Age 0 thru 4	268	261	254	246	238	231	223	216	209	201	194	186
Age 5 thru 9	271	259	247	234	222	210	198	187	176	166	157	147
Age 10 thru 14	326	312	298	283	269	255	241	228	215	203	191	180
Age 15 thru 19	371	368	363	358	352	345	338	332	325	318	310	302
Age 20 thru 24	411	436	464	495	530	567	605	644	683	720	760	802
Age 25 thru 29	192	183	173	164	155	146	138	129	121	114	107	100
Age 30 thru 34	250	241	233	224	216	207	199	190	182	174	166	158
Age 35 thru 39	256	242	227	211	195	181	168	156	144	133	123	114
Age 40 thru 44	414	410	404	398	391	382	373	365	357	349	340	331
Age 45 thru 49	461	473	486	498	511	522	532	540	546	554	561	568
Age 50 thru 54	365	370	375	379	381	382	383	385	387	388	388	387
Age 55 thru 59	335	342	350	358	367	376	384	391	397	402	407	412
Age 60 thru 64	242	236	231	224	217	211	205	199	192	185	179	172
Age 65 thru 84	885	866	846	825	803	782	760	737	714	690	666	643
Age 85 & Older	213	212	210	208	205	202	198	194	190	186	182	177
Rush County												
Total Population	3,344	3,317	3,287	3,260	3,230	3,202	3,175	3,143	3,114	3,085	3,057	3,031
Age 0 thru 4	180	179	179	178	177	176	175	173	171	168	166	163
Age 5 thru 9	148	141	134	128	121	115	109	103	98	92	87	82
Age 10 thru 14	184	177	170	162	154	147	139	132	126	120	113	107

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Rush County (cont	' <b>d</b> )											
Age 15 thru 19	212	210	207	204	200	196	192	187	181	177	172	168
Age 20 thru 24	235	254	274	296	321	347	376	405	435	465	498	532
Age 25 thru 29	147	146	144	143	142	140	139	138	135	133	130	128
Age 30 thru 34	126	120	114	109	104	99	94	87	82	77	73	68
Age 35 thru 39	152	144	136	128	119	112	106	101	95	89	84	78
Age 40 thru 44	231	228	223	218	212	205	197	190	184	178	172	165
Age 45 thru 49	274	276	277	277	276	273	270	266	262	259	256	252
Age 50 thru 54	283	290	296	302	308	313	318	322	326	329	332	335
Age 55 thru 59	241	247	252	259	266	275	282	288	295	300	304	309
Age 60 thru 64	165	161	157	153	149	145	141	137	132	128	123	119
Age 65 thru 84	630	610	592	573	553	534	515	495	476	457	437	418
Age 85 & Older	136	134	132	130	128	125	122	119	116	113	110	107
Russell County												
Total Population	6,716	6,653	6,585	6,516	6,438	6,356	6,272	6,190	6,110	6,027	5,950	5,879
Age 0 thru 4	343	339	335	331	326	321	315	310	305	299	292	286
Age 5 thru 9	313	299	286	272	258	245	233	221	208	197	186	175
Age 10 thru 14	377	364	351	338	325	310	296	282	270	257	245	233
Age 15 thru 19	388	377	364	349	333	317	302	289	275	263	251	238
Age 20 thru 24	465	495	528	565	607	654	699	741	782	826	873	924
Age 25 thru 29	236	227	217	209	200	194	189	185	178	170	163	156
Age 30 thru 34	264	253	244	235	225	215	205	194	185	175	166	158
Age 35 thru 39	300	280	260	240	221	201	183	167	152	139	127	116
Age 40 thru 44	507	502	496	490	482	476	468	461	453	443	434	425
Age 45 thru 49	555	559	563	565	566	562	558	554	550	546	541	537
Age 50 thru 54	536	545	553	561	565	569	571	574	578	580	582	583
Age 55 thru 59	484	492	499	506	513	518	520	521	524	526	527	528
Age 60 thru 64	389	388	386	384	382	378	377	375	371	366	361	356
Age 65 thru 84	1,217	1,186	1,152	1,117	1,079	1,039	999	960	923	886	850	814
Age 85 & Older	342	347	351	354	356	357	357	356	356	354	352	350
Saline County												
Total Population	54,484	54,719	54,916	55,092	55,243	55,383	55,528	55,684	55,831	55,978	56,126	56,270
Age 0 thru 4	3,610	3,609	3,601	3,588	3,565	3,544	3,517	3,494	3,474	3,452	3,428	3,402
Age 5 thru 9	3,488	3,449	3,403	3,353	3,298	3,242	3,192	3,138	3,081	3,030	2,977	2,923
Age 10 thru 14	3,674	3,641	3,604	3,561	3,515	3,473	3,424	3,374	3,326	3,279	3,231	3,182
Age 15 thru 19	3,610	3,574	3,523	3,462	3,396	3,338	3,287	3,241	3,190	3,140	3,088	3,033
Age 20 thru 24	4,048	4,155	4,262	4,369	4,472	4,541	4,606	4,677	4,749	4835	4,922	5,007
Age 25 thru 29	3,616	3,625	3,640	3,661	3,694	3,747	3,800	3,838	3,867	3,884	3,903	3,923
Age 30 thru 34	3,191	3,133	3,080	3,028	2,973	2,909	2,838	2,772	2,706	2,643	2,582	2,522
Age 35 thru 39	3,398	3,318	3,233	3,147	3,063	2,985	2,915	2,854	2,790	2,717	2,644	2,572
Age 40 thru 44	3,961	3,935	3,901	3,863	3,818	3,765	3,714	3,666	3,619	3,575	3,529	3,480
Age 45 thru 49	4,275	4,340	4,402	4,457	4,505	4,546	4,592	4,628	4,669	4,713	4,754	4,793
Age 50 thru 54	4,273	4,340	4,300	4,424	4,542	4,654	4,775	4,910	5,043	5,176	5,310	
Age 55 thru 59	3,520	3,676		4,424	4,342		4,773		3,043 4,977			5,444 5,616
Age 60 thru 64	2,349	2,376	3,836 2,403	2,429	2,459	4,392 2,489	2,521	4,775 2,546	2,569	5,181 2,590	5,394 2,611	2,633

Kansas Popu	lation I	Project	ions, by	y Coun	ty, by	Age Co	hort fo	r 2007	throug	h 2018		
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Saline County (con	t'd)											
Age 65 thru 84	6,499	6,492	6,480	6,468	6,449	6,427	6,405	6,380	6,351	6,319	6,285	6,248
Age 85 & Older	1,196	1,222	1,248	1,276	1,304	1,331	1,360	1,391	1,420	1,444	1,468	1,492
<b>Scott County</b>												
Total Population	4,508	4,453	4,397	4,344	4,287	4,228	4,168	4,099	4,032	3,960	3,886	3,814
Age 0 thru 4	298	294	291	288	284	282	279	274	268	262	255	248
Age 5 thru 9	258	247	235	224	213	202	192	183	173	163	153	144
Age 10 thru 14	295	282	268	254	240	226	212	199	187	175	164	153
Age 15 thru 19	351	344	336	328	320	312	303	293	283	273	262	252
Age 20 thru 24	321	335	350	366	385	400	414	427	442	455	467	480
Age 25 thru 29	188	179	171	163	155	147	140	133	126	119	112	105
Age 30 thru 34	230	223	216	211	206	201	196	190	185	178	171	164
Age 35 thru 39	219	205	190	176	162	149	138	126	115	105	96	87
Age 40 thru 44	333	325	316	308	299	288	277	266	255	245	234	223
Age 45 thru 49	398	398	397	396	393	388	382	375	368	361	354	346
Age 50 thru 54	415	425	436	447	457	467	474	478	482	486	490	493
Age 55 thru 59	369	382	395	410	425	445	466	490	510	524	539	554
Age 60 thru 64	247	249	250	250	252	252	252	250	248	246	243	240
Age 65 thru 84	466	448	431	411	389	366	344	322	301	283	265	248
Age 85 & Older	120	117	115	112	107	103	99	93	89	85	81	77
Sedgwick County												
Total Population	471,949	476,766	480,456	480,866	478,581	479,042	482,732	485,791	488,672	491,124	493,527	496,002
Age 0 thru 4	37,273	37,792	38,237	38,416	38,367	38,504	38,863	39,127	39,330	39,464	39,577	39,680
Age 5 thru 9	33,870	33,900	33,821	33,483	33,016	32,779	32,833	32,908	32,985	33,047	33,150	33,239
Age 10 thru 14	34,580	34,779	34,918	34,807	34,574	34,561	34,811	35,032	35,259	35,512	35,820	36,119
Age 15 thru 19	32,909	33,219	33,410	33,367	33,225	33,354	33,782	34,233	34,685	35,097	35,554	36,003
Age 20 thru 24	32,685	32,446	32,216	31,799	31,083	30,571	30,207	29,643	28,975	28436	27,823	27,385
Age 25 thru 29	34,472	34,871	35,257	35,547	35,806	36,358	37,134	37,841	38,432	38,913	39,481	40,089
Age 30 thru 34	31,152	31,171	31,226	31,188	31,049	31,103	31,322	31,398	31,406	31,385	31,440	31,523
Age 35 thru 39	30,493	30,160	29,751	29,196	28,598	28,236	28,104	27,918	27,674	27,338	27,048	26,772
Age 40 thru 44	34,926	35,154	35,252	35,135	34,894	34,866	35,062	35,142	35,172	35,245	35,354	35,458
Age 45 thru 49	36,865	37,331	37,612	37,510	36,959	36,240	35,754	35,337	34,991	34,596	34,032	33,393
Age 50 thru 54	33,704	34,671	35,505	35,992	36,004	35,789	35,873	36,045	36,280	36,458	36,453	36,340
Age 55 thru 59	26,902	27,818	28,654	29,300	29,679	29,972	30,360	30,771	31,237	31,617	31,873	32,065
Age 60 thru 64	18,270	18,495	18,678	18,731	18,617	18,462	18,430	18,395	18,338	18,210	18,016	17,795
Age 65 thru 84	45,734	46,464	47,050	47,208	47,204	48,220	49,552	50,747	52,015	53,280	54,686	56,178
Age 85 & Older	8,114	8,495	8,869	9,187	9,506	10,027	10,645	11,254	11,893	12,526	13,220	13,963
Seward County												
Total Population	23,886	24,199	24,489	24,769	25,043	25,294	25,532	25,768	26,008	26,239	26,476	26,707
Age 0 thru 4	2,629	2,708	2,786	2,868	2,951	3,030	3,114	3,192	3,265	3,343	3,423	3,503
Age 5 thru 9	2,029	2,068	2,780	2,092	2,101	2,109	2,111	2,113	2,121	2,124	2,127	2,128
Age 10 thru 14	1,896	1,905	1,912	1,916	1,919	1,918	1,913	1,906	1,898	1,893	1,887	1,880
	1,070	1,703	1,714	1,710	1,717	1,710	1,713	1,700	1,070	1,073	1,00/	1,000
Age 15 thru 19	1,900	1,907	1,906	1,899	1,891	1,883	1,874	1,869	1,862	1,854	1,844	1,832

lation I	Projecti	ions, by	y Coun	ty, by	Age Co	hort fo	r 2007	throug	h 2018		
2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
nt'd)											
1,738	1,733	1,725	1,716	1,706	1,700	1,693	1,687	1,679	1,667	1,654	1,640
1,631	1,625	1,619	1,610	1,597	1,578	1,555	1,531	1,509	1,490	1,471	1,450
1,769	1,779	1,787	1,793	1,799	1,810	1,819	1,824	1,831	1,832	1,833	1,834
1,726	1,751	1,773	1,796	1,816	1,829	1,846	1,864	1,880	1,895	1,909	1,922
1,673	1,726	1,780	1,837	1,893	1,945	1,994	2,043	2,096	2,148	2,201	2,255
1,463	1,527	1,594	1,664	1,738	1,812	1,895	1,978	2,059	2,141	2,226	2,314
1,099	1,144	1,192	1,245	1,302	1,361	1,416	1,475	1,534	1,591	1,652	1,715
764	781	798	817	839	862	884	905	925	942	961	980
1,640	1,634	1,628	1,620	1,614	1,604	1,594	1,584	1,572	1,558	1,544	1,529
404	418	431	444	457	470	483	497	511	523	535	546
173,570	174,685	175,476	176,066	176,721	177,713	178,611	179,412	180,178	180,822	181,520	182,290
12,143	12,292	12,425	12,542	12,661	12,784	12,878	12,951	13,006	13,039	13,068	13,096
11,130	11,135	11,118	11,090	11,089	11,125	11,163	11,216	11,269	11,323	11,400	11,476
11,270	11,254	11,224	11,175	11,152	11,158	11,186	11,211	11,237	11,269	11,323	11,377
11,837	11,903	11,932	11,942	11,989	12,080	12,200	12,340	12,482	12,614	12,767	12,919
11,024	10,795	10,566	10,320	10,019	9,762	9,491	9,157	8,803	8492	8,162	7,891
11,936	12,105	12,290		12,848	13,246	13,656	14,030	14,354	14,619	14,929	15,265
	10,226					10,154	10,099	10,019	9,947	9,899	9,860
						9,088			8,557	8,387	8,223
											11,719
											11,215
											14,667
											15,616
											8,063
											25,960
3,422	3,515	3,599	3,676	3,765	3,919	4,082	4,241	4,411	4,573	4,752	4,943
2,529	2,496	2,468	2,442	2,413	2,382	2,351	2,316	2,282	2,246	2,217	2,184
125	121	118	116	112	108	105	101	97	92	88	84
111	104	97	90	83	77	70	64	58	53	48	44
153	143	134	124	115	105	96	88	81	74	68	62
214	213	211	209	206	199	193	186	180	174	168	162
											400
			40				27		22		17
											28
											23
											162
											209
											368
											188
											68
											342
60	57	54	51	47	44	42	38	35	32	30	27
	2007 ont'd) 1,738 1,631 1,769 1,726 1,673 1,463 1,099 764 1,640 404  173,570 12,143 11,130 11,270 11,837 11,024 11,936 10,284 10,204 12,261 13,463 13,751 11,975 8,157 20,713 3,422  2,529 125 111 153 214 181 53 74 91 215 237 256 170 120 469	2007 2008 ont'd)  1,738 1,733 1,631 1,625 1,769 1,779 1,726 1,751 1,673 1,726 1,463 1,527 1,099 1,144 764 781 1,640 1,634 404 418  173,570 174,685 12,143 12,292 11,130 11,135 11,270 11,254 11,837 11,903 11,024 10,795 11,936 12,105 10,284 10,226 10,204 10,002 12,261 12,237 13,463 13,473 13,751 14,070 11,975 12,414 8,157 8,238 20,713 21,026 3,422 3,515  2,529 2,496 125 121 111 104 153 143 214 213 181 193 53 48 74 69 91 82 215 213 237 238 256 267 170 172 120 116 469 460	1,738   1,733   1,725   1,631   1,625   1,619   1,769   1,779   1,787   1,726   1,751   1,773   1,673   1,527   1,594   1,099   1,144   1,192   764   781   798   1,640   1,634   1,628   404   418   431   1,270   11,254   11,224   11,837   11,903   11,932   11,024   10,795   10,566   11,936   12,105   12,290   10,284   10,226   10,181   10,204   10,002   9,780   12,261   12,237   12,175   13,463   13,473   13,422   13,751   14,070   14,339   11,975   12,414   12,833   8,157   8,238   8,306   20,713   21,026   21,286   3,422   3,515   3,599   2,529   2,496   2,468   125   121   118   110   497   153   143   134   214   213   211   181   193   208   53   48   44   74   69   64   64   91   82   73   215   213   210   237   238   238   256   267   278   170   172   175   120   116   112   469   460   452   469   460   452   469   460   452   469   460   452   469   460   452	1		2007         2008         2009         2010         2011         2012           ont'd)         1,738         1,733         1,725         1,716         1,706         1,700           1,631         1,625         1,619         1,610         1,597         1,578           1,769         1,779         1,787         1,793         1,799         1,810           1,726         1,751         1,773         1,796         1,816         1,829           1,673         1,726         1,780         1,837         1,893         1,945           1,463         1,527         1,594         1,664         1,738         1,812           1,099         1,144         1,192         1,245         1,302         1,361           764         781         798         817         839         862           1,640         1,634         1,628         1,620         1,614         1,604           404         418         431         444         457         470           173,570         174,685         175,476         176,066         176,721         177,713           12,143         12,292         12,425         12,542         12,661         12,784					

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Sherman County												
Total Population	6,052	6,000	5,938	5,871	5,802	5,730	5,661	5,585	5,503	5,423	5,340	5,269
Age 0 thru 4	335	327	318	310	301	293	284	275	266	258	249	241
Age 5 thru 9	330	318	307	295	284	275	266	257	247	237	228	218
Age 10 thru 14	358	345	331	317	302	288	273	260	247	235	224	213
Age 15 thru 19	538	532	522	511	499	489	480	471	460	449	438	428
Age 20 thru 24	415	417	416	413	409	402	395	387	380	374	368	363
Age 25 thru 29	287	279	272	264	257	252	248	245	240	233	227	221
Age 30 thru 34	255	245	236	226	217	205	196	186	177	169	160	153
Age 35 thru 39	268	253	238	223	209	197	185	174	163	152	142	133
Age 40 thru 44	405	399	392	384	374	363	353	344	333	324	314	305
Age 45 thru 49	504	509	513	517	520	524	527	529	532	533	533	534
Age 50 thru 54	461	470	479	489	498	504	510	514	517	522	527	532
Age 55 thru 59	387	393	398	403	408	414	419	425	431	435	438	442
Age 60 thru 64	352	355	359	363	367	370	373	372	372	373	373	374
Age 65 thru 84	935	929	921	912	903	892	881	867	853	838	823	809
Age 85 & Older	222	229	236	244	254	262	271	279	285	291	296	303
Smith County												
Total Population	3,999	3,940	3,879	3,816	3,752	3,685	3,621	3,559	3,489	3,420	3,355	3,288
Age 0 thru 4	151	146	141	136	131	127	123	120	116	111	106	101
Age 5 thru 9	163	154	146	137	128	119	110	101	93	86	80	73
Age 10 thru 14	215	205	194	183	171	160	149	139	129	120	111	103
Age 15 thru 19	265	260	254	247	240	231	222	215	207	199	190	182
Age 20 thru 24	231	248	267	289	314	344	380	414	449	481	515	552
Age 25 thru 29	119	115	110	106	102	99	95	91	86	82	78	74
Age 30 thru 34	107	98	90	82	74	67	60	53	48	43	39	34
Age 35 thru 39	169	157	145	133	121	109	100	91	83	75	68	62
Age 40 thru 44	325	322	319	314	310	302	294	287	279	271	263	254
Age 45 thru 49	347	350	353	355	357	356	352	349	344	340	336	331
Age 50 thru 54	314	318	321	323	325	324	325	324	322	320	318	314
Age 55 thru 59	287	291	296	300	305	312	315	317	317	317	317	316
Age 60 thru 64	214	210	204	199	193	187	180	174	167	161	154	147
Age 65 thru 84	873	852	830	808	783	756	730	704	676	648	620	592
Age 85 & Older	219	214	209	204	198	192	186	180	173	166	160	153
Stafford County												
Total Population	4,381	4,336	4,282	4,221	4,162	4,102	4,046	3,985	3,927	3,871	3,814	3,757
Age 0 thru 4	203	196	188	179	171	162	153	143	135	128	121	114
Age 5 thru 9	247	238	228	218	208	200	192	183	174	166	158	150
Age 10 thru 14	283	271	258	243	229	215	202	190	179	168	158	148
Age 15 thru 19	384	387	389	390	391	388	386	384	381	378	375	372
Age 20 thru 24	248	259	270	282	296	309	323	335	347	358	370	382
Age 25 thru 29	149	143	136	130	124	118	113	109	103	98	93	88
Age 30 thru 34	144	134	125	116	108	100	92	86	80	74	68	6
Age 35 thru 39	222	209	195	181	167	154	142	130	120	111	102	94
Age 40 thru 44	372	371	368	364	358	351	344	337	330	324	317	309

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Stafford County (c	ont'd)											
Age 45 thru 49	383	387	390	392	393	392	390	385	382	380	377	374
Age 50 thru 54	394	409	426	444	463	483	504	527	549	568	586	605
Age 55 thru 59	271	274	276	277	279	281	282	282	282	282	281	280
Age 60 thru 64	226	226	225	224	221	220	219	217	214	211	208	205
Age 65 thru 84	668	644	620	594	568	544	522	498	475	452	430	408
Age 85 & Older	187	188	188	187	186	185	182	179	176	173	170	166
Stanton County												
Total Population	2,227	2,221	2,216	2,205	2,193	2,179	2,160	2,146	2,127	2,109	2,091	2,067
Age 0 thru 4	164	161	159	156	153	150	146	142	139	136	132	128
Age 5 thru 9	158	154	149	144	139	135	130	125	120	116	111	106
Age 10 thru 14	169	164	161	156	151	147	142	136	130	126	121	116
Age 15 thru 19	164	162	160	157	154	150	146	144	141	138	134	131
Age 20 thru 24	127	126	125	123	121	119	116	114	111	108	106	103
Age 25 thru 29	118	115	112	109	106	103	100	96	92	89	86	82
Age 30 thru 34	127	123	120	116	113	109	105	102	98	94	90	86
Age 35 thru 39	143	139	135	130	125	119	115	110	105	100	96	91
Age 40 thru 44	191	194	196	197	198	197	198	199	198	198	198	197
Age 45 thru 49	176	178	180	181	182	184	183	183	183	183	182	181
Age 50 thru 54	170	179	190	203	216	229	242	258	271	284	299	313
Age 55 thru 59	91	90	89	88	87	87	85	83	81	79	78	76
Age 60 thru 64	86	86	85	84	82	81	79	79	78	77	75	73
Age 65 thru 84	293	299	302	305	308	309	310	310	312	312	312	311
Age 85 & Older	50	51	53	56	58	60	63	65	68	69	71	73
Stevens County												
Total Population	5,460	5,477	5,492	5,511	5,528	5,542	5,553	5,558	5,570	5,576	5,591	5,610
Age 0 thru 4	425	425	424	422	420	414	409	404	399	395	391	387
Age 5 thru 9	428	424	419	414	409	403	397	391	385	379	374	368
Age 10 thru 14	437	433	427	422	416	413	410	405	400	394	389	384
Age 15 thru 19	442	442	441	439	436	428	421	415	412	408	403	399
Age 20 thru 24	383	398	414	430	446	470	492	511	525	543	563	584
Age 25 thru 29	266	257	248	240	231	223	216	211	205	198	191	185
Age 30 thru 34	354	354	356	359	363	367	369	369	369	369	369	370
Age 35 thru 39	263	250	236	222	208	194	183	173	163	154	145	136
Age 40 thru 44	444	447	448	450	450	448	444	440	439	437	436	434
Age 45 thru 49	465	479	496	516	537	555	574	592	610	628	647	668
Age 50 thru 54	336	344	353	362	371	382	392	401	411	419	429	438
Age 55 thru 59	279	285	290	294	298	302	308	313	319	323	328	332
Age 60 thru 64	254	260	266	273	281	290	295	301	309	315	321	328
Age 65 thru 84	548	539	531	521	511	498	486	473	462	450	439	429
Age 85 & Older	136	140	143	147	151	155	157	159	162	164	166	168
Sumner County												
Total Population	24,468	24,404	24,282	24,009	23,633	23,447	23,447	23,397	23,338	23,267	23,183	23,088
Age 0 thru 4	1,459	1,440	1,417	1,385	1,346	1,317	1,295	1,270	1,246	1,220	1,193	1,166

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Sumner County (co	,											
Age 5 thru 9	1,508	1,468	1,424	1,372	1,318	1,278	1,250	1,224	1,197	1,171	1,146	1,121
Age 10 thru 14	1,737	1,692	1,643	1,584	1,520	1,472	1,440	1,406	1,375	1,345	1,316	1,287
Age 15 thru 19	1,997	1,993	1,984	1,961	1,935	1,924	1,931	1,940	1,945	1,952	1,961	1,967
Age 20 thru 24	1,677	1,705	1,739	1,770	1,793	1,837	1,899	1,944	1,977	2009	2,036	2,077
Age 25 thru 29	1,228	1,224	1,221	1,216	1,212	1,223	1,243	1,259	1,265	1,269	1,276	1,283
Age 30 thru 34	1,028	991	955	918	879	848	825	800	773	746	721	697
Age 35 thru 39	1,256	1,206	1,153	1,096	1,038	993	957	919	884	849	815	782
Age 40 thru 44	1,768	1,745	1,714	1,671	1,622	1,584	1,564	1,537	1,508	1,484	1,461	1,436
Age 45 thru 49	2,281	2,304	2,319	2,314	2,285	2,249	2,223	2,199	2,178	2,157	2,126	2,088
Age 50 thru 54	2,045	2,094	2,137	2,166	2,172	2,170	2,192	2,216	2,240	2,256	2,263	2,261
Age 55 thru 59	1,576	1,615	1,650	1,673	1,684	1,693	1,708	1,726	1,746	1,758	1,764	1,765
Age 60 thru 64	1,113	1,106	1,096	1,078	1,051	1,025	1,008	988	969	947	922	895
Age 65 thru 84	3,117	3,126	3,119	3,084	3,045	3,076	3,125	3,154	3,191	3,231	3,277	3,324
Age 85 & Older	678	695	711	721	733	758	787	815	844	873	906	939
Thomas County												
Total Population	7,544	7,489	7,436	7,369	7,294	7,215	7,141	7,068	6,995	6,914	6,834	6,751
Age 0 thru 4	427	415	403	390	375	363	350	338	326	313	300	288
Age 5 thru 9	470	456	442	427	412	395	380	365	351	336	321	307
Age 10 thru 14	502	488	473	459	444	429	413	396	380	364	349	334
Age 15 thru 19	728	715	701	684	667	649	633	618	602	584	566	547
Age 20 thru 24	508	500	489	475	457	435	416	399	382	367	352	337
Age 25 thru 29	468	467	469	471	474	477	479	477	477	474	471	467
Age 30 thru 34	335	325	316	307	299	290	279	270	261	251	241	231
Age 35 thru 39	334	313	292	271	250	232	215	199	184	170	156	144
Age 40 thru 44	520	510	500	487	473	456	439	424	407	393	379	364
Age 45 thru 49	586	585	582	576	567	558	551	543	535	527	517	506
Age 50 thru 54	716	758	806	858	914	970	1,025	1,082	1,141	1,199	1,260	1,322
Age 55 thru 59	412	419	426	433	439	448	456	464	470	474	478	481
Age 60 thru 64	337	339	341	341	341	341	342	340	338	336	334	331
Age 65 thru 84	986	980	973	963	952	938	925	911	895	878	861	842
Age 85 & Older	215	219	223	227	230	234	238	242	246	248	249	250
Trego County												
Total Population	2,968	2,927	2,883	2,839	2,792	2,742	2,697	2,649	2,600	2,551	2,507	2,465
Age 0 thru 4	141	137	133	128	124	121	116	113	109	105	100	96
Age 5 thru 9	140	133	127	121	115	110	104	99	94	88	83	78
Age 10 thru 14	164	157	151	144	137	129	122	115	108	102	96	90
Age 15 thru 19	209	202	194	184	174	164	154	144	136	128	121	113
Age 20 thru 24	168	177	186	196	208	221	238	255	266	278	291	305
Age 25 thru 29	106	102	98	95	92	88	85	81	77	73	70	67
Age 30 thru 34	105	99	98 94	93 90	92 86	82	83 79	75	71	73 66	62	59
Age 35 thru 39			94 107	90 99	80 90	82 82	79 75	68	63	58		48
-	125	116									53	
Age 40 thru 44	186	178	168	158	147	136	127	117	108	101	94	400
Age 45 thru 49	330	340	349	357	365	373	380	387	393	399	404	409

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Trego County (cont'	<b>d</b> )											
Age 55 thru 59	169	168	168	167	167	166	163	160	157	154	151	149
Age 60 thru 64	154	151	147	143	139	135	132	128	124	119	115	11
Age 65 thru 84	548	535	520	505	487	468	447	426	407	389	372	35
Age 85 & Older	170	172	174	176	176	176	176	176	176	174	173	17
Wabaunsee County												
Total Population	6,942	6,964	6,981	6,985	6,982	6,982	6,966	6,942	6,910	6,868	6,817	6,759
Age 0 thru 4	353	347	340	332	323	313	302	290	280	269	258	248
Age 5 thru 9	412	406	401	394	389	386	384	383	380	375	371	36
Age 10 thru 14	431	420	409	395	382	371	361	352	342	332	323	31
Age 15 thru 19	504	504	503	499	496	490	482	476	470	467	463	45
Age 20 thru 24	519	538	561	590	619	653	686	715	735	755	774	79
Age 25 thru 29	351	354	356	360	366	377	388	397	405	408	412	41
Age 30 thru 34	330	325	321	317	314	310	306	303	298	291	285	27
Age 35 thru 39	348	334	319	303	288	275	261	247	236	224	212	20
Age 40 thru 44	559	560	560	559	556	551	545	536	526	519	513	50
Age 45 thru 49	654	665	675	683	686	683	674	667	662	656	646	63
Age 50 thru 54	587	601	614	625	631	633	633	635	634	633	629	62
Age 55 thru 59	486	497	506	514	519	519	522	525	529	528	524	51
Age 60 thru 64	370	372	372	372	371	366	359	352	346	339	330	32
Age 65 thru 84	869	868	866	860	855	860	861	856	853	852	851	85
Age 85 & Older	169	173	178	182	187	195	202	208	214	220	226	23
Wallace County												
Total Population	1,538	1,521	1,497	1,478	1,456	1,434	1,409	1,383	1,354	1,331	1,306	1,28
Age 0 thru 4	91	89	87	85	83	80	79	76	73	70	68	6
Age 5 thru 9	76	70	64	58	52	48	43	38	34	31	27	2
Age 10 thru 14	131	129	126	123	119	116	112	108	104	100	95	9
Age 15 thru 19	109	104	99	93	87	80	74	68	64	59	54	5
Age 20 thru 24	133	143	154	167	183	199	213	227	240	254	269	28
Age 25 thru 29	51	49	46	44	41	39	38	36	34	32	30	2
Age 30 thru 34	49	46	42	39	36	34	31	29	26	24	22	2
Age 35 thru 39	52	46	41	37	32	28	24	21	18	16	14	1
Age 40 thru 44	102	98	93	88	83	77	72	68	63	59	55	5
Age 45 thru 49	142	143	144	143	143	141	138	135	133	130	127	12
Age 50 thru 54	143	148	152	156	160	162	165	166	166	167	168	16
Age 55 thru 59	106	109	112	115	118	121	124	126	127	128	129	13
Age 60 thru 64	65	63	60	58	55	53	50	48	45	43	40	3
Age 65 thru 84	234	229	223	217	210	202	193	184	175	167	159	15
Age 85 & Older	54	55	54	55	54	54	53	53	52	51	49	4
Washington County												
Total Population	5,873	5,808	5,742	5,672	5,596	5,521	5,448	5,372	5,297	5,221	5,149	5,07
Age 0 thru 4	275	266	257	247	237	227	217	208	199	191	183	3,07
Age 5 thru 9	326	317			291	283	275	268				
Age 10 thru 14	346	333	308 319	300 305	291	283 277	213	200	260	252	244	23

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Washington County	(cont'd)											
Age 15 thru 19	427	425	422	419	417	413	409	405	401	396	392	387
Age 20 thru 24	267	272	279	286	292	301	311	317	324	329	336	342
Age 25 thru 29	208	201	194	188	181	174	167	160	153	147	141	135
Age 30 thru 34	247	238	230	222	214	207	200	194	187	180	172	166
Age 35 thru 39	277	263	249	234	219	205	191	179	168	158	148	138
Age 40 thru 44	441	438	435	430	424	417	411	402	394	388	381	374
Age 45 thru 49	499	509	521	534	545	554	561	569	577	585	593	600
Age 50 thru 54	450	458	466	474	480	487	493	501	507	513	519	524
Age 55 thru 59	404	410	415	419	424	429	435	440	445	448	451	454
Age 60 thru 64	362	364	367	369	373	375	377	377	377	376	376	376
Age 65 thru 84	1,057	1,030	1,001	971	940	909	880	851	822	793	766	738
Age 85 & Older	287	284	279	274	268	263	258	252	246	240	234	229
Wichita County												
Total Population	2,254	2,222	2,188	2,148	2,107	2,069	2,029	1,992	1,956	1,918	1,875	1,839
Age 0 thru 4	137	132	125	118	111	105	99	94	88	83	78	73
Age 5 thru 9	177	173	168	164	159	155	150	145	141	136	131	127
Age 10 thru 14	164	160	155	151	147	143	138	133	127	122	117	113
Age 15 thru 19	137	132	126	120	114	109	103	98	94	89	84	80
Age 20 thru 24	130	131	131	129	128	127	126	126	127	126	124	123
Age 25 thru 29	99	94	89	84	79	74	70	65	61	58	54	50
Age 30 thru 34	118	113	109	105	100	95	90	85	81	76	72	68
Age 35 thru 39	136	132	128	123	119	116	112	108	105	101	96	92
Age 40 thru 44	140	135	130	125	119	112	106	100	95	90	85	80
Age 45 thru 49	192	192	192	192	191	190	188	187	185	184	181	179
Age 50 thru 54	202	210	219	228	237	248	258	268	279	288	297	307
Age 55 thru 59	137	138	140	141	143	144	145	146	145	145	145	145
Age 60 thru 64	116	115	115	114	113	112	112	112	112	111	110	109
Age 65 thru 84	299	296	292	286	281	274	268	262	255	249	242	235
Age 85 & Older	70	69	69	68	66	65	64	63	61	60	59	58
Wilson County												
Total Population	9,790	9,768	9,733	9,695	9,649	9,595	9,543	9,486	9,425	9,368	9,308	9,255
Age 0 thru 4	493	484	474	464	452	440	426	413	401	390	378	367
Age 5 thru 9	533	518	501	482	463	446	430	416	400	385	370	356
Age 10 thru 14	644	627	608	589	569	548	528	507	486	468	450	433
Age 15 thru 19	716	714	711	707	703	699	693	687	680	673	666	659
Age 20 thru 24	612	632	652	671	689	700	712	723	738	753	767	781
Age 25 thru 29	595	606	618	631	647	664	681	697	713	725	737	750
Age 30 thru 34	499	494	490	487	484	480	474	467	460	452	445	438
Age 35 thru 39	460	439	417	395	373	351	333	315	297	281	265	250
Age 40 thru 44	668	658	646	633	618	601	583	564	547	533	518	503
Age 45 thru 49	778	785	792	798	802	806	810	814	816	818	819	820
Age 50 thru 54	812	842	873	904	935	965	998	1,032	1,064	1,096	1,129	1,162
Age 55 thru 59	613	625	635	644	651	661	669	675	678	684	689	695
Age 60 thru 64	534	538	541	545	548	550	552	555	559	559	559	559

Appendix L (cont'd)

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Wilson County (co	′	1 442	1 400	1.056	1 2 4 2	1 211	1.070	1.246	1 211	1 177	1 1 1 2	1 11/
Age 65 thru 84	1,472	1,442	1,408	1,376	1,343	1,311	1,279	1,246	1,211	1,177	1,143	1,110
Age 85 & Older	361	364	367	369	372	373	375	375	375	374	373	372
<b>Woodson County</b>												
Total Population	3,502	3,465	3,427	3,390	3,347	3,306	3,264	3,220	3,177	3,139	3,096	3,059
Age 0 thru 4	171	167	163	160	156	153	151	147	143	139	134	130
Age 5 thru 9	170	167	164	162	160	157	154	151	147	143	139	135
Age 10 thru 14	139	128	117	106	95	85	76	68	61	55	50	44
Age 15 thru 19	247	243	238	232	227	219	211	202	194	187	180	173
Age 20 thru 24	228	235	242	247	252	253	251	249	248	249	250	250
Age 25 thru 29	253	265	279	297	317	342	375	407	438	463	488	517
Age 30 thru 34	144	138	134	129	124	119	113	107	102	97	92	87
Age 35 thru 39	153	143	134	125	115	106	97	89	81	75	68	63
Age 40 thru 44	234	229	222	216	208	201	193	186	178	171	164	157
Age 45 thru 49	280	278	275	270	264	257	249	242	235	229	222	215
Age 50 thru 54	309	320	331	342	353	365	377	385	395	404	413	42
Age 55 thru 59	219	220	220	219	218	218	215	212	209	207	203	200
Age 60 thru 64	207	209	211	214	216	218	219	221	221	221	220	219
Age 65 thru 84	589	565	541	516	490	464	437	411	386	364	342	321
Age 85 & Older	159	158	156	155	152	149	146	143	139	135	131	12
Wyandotte County	<i>I</i>											
Total Population	154,874	154,362	153,308	152,099	150,880	149,713	148,647	147,630	146,606	145,475	144,464	143,631
Age 0 thru 4	13,353	13,450	13,507	13,549	13,608	13,649	13,677	13,692	13,691	13,676	13,665	13,666
Age 5 thru 9	11,328	11,193	11,014	10,816	10,644	10,508	10,411	10,339	10,271	10,187	10,126	10,074
Age 10 thru 14	11,433	11,353	11,242	11,107	10,989	10,874	10,772	10,683	10,608	10,553	10,522	10,499
Age 15 thru 19	10,763	10,647	10,473	10,280	10,106	9,999	9,932	9,895	9,856	9,792	9,748	9,710
Age 20 thru 24	10,691	10,437	10,155	9,857	9,503	9,138	8,753	8,347	7,933	7,562	7,200	6,90
Age 25 thru 29	11,625	11,583	11,509	11,458	11,451	11,475	11,521	11,558	11,561	11,543	11,555	11,592
Age 30 thru 34	11,408	11,421	11,434	11,479	11,563	11,648	11,741	11,802	11,844	11,873	11,942	12,043
Age 35 thru 39	9,820	9,611	9,366	9,132	8,933	8,751	8,602	8,463	8,313	8,140	7,988	7,85
Age 40 thru 44	11,454	11,464	11,425	11,393	11,397	11,405	11,417	11,416	11,401	11,398	11,419	11,45
Age 45 thru 49	11650	11693	11682	11643	11534	11314	11091	10903	10743	10567	10354	1013
Age 50 thru 54	10438	10575	10660	10715	10683	10541	10428	10339	10273	10197	10082	994
Age 55 thru 59	8721	8871	8993	9112	9194	9224	9233	9264	9302	9303	9278	924
Age 60 thru 64	6020	5976	5915	5851	5766	5626	5482	5350	5221	5089	4946	480
Age 65 thru 84	13536	13395	13190	12921	12670	12623	12555	12464	12390	12316	12270	12239
Age 85 & Older	2634	2693	2743	2786	2839	2938	3032	3115	3199	3279	3369	346

Source: Moody's Economy.com